

Report on Geotechnical and Salinity Assessment

Stage 2A Bradfield City Centre 215 Badgerys Creek Road, Bradfield

> Prepared for Western Parkland City Authority

> > Project 222630.00 January 2024



Douglas Partners Geotechnics | Environment | Groundwater

Document History

Document details

Project No.	222630.00	Document No.	R.005.Rev2	
Document title	Report on Geotechnical and Salinity Assessment			
	Stage 2A, Bradfield City Centre			
Site address	Bradfield City Centre, 215 Badgerys Creek Road, Bradfield			
Report prepared for	Western Parkland City Authority			
File name	222630.00.R.005.	222630.00.R.005.Rev2.docx		

Document status and review

Status	Prepared by	Reviewed by	Date issued
Revision 0	Eric Riggle	Konrad Schultz	1 December 2023
Revision 1	Eric Riggle/Konrad Schultz	Chris Kline	22 December 2023
Revision 2	Eric Riggle/Konrad Schultz	Chris Kline	22 January 2024

Distribution of copies

Status	Electronic	Paper	Issued to	
Revision 0	1	0	Western Parkland City Authority	
Revision 1	1	0	Western Parkland City Authority	
Revision 2	1	0	Western Parkland City Authority	

The undersigned, on behalf of Douglas Partners Pty Ltd, confirm that this document and all attached drawings, logs and test results have been checked and reviewed for errors, omissions and inaccuracies.

Signature	Date
Author Exc. R.L.	22 January 2024
Reviewer English	22 January 2024

Douglas Partners acknowledges Australia's First Peoples as the Traditional Owners of the Land and Sea on which we operate. We pay our respects to Elders past and present and to all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples across the many communities in which we live, visit and work. We recognise and respect their ongoing cultural and spiritual connection to Country.



Douglas Partners Pty Ltd ABN 75 053 980 117 www.douglaspartners.com.au 18 Waler Crescent Smeaton Grange NSW 2567 Phone (02) 4647 0075



Table of Contents

Page

1.	Introd	uction	1
2.	Site Ir	nformation	2
3.	Previo	ous Investigations	3
	3.1	Geotechnical Investigation	3
	3.2	Salinity Investigation	4
4.	Publis	hed Information	4
	4.1	Topography	4
	4.2	Geology and Soil Landscapes	5
	4.3	Acid Sulfate	6
	4.4	Salinity	6
	4.5	Hydrology - Surface Water and Groundwater	7
5.	Comn	nents	.8
6.	Refer	ences	.8
7.	Limita	tions	.8

Appendix A:	About This Report
Appendix B:	Drawings 1 – 3
Appendix C:	Douglas Partners Geotechnical Report
Appendix D:	Douglas Partners Salinity Report



Report on Geotechnical and Salinity Assessment Stage 2A, Bradfield City Centre 215 Badgerys Creek Road, Bradfield

1. Introduction

This report presents the results of a geotechnical and salinity assessment undertaken for Bradfield City Centre Stage 2A Civil Works and Regional Stormwater Infrastructure Works 215 Badgerys Creek Road, Bradfield. The works were commissioned by Western City Parkland Authority (WPCA).

The proposed activity under this Review of Environmental Factors (REF) relates to the Stage 2A Enabling Works for the Bradfield City Centre. In summary, the proposed activity relates to site clearing, construction of new roads, the provision of service authority utilities, street landscaping, and drainage and stormwater infrastructure.

Specifically, the scope of works for the BCC Stage 2A REF will include the following:

- Construction of new roads, and associated stormwater, earthworks and civil works.
- Provision of waste water, potable water, recycle water infrastructure.
- Provision of electrical services network and reticulation infrastructure.
- Provision of data and telecommunications network infrastructure.
- Streetscape landscape works.

In addition, the BCC Stage 2A REF will also involve several activities which are ancillary to the construction of roads, including:

- Site clearance (including removal of vegetation).
- Provision of service authority utilities within the road corridors.
- Street landscaping.
- Drainage and stormwater infrastructure (including temporary stormwater basins. Stockpiling of excess soil.
- Construction of temporary haul roads during construction, (together with the construction of the new roads).
- Road works.

The REF is accompanied by concept plans and a range of supporting technical studies which have been prepared to inform the proposed design, including this geotechnical report.

DP understand that the proposed road alignments and civil infrastructure for the Stage 2 Enabling Works are generally in accordance with the Western Sydney Aerotropolis Precinct Plan and Western Sydney Aerotropolis DCP and is consistent with the BCC Master Plan.



Design drawings prepared by SMEC showing the proposed road layouts, bulk earthworks and road long sections for Stage 2A were provided for the assessment.

This report has been prepared to support a Review of Environmental Factors (REF) for Stage 2A based on a review of previous investigations carried out on the site. The previous investigation reports are included in Appendices C and D.

2. Site Information

The street address for Bradfield City Centre is 215 Badgerys Creek Road, Bradfield (the Site) within the Liverpool Council Local Government Area (LGA). The site is legally described as Lot 3101, Deposited Plan (DP) 1282964 and has an area of 114.6 hectares, and is accessible from Badgerys Creek Road at the north-western corner. The site includes land that is located within the Aerotropolis Core and Wianamatta-South Creek Precinct, of the Western Sydney Aerotropolis.



Figure 1: Site Location

Bradfield City Centre is located to the south-east of the new Western Sydney International (Nancy-Bird Walton) Airport at the intersection of Badgerys Creek Road and The Northern Road in Bradfield (formerly Bringelly, refer Figure 1). Stage 2A is located in the central portion of the Bradfield City Centre Site. The site location and layout are shown on Drawing 1 (Appendix B) and Figure 1.



Site Address	215 Badgerys Creek Road, Bradfield NSW
Legal Description	Lot 3101 on Deposited Plan (D.P.) 1282964
Approximate area	114.9 ha (Whole Bradfield City Centre Site) 38 ha (Stage 2A Civil Site)
Local Council Area	Liverpool City Council
Current Land Use	Vacant, mixed use
Surrounding Uses	North – Rural, grazing East – Riparian and Mixed use residential South – Riparian and Mixed use residential West – Mixed Use residential

The future Sydney Metro - Western Sydney Airport line runs through the site, providing connections from the key centre of St Marys through to stations at Orchard Hills, Luddenham, Airport Business Park, Airport Terminal and the Aerotropolis which is located within the site.

The site was previously a Royal Australian Air Force radio station. It is understood that there were a number of radio towers and staff base buildings which have been decommissioned and removed from site however some of the tower anchors, grounding and wiring have been left in ground.

3. Previous Investigations

3.1 Geotechnical Investigation

A geotechnical investigation was previously carried out by Douglas Partners Pty Ltd (DP) with the results presented in a report titled *"Report on Geotechnical Investigation, Stage 2 Civil Works and Regional Stormwater Infrastructure Works, Bradfield City Centre, Badgerys Creek Road, Bradfield"* (DP Report 222630.00.R.003.Rev2 dated 19 January 2024). The investigation was carried out for the Stage 2 Civil site (which differs from the Stage 2A site boundary) and the stormwater infrastructure corridor which surround Moore Gully to the south of Stage 2A. The investigation included the excavation of 23 test pits to depths of up to 3 m and the drilling of five boreholes to depths in the range 5 - 10 m. Standpipe piezometers were installed in the 5 boreholes for groundwater monitoring.

Based on the results of the investigation, the subsurface conditions underlying Stage 2A are summarised as follows:

- Topsoil comprising silty clay or clayey silt typically to depths of 0.1 0.2 m; overlying
- Residual silty clay of stiff to hard consistency; grading into
- Weathered shale bedrock, initially of very low to low strength which increases with depth.
- A high strength basaltic dyke intrudes the site in the south west corner. Based on published data the dyke is a near vertical structure up to 6 m wide, though its width was not confirmed.

Groundwater was not encountered within the limits of investigation depths of 3 m within the Stage 2A area. However, groundwater levels were measured at depths of 1.8 - 3 m below ground level along



Moore Gully to the south of the site. It is noted that groundwater levels are transient and will fluctuate with time.

The regional groundwater is typically expected to be well within the bedrock profile, although seepage along the clay/rock interface and through joints in the bedrock would be expected, particularly during and following inclement weather.

Permeability testing indicates the residual and alluvial clays are of very low permeability.

The report provided geotechnical design parameters and recommendations for construction, pavements, earthworks, stormwater and service installation. The report is included in Appendix C. Drawing 2, Appendix B shows the previous test locations and the current Stage 2A site boundary.

3.2 Salinity Investigation

A salinity assessment was previously carried out by DP with the results presented in a report titled *"Report on Salinity Investigation, Proposed Urban Development, Bradfield City Centre, Badgerys Creek Road, Bradfield"* (DP Report 222630.00.R.004.Rev1 dated 22 January 2024). The investigation was carried out for whole Bradfield City Centre site (excluding the Metro Site). The investigation included the excavation of 10 test pits to depths of up to 3 m and an electromagnetic survey of the site. The electromagnetic survey measures apparent electrical conductivity which correlates to soil salinity allowing high resolution mapping of the shallow salinity on site.

The relevant results to Stage 2A are summarised as follows:

- Maximum soil salinity typically occurs at depths of 1 1.5 m below ground surface.
- Soils were typically slightly to moderately saline with some areas of non-saline soils and isolated areas of very saline soils. Very saline soils were typically located in low-lying areas with poor drainage.
- The soils were typically highly sodic indicating a high potential for erosion.
- Soils were non-aggressive to slightly aggressive to concrete and steel.

The report included a salinity management plan which provides strategies to manage the soil salinity, erodibility and aggressivity as part of the development process. The report is included in Appendix D. Drawing 3, Appendix B shows the salinity mapping together with the current Stage 2A site boundary.

4. Published Information

4.1 Topography

The Stage `2A site typically traverses gently undulating terrain with an overall relief of approximately 10 m from the highest part of the site (approximate RL 83, relative to Australian height datum – AHD) in the north west corner of the site to the lowest part of the site (approximate RL 62) along the eastern boundary of the site. The ground surface slopes to the south and east at grades typically less than five degrees.



Moore Gully is an ephemeral creek which forms the southern boundary of the site. The area surrounding Moore Gully is characterised as a mostly flat floodplain which drains west to east into Thompsons Creek which is some 100 - 200 m east of the Stage 2A site. Drainage is typically poor along the eastern and southern boundaries of Stage 2A due to the relative flatness of the terrain and the southern boundary along Moore Gully appears to stay water logged most of the time.

4.2 Geology and Soil Landscapes

Reference to the New South Wales Department of Minerals and Energy, *Geology of the Penrith 1:100,000 Sheet 9030, New South Wales Geological Survey, Sydney,* dated 1991, (DME, 1991) indicates that the Stage 2A site is underlain by the Bringelly Shale Formation (refer Figure 2, below). This unit typically comprises shale, carbonaceous claystone, claystone, laminite, fine to medium-grained lithic sandstone, with rare coal and tuff layers.

The Luddenham Dyke is mapped to the north west of the site. The Luddenham Dyke is a Jurassic igneous intrusion comprising olivine basalt, analcite, augite, feldspar and magnetite which is typically up to 6 m wide (DME, 1991). Whilst mapping indicates that the dyke does not extend into the site, our investigation encountered material consistent with the dyke material near the central western part of the site.



Figure 2: Geology and Soils Landscape Mapping

Along Thompsons Creek and a small portion of Moore Gully, the Bringelly Shale is overlain by alluvial deposits comprising fine-grained sand, silt and clay.



Reference to the Bannerman, S.M. and Hazelton, P.A., *Soil Landscapes of the Penrith 1:100 000 Sheet, Soil Conservation Service of NSW,* dated 1990, indicates that the site includes two soil landscape groups, including the Blacktown Soils (dark green) and South Creek (light green) soil landscapes. The approximate soil landscape boundaries match the corresponding underlying geology boundaries, as shown on Figure 2.

The northern and western portions of the site comprise soils of the Blacktown soil landscape, which is characterised by gently undulating rises, slopes usually less than 5%. This is a residual soil landscape, which the mapping indicates comprises multiple soil horizons that range from shallow red-brown podzolic soils comprising mostly clayey soils on crests and upper slopes, to deep brown to yellow clay soils on mid to lower slopes and in areas of poor drainage. These soils are typically of low fertility, are moderately reactive, highly plastic and generally have a low wet strength.

The floodplain of Thompsons Creek and the eastern part of Moore Gully is mapped as the South Creek soil landscape, which is characterised by floodplains, valley flats and drainage depressions of the channels, usually flat with incised channels that are mainly cleared. This is an alluvial soil landscape, which mapping indicates comprises multiple soil horizons, including red and yellow podzolic soils, most common on terraces, and yellow solodic soils. These soils are often very deep layered sediments over bedrock or relict soils, present an erosional hazard and experience frequent flooding.

4.3 Acid Sulfate

Australian Soil Resource Information System managed by CSIRO Land and Water maps the site as extremely low probability of Acid Sulfate Soil occurrence.

4.4 Salinity

According to NSW Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources, *Map of Salinity Potential in Western Sydney*, 2002, the site has known salinity along Thompsons Creek and Moore Gully with moderate salinity potential in the remainder of the site. Refer to Figure 3 below.





Figure 3: Regional Salinity Mapping

4.5 Hydrology - Surface Water and Groundwater

Surface water is found on the site in Thompsons Creek and Moore Gully which flow to the east and north to eventually join South Creek. Moore Gully has two small dams along the alignment and there is another small farm dam in the north eastern part of the site. Based on review of surface drainage it is anticipated that groundwater would primarily also flow towards the north east.

Unweathered Bringelly Shale has very low hydraulic conductivity and the few bores drilled into the unweathered shales in the Sydney area are generally dry or yielding small flows of saline groundwater, typically with total dissolved salts (TDS) contents of 10 000 mg / L to 30 000 mg / L (Old, 1942; McNally, 2004). Groundwater flow is likely to be dominated by fracture flow with resultant low yields (typically <1 L / s) in bores.

A search of the NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment groundwater bore database indicated that there are five exploration bores on the site drilled to depths of 6 - 12.2 m however no groundwater levels were available.



5. Comments

The investigations previously carried out by DP indicate that the site is suitable for the proposed development provided the comments, recommendations, and management strategies provided are followed during construction. Whilst brief comments addressing the REF are provided below reference should be made to the previous reports for specific results and recommendations relevant to various aspects of the development process.

Geology - The site soils and geology comprise natural clays overlying shale bedrock which is typical for the area and are not considered to be geotechnical constraints to the proposed development. The topography of the site indicates that the risk of slope instability on the site is very low. Refer Section 7.2 of the DP Geotechnical Report (Appendix C) for specific earthworks recommendations.

Hydrology - Groundwater was not encountered within proposed bulk earthworks levels within Stage 2A. South of the site along Moore Gully, groundwater was encountered at depths of 1 - 3 m. Surface water flows are expected to change due to the development however appropriate design of the stormwater and water quality systems will minimise off site and down stream changes. Changes in surface water flows are not expected to significantly influence groundwater levels due to the very low permeability of the soils on site (ie existing and expected future surface water infiltration rates are low). Refer Section 7.4 of the DP Geotechnical Report (Appendix C) for specific stormwater comments.

Acid Sulfate - The site is mapped as having an extremely low possibility of acid sulfate soil occurrence and no acid sulfate soils were identified on site.

Salinity - The mild and moderate aggressivity to concrete and steel, the presence of moderately saline and very saline and highly sodic soils are naturally occurring features of the local landscape and are not considered significant constraints to the proposed development, provided appropriate management techniques are employed. Refer Section 8 of the DP Salinity Report (Appendix C) for the Salinity Management Plan.

6. References

CSIRO. (2014). Australian Soil Resource Information System. Retrieved from asris.csiro.au

GSNSW. (2019). NSW Seamless Geology. Geological Survey NSW Web Map Service.

Richards, L. A. (1954). *Diagnosis of Saline and Alkaline Soils.* Washington D.C: US Department of Agriculture.

7. Limitations

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd (DP) has prepared this report for this project at Badgerys Creek Road, Bradfield in accordance with DP's proposal dated 19 June 2023 and acceptance received from WPCA on 13 July 2023. The work was carried out under NSW Government General Conditions of Agreement.



This report is provided for the exclusive use of Western Parkland City Authority for this project only and for the purposes as described in the report. It should not be used by or relied upon for other projects or purposes on the same or other site or by a third party. Any party so relying upon this report beyond its exclusive use and purpose as stated above, and without the express written consent of DP, does so entirely at its own risk and without recourse to DP for any loss or damage. In preparing this report DP has necessarily relied upon information provided by the client and/or their agents.

The results provided in the report are indicative of the sub-surface conditions on the site only at the specific sampling and/or testing locations, and then only to the depths investigated and at the time the work was carried out. Sub-surface conditions can change abruptly due to variable geological processes and also as a result of human influences. Such changes may occur after DP's field testing has been completed.

DP's advice is based upon the conditions encountered during this investigation. The accuracy of the advice provided by DP in this report may be affected by undetected variations in ground conditions across the site between and beyond the sampling and/or testing locations.

The assessment of atypical safety hazards arising from this advice is restricted to the geotechnical components set out in this report and based on known project conditions and stated design advice and assumptions. While some recommendations for safe controls may be provided, detailed 'safety in design' assessment is outside the current scope of this report and requires additional project data and assessment.

This report must be read in conjunction with all of the attached and should be kept in its entirety without separation of individual pages or sections. DP cannot be held responsible for interpretations or conclusions made by others unless they are supported by an expressed statement, interpretation, outcome or conclusion stated in this report.

This report, or sections from this report, should not be used as part of a specification for a project, without review and agreement by DP. This is because this report has been written as advice and opinion rather than instructions for construction.

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd

Appendix A

About This Report

Introduction

These notes have been provided to amplify DP's report in regard to classification methods, field procedures and the comments section. Not all are necessarily relevant to all reports.

DP's reports are based on information gained from limited subsurface excavations and sampling, supplemented by knowledge of local geology and experience. For this reason, they must be regarded as interpretive rather than factual documents, limited to some extent by the scope of information on which they rely.

Copyright

This report is the property of Douglas Partners Pty Ltd. The report may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Conditions of Engagement for the commission supplied at the time of proposal. Unauthorised use of this report in any form whatsoever is prohibited.

Borehole and Test Pit Logs

The borehole and test pit logs presented in this report are an engineering and/or geological interpretation of the subsurface conditions, and their reliability will depend to some extent on frequency of sampling and the method of drilling or excavation. Ideally, continuous undisturbed sampling or core drilling will provide the most reliable assessment, but this is not always practicable or possible to justify on economic grounds. In any case the boreholes and test pits represent only a very small sample of the total subsurface profile.

Interpretation of the information and its application to design and construction should therefore take into account the spacing of boreholes or pits, the frequency of sampling, and the possibility of other than 'straight line' variations between the test locations.

Groundwater

Where groundwater levels are measured in boreholes there are several potential problems, namely:

- In low permeability soils groundwater may enter the hole very slowly or perhaps not at all during the time the hole is left open;
- A localised, perched water table may lead to an erroneous indication of the true water table;
- Water table levels will vary from time to time with seasons or recent weather changes. They may not be the same at the time of construction as are indicated in the report; and
- The use of water or mud as a drilling fluid will mask any groundwater inflow. Water has to be blown out of the hole and drilling mud must first be washed out of the hole if water measurements are to be made.

More reliable measurements can be made by installing standpipes which are read at intervals over several days, or perhaps weeks for low permeability soils. Piezometers, sealed in a particular stratum, may be advisable in low permeability soils or where there may be interference from a perched water table.

Reports

The report has been prepared by qualified personnel, is based on the information obtained from field and laboratory testing, and has been undertaken to current engineering standards of interpretation and analysis. Where the report has been prepared for a specific design proposal, the information and interpretation may not be relevant if the design proposal is changed. If this happens, DP will be pleased to review the report and the sufficiency of the investigation work.

Every care is taken with the report as it relates to interpretation of subsurface conditions, discussion of geotechnical and environmental aspects, and recommendations or suggestions for design and construction. However, DP cannot always anticipate or assume responsibility for:

- Unexpected variations in ground conditions. The potential for this will depend partly on borehole or pit spacing and sampling frequency;
- Changes in policy or interpretations of policy by statutory authorities; or
- The actions of contractors responding to commercial pressures.

If these occur, DP will be pleased to assist with investigations or advice to resolve the matter.





Site Anomalies

In the event that conditions encountered on site during construction appear to vary from those which were expected from the information contained in the report, DP requests that it be immediately notified. Most problems are much more readily resolved when conditions are exposed rather than at some later stage, well after the event.

Information for Contractual Purposes

Where information obtained from this report is provided for tendering purposes, it is recommended that all information, including the written report and discussion, be made available. In circumstances where the discussion or comments section is not relevant to the contractual situation, it may be appropriate to prepare a specially edited document. DP would be pleased to assist in this regard and/or to make additional report copies available for contract purposes at a nominal charge.

Site Inspection

The company will always be pleased to provide engineering inspection services for geotechnical and environmental aspects of work to which this report is related. This could range from a site visit to confirm that conditions exposed are as expected, to full time engineering presence on site.

intentionally blank

intentionally blank



Appendix B

Drawings 1 – 3



0 90 180 270 360 450 m			Bringely Site Lo	ocality
Douglas Partners Geotechnics Environment Groundwater	Site Location Plan Proposed Urban Develop Stage 2A, Bradfield City (Badgerys Creek Road, B	Centre	MGA	OFFICE: Macarthur DRAWN BY: ECR DATE: 27.11.2023
CLIENT: Western Parkland City Authority	PROJ. #: 222630.00	DRAWING No: 1	REVISION: 0	SCALE: As Shown



0 100 200 300 400 500 m		Stag	Field City Centre Site Bour e 2A Boundary o Station Site e 2 Civil Site Test Pits mwater Infrastructure Cor mwater Infrastructure Cor nity Test Pits nity Hand Auger Boreholes	rridor Groundwater Wells rridor Boreholes
Douglas Partners Geotechnics Environment Groundwater Site Location Plan Proposed Urban Development Stage 2, Bradfield City Centre Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly			MGA	OFFICE: Macarthur DRAWN BY: ECR DATE: 6.11.2023
CLIENT: Western Parkland City Authority	PROJ. #: 222630.00	DRAWING No: 1	REVISION: 1	SCALE: As Shown



6243000 mN				Non-	Saline 0 Apparent Salinity ECe (dS/m)
290200 mE 290400 mE	290600 mE	290800 mE	291000 mE	291200 m	E 291400 mE
<u>Legend</u> ● Testpit/Borehole where Maximum salinity was <2 dS/m (non sali	ne)		Scale		
Testpit where Maximum salinity was 2 - 4 dS/m (slightly saline)	0 m	200 m	400 m	600 m	800 m
Testpit where Maximum salinity was 4 - 8 dS/m (moderately salinity was 4 - 8 dS/m)	ie)				
Testpit where Maximum salinity was 8 - 16 dS/m (very saline)					
				Datum: GDA2020	Projection: MGA2020 Zone 56
Douglas Partners Geotechnics Environment Groundwat	TITLE: Apparent M From Dual Bradfield C Bringelly, N				OFFICE: Macarthur DRAWN BY: JHH DATE: 27 October 2023
CLIENT: Western Parkland City Authority	PROJECT No: 22263	30.00 DRAWING No: 4	REVISION: 0		SCALE: As Shown

Appendix C

Douglas Partners Geotechnical Report

Appendix D

Douglas Partners Salinity Report



Report on Salinity Investigation

Proposed Urban Development Bradfield City Centre 215 Badgerys Creek Road, Bradfield

> Prepared for Western Parkland City Authority

> > Project 222630.00 January 2024



Douglas Partners Geotechnics | Environment | Groundwater

Document History

Document details

Project No.	222630.00	Document No.	R.004.Rev2	
Document title	Report on Salinity Investigation			
	Proposed Urban Development			
Site address	215 Badgerys Creek Road, Bradfield			
Report prepared for	Western Parkland City Authority			
File name	222630.00.R.004.Rev2.docx			

Document status and review

Revision 0Eric Riggle / Jeremy HillChristopher C Kline1 November 2023Revision 1Eric Riggle / Jeremy HillChristopher C Kline29 November 2023Revision 2Eric Riggle / Jeremy HillChristopher C Kline22 January 2024	Status	Prepared by	Reviewed by	Date issued
	Revision 0	Eric Riggle / Jeremy Hill	Christopher C Kline	1 November 2023
Revision 2 Eric Riggle / Jeremy Hill Christopher C Kline 22 January 2024	Revision 1	Eric Riggle / Jeremy Hill	Christopher C Kline	29 November 2023
	Revision 2	Eric Riggle / Jeremy Hill	Christopher C Kline	22 January 2024

Distribution of copies

Status	Electronic	Paper	Issued to	
Revision 0	1	0	Western Parkland City Authority	
Revision 1	1	0	Western Parkland City Authority	
Revision 2	1	0	Western Parkland City Authority	

The undersigned, on behalf of Douglas Partners Pty Ltd, confirm that this document and all attached drawings, logs and test results have been checked and reviewed for errors, omissions and inaccuracies.

Signature	Date	
Author Ex R.L.	22 January 2024	
Reviewer En Ruh	22 January 2024	

Douglas Partners acknowledges Australia's First Peoples as the Traditional Owners of the Land and Sea on which we operate. We pay our respects to Elders past and present and to all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples across the many communities in which we live, visit and work. We recognise and respect their ongoing cultural and spiritual connection to Country.



Douglas Partners Pty Ltd ABN 75 053 980 117 www.douglaspartners.com.au 18 Waler Crescent Smeaton Grange NSW 2567 Phone (02) 4647 0075



Table of Contents

Page

1.	Introd	luction		1
2.	Site I	nformatio	on	1
3.	Envir	onmenta	al Setting	3
	3.1	Topogr	aphy	3
	3.2	Geolog	y and Soils	3
	3.3	Surface	e Water and Groundwater	5
4.	Field	Work Me	ethods	6
	4.1	Intrusiv	e Investigation	6
	4.2	Electro	magnetic (EM) Profiling	6
5.	Resu	lts		8
	5.1	Field W	/ork Observations – Northern Area of Site	8
	5.2	Field W	/ork Observations – Moore Gully	8
	5.3	EM Pro	filing Data Processing and Presentation	10
	5.4	Laborat	tory Results	10
		5.4.1	Aggressivity to Steel	13
		5.4.2	Aggressivity to Concrete	
		5.4.3	Soil Salinity	
		5.4.4	Sodicity and Dispersibility	
		5.4.5	Groundwater Salinity	14
6.	Salini	ty Asses	ssment Incorporating EM Results	14
7.	Impad	cts on th	e Proposed Development	16
	7.1	Soils		16
	7.2	Ground	lwater	16
8.	Prelin	ninary Sa	alinity Management Plan	17
	8.1	Genera	۱	17
	8.2	Bulk Ea	arthworks	17
	8.3	Civil Co	onstruction and Service Installation	18
9.	Conc	lusion		20
10.	Refer	ences		20
11.	Limita	ations		21



Appendix A:	About This Report
Appendix B:	Drawings 1 - 8
Appendix C:	Test Pit and Borehole Logs
Appendix D:	Site Photos
Appendix E:	Results of Laboratory Testing



Report on Salinity Investigation Proposed Urban Development 215 Badgerys Creek Road, Bradfield

1. Introduction

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd (DP) was commissioned by Western Parkland City Authority (WPCA) to undertake a Salinity Investigation and Salinity Management Plan (SMP) for the future Bradfield City Centre site at 215 Badgerys Creek Road, Bradfield (the site, as shown on Drawings 1 and 2). The works were carried out in accordance with DP's proposal P222630.00 dated 19 June 2023.

Saline soils affect much of the Western Sydney region. Buildings and infrastructure located on shales of the Wianamatta Group are particularly at risk. Salinity can affect urban development in a number of ways, including corrosion of concrete, break down of bricks and mortar, corrosion of steel (including reinforcement), break up of roads, attack on buried infrastructure, reduced ability to grow vegetation and increased erosion potential.

It is understood that significant bulk earthworks are proposed for the site and assessment of the site salinity is required to manage salinity hazards on site for future earthworks and urban development.

The investigation comprised the completion of an electromagnetic survey (EM survey) of the site, followed by excavation of test pits and boreholes, laboratory testing of selected samples, engineering analysis and reporting. Details of the work undertaken and the results obtained are given within this report, together with comments relating to design and construction practice for minimising the effects of salinity.

The investigation was carried out concurrently with investigations for the Advanced Manufacturing Research Facility Building 2 and for the Stage 2 Civil and Stormwater Infrastructure which have been reported separately.

2. Site Information

Site Address 215 Badgerys Creek Road, Bradfield NSW	
Legal Description	Lot 3101 on Deposited Plan (D.P.) 1282964
Approximate area	114.6 ha
Local Council Area	Liverpool City Council
Current Land Use	Mixed Use, Enterprise and ENZ Environment and Recreation



Surrounding Uses	North – Rural, grazing
	East – Riparian and Mixed use residential
	South – Riparian and Mixed use residential
	West – Mixed Use residential

The site location and layout are shown on Drawings 1 and 2 (Appendix B) and Figure 1 below. At the time of undertaking this assessment, the site was mostly grass-covered with some areas of thick shrubs. Construction was in progress for an underground Sydney Metro station box and for the Advanced Manufacturing Research Facility 1 (AMRF1) building area.

The site was previously a Royal Australian Air Force radio station. It is understood that there were a number of radio towers and staff base buildings which have been decommissioned and removed from site however some of the tower anchors, grounding and wiring have been left in ground.



Figure 1: Site Location



3. Environmental Setting

3.1 Topography

The site typically traverses gently undulating terrain with an overall relief of approximately 20 m from the highest part of the site (approx. RL 80, relative to Australian height datum – AHD) in the north west corner of the site to the lowest part of the site (approx. RL 60), eastern corner of the site along Thompsons Creek. Ground surface slopes within the site are typically less than five degrees.

Topography is characterised by a mostly flat floodplain along Thompsons Creek which forms the south eastern boundary of the site. An ephemeral creek, Moore Gully, runs east to west in the southern portion of the site and drains into Thompsons Creek. There is a broad central ridgeline running from the central portion of the southern boundary towards the north east. Drainage is typically poor in the southern part of the site due to the relative flatness of the terrain.

3.2 Geology and Soils

Reference to the New South Wales Department of Minerals and Energy, *Geology of the Penrith 1:100,000 Sheet 9030, New South Wales Geological Survey, Sydney,* dated 1991, (DME, 1991) indicates that the northern and western portions of the site are underlain by the Bringelly Shale Formation (refer Figure 2, below). This unit typically comprises shale, carbonaceous claystone, claystone, laminite, fine to medium-grained lithic sandstone, with rare coal and tuff layers. Along Thompsons Creek and a small portion of Moore Gully, the Bringelly Shale is overlain by alluvial deposits comprising fine-grained sand, silt and clay.

The Luddenham Dyke is mapped to the north west of the site. The Luddenham Dyke is a Jurassic igneous intrusion comprising olivine basalt, analcite, augite, feldspar and magnetite which is typically up to 6 m wide (DME, 1991). Whilst mapping indicates that the dyke does not extend into the site, our investigation encountered material consistent with the dyke material near the central western part of the site.





Figure 2: Geology and Soils Landscape Mapping

Reference to the Bannerman, S.M. and Hazelton, P.A., *Soil Landscapes of the Penrith 1:100 000 Sheet, Soil Conservation Service of NSW,* dated 1990, indicates that the site includes two soil landscape groups, including the Blacktown Soils (dark green) and South Creek (light green) soil landscapes. The approximate soil landscape boundaries match the corresponding underlying geology boundaries, as shown on Figure 2.

The northern and western portions of the site comprise soils of the Blacktown soil landscape, which is characterised by gently undulating rises, slopes usually less than 5%. This is a residual soil landscape, which the mapping indicates comprises multiple soil horizons that range from shallow red-brown podzolic soils comprising mostly clayey soils on crests and upper slopes, to deep brown to yellow clay soils on mid to lower slopes and in areas of poor drainage. These soils are typically of low fertility, are moderately reactive, highly plastic and generally have a low wet strength.

The floodplain of Thompsons Creek and the eastern part of Moore Gully is mapped as the South Creek soil landscape, which is characterised by floodplains, valley flats and drainage depressions of the channels, usually flat with incised channels that are mainly cleared. This is an alluvial soil landscape, which mapping indicates comprises multiple soil horizons, including red and yellow podzolic soils, most common on terraces, and yellow solodic soils. These soils are often very deep layered sediments over bedrock or relict soils, present an erosional hazard and experience frequent flooding.

Australian Soil Resource Information System managed by CSIRO Land and Water maps the site as extremely low probability of Acid Sulfate Soil occurrence.



According to NSW Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources, *Map of Salinity Potential in Western Sydney*, 2002, the site has known salinity along Thompsons Creek and Moore Gully with moderate salinity potential in the remainder of the site. Refer to Figure 3 below.



Figure 3: Regional Salinity Mapping

3.3 Surface Water and Groundwater

Surface water is found on the site in Thompsons Creek and Moore Gully which flow to the east and north to eventually join South Creek. Moore Gully has two small dams along the alignment and there is another small farm dam in the north eastern part of the site. Based on review of surface drainage it is anticipated that groundwater would primarily also flow towards the north east.

Unweathered Bringelly Shale has very low hydraulic conductivity and bores drilled into the unweathered shales in the Sydney area are generally dry or yielding small flows of saline groundwater, typically with total dissolved salts (TDS) contents of 10 000 mg / L to 30 000 mg / L (Old, 1942; McNally, 2004). Groundwater flow is likely to be dominated by fracture flow with resultant low yields (typically <1 L / s) in bores.

A search of the NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment groundwater bore database indicated that there are five exploration bores on the site drilled to depths of 6 - 12.2 m however no groundwater levels were available.



4. Field Work Methods

4.1 Intrusive Investigation

The intrusive field work for the salinity investigation comprised the following:

- Excavation of 10 test pits (Pits 301 310) to depths of up to 3 m or prior refusal using a JCB 4CX Eco backhoe fitted with a 450 mm bucket.
- Excavation of 8 boreholes to depths of 1 m using a 100 mm diameter hand auger;
- Collection of additional samples from test pits and boreholes excavated within the site for the separate AMRF Building 2 and Stage 2 Civil and Stormwater Investigations. The samples were collected as follows:
 - Collection of samples from three of the twelve boreholes (Bores 3, 6 and 11) drilled within the AMRF building footprint. The boreholes were drilled to depths of 2.9 3.5 m using 110 mm continuous solid flight augers fitted with a tungsten-carbide (TC) bit. The bores were extended to depths of 10 m, using 'NMLC' rotary coring techniques to obtain 50 mm diameter continuous rock core samples.
 - Collection of samples from the 23 test pits (Pits 101, 103 110, 113, 116 118, 121 128, 202 and 205) excavated to depths of 1.5 3.5 m using a JCB 4CX Eco backhoe fitted with a 450 mm bucket on the Stage 2 Civil and Stormwater Infrastructure site.
 - Collection of samples from five of the boreholes (Bores 201, 203, 204, 206, 207) drilled to depths of 5.5 m using 110 mm continuous solid flight augers fitted with a tungsten-carbide (TC) bit on the Stage 2 Civil and Stormwater Infrastructure site
 - o Standpipe piezometers were constructed in Bores 201, 203, 204, 206 and 207 which allowed additional collection of groundwater samples.

The test pits and boreholes were logged on site by a geotechnical engineer. The test locations were nominated by DP and located on site prior to the investigation using a differential GPS unit with a nominal accuracy of ± 0.1 m. The test locations are shown in Drawing 3 (Appendix B) and test pit and borehole logs are provided in Appendix C.

All field measurements and mapping for this project have been carried out using the Geodetic Datum of Australia 2020 (GDA2020) and the Map Grid of Australia 2020 (MGA2020), Zone 56. All reduced levels are given in relation to Australian Height Datum (AHD).

4.2 Electromagnetic (EM) Profiling

EM-induction profiling was undertaken as part of the examination of soil salinity potential, enabling rapid continuous measurement of apparent electrical conductivity to target areas for soil sampling and to reduce the requirements for laboratory testing of soils for salinity assessment purposes.

Apparent electrical conductivity is variously referred to as ground or terrain conductivity, bulk conductivity or bulk electrical conductivity and is generally designated as σa or ECa and refers to the quadrature (out-of-phase) component of the response. Although measurement of apparent conductivities can include contributions from a variety of sources including groundwater, conductive soil and rock minerals (metals having an in-phase response), it has been estimated that in 75 - 90 % of



cases in Australia, apparent conductivity anomalies can be explained by the presence of soluble salts (Spies and Woodage, 2004). Apparent conductivity can therefore be considered, in the majority of cases, a good indicator of soil salinity.

Most portable instruments measure apparent conductivity in milliSiemens per metre (mS/m) and typical measurement ranges have been suggested as indicative of salinity classes (Chhabra 1966) as shown in Table 1.

Class	ECa (mS/m)
Non-Saline	< 50
Slightly Saline	50 - 100
Moderately Saline	100 - 150
Very Saline	150 - 200
Extremely Saline	> 200

 Table 1: Salinity Classes in Relation to Apparent Conductivity

The survey instrument employed was the Dualem 42s, mounted on extensions behind a 4wd vehicle. The instrument (Figure 4) recorded data using 2.0 m and 4.0 m transmitter - receiver coil separations (horizontal co-planar coils configuration), and 2.1 m and 4.1 m transmitter - receiver coil separations (non-co-planar coils configuration, having vertical receiver coils). The instrument has a fixed transmitter frequency of 9k Hz and produces four quadrature (out-of-phase) and four in-phase data-streams for theoretical or nominal depths of investigation (response to ground conductors) of up to approximately 6 m below the coils, however this is dependent on actual soil conductivities (and sensor height above the ground) and most of the conductivity response was expected to be in the depth range of approximately 0 m - 4.0 m below the ground surface at this site.



Figure 4: Dualem 42s extended behind 4WD vehicle.



ECa data were acquired at 1 second intervals during slow continuous driving along on a grid of survey lines spaced approximately 25 m apart. Slashing of survey lines where necessary/possible was provided by the client. It is noted that not all areas of the site were accessible. Inaccessible areas included the thick vegetated area to the southwest of the site and the active construction areas. It is noted that the equipment is highly sensitive to interference from metallic objects such as construction fences and vehicles/plant etc. The approximate area covered by the survey was 71.5 Ha.

Positions were acquired using an internal GPS and are presented in GDA2020.

The data coverage achieved using the Dualem 42s (EM Induction) instrument is shown on Drawing 5, Appendix B.

5. Results

5.1 Field Work Observations – Northern Area of Site

The subsurface conditions encountered during the field investigation are shown on the test pit and borehole logs in Appendix B, together with notes defining classification methods and the descriptive terms used.

The typical succession of strata encountered within the test pits is summarised as follows:

TOPSOIL	Silty clay topsoil encountered to depths in the range 0.1 – 0.2 m in all test pits;
CLAY: (Residual)	Silty clay, typically stiff to very stiff, encountered to depths in the range 0.5 – 2.4 m in all test pits;
SHALE BEDROCK: (Bringelly Shale)	Shale bedrock, typically very low and low strength on first contact and increasing to low to medium strength with depth, encountered from depths in the range $0.5 - 2.4$ m and continuing to the limit of investigation depth of 3.3 m in Pit 108 and to refusal depths of $1.3 - 2.8$ m in all other Pits with the exception of Pit 123;
BASALT (Luddenham Dyke)	Basalt, high strength, encountered from a depth of 0.8 m in Pit 123. The basalt was highly fractured on first contact and caused refusal of the excavator bucket at a depth of 0.9 m

No free groundwater was observed in any of the test pits during excavation. It is noted that the test pits were immediately backfilled following excavation which precluded longer term monitoring of groundwater levels. It should be noted that groundwater levels are affected by factors such as preceding climatic conditions and soil permeability and can therefore fluctuate with time.

5.2 Field Work Observations – Moore Gully

The typical succession of strata encountered within the stormwater corridor test locations is summarised as follows:



Page	9	of	22
i aye	3	UI.	22

TOPSOIL	Silty clay topsoil encountered to depths in the range $0.1 - 0.3$ m in all test pits and boreholes;
CLAY: (Residual)	Silty clay, stiff to hard, encountered to depths in the range $1.4 - 2.6$ m in Bores 201 and 207 and Pit 202;
CLAY: (Alluvial)	Silty clay, typically stiff to very stiff, encountered to depths of 4.5 and 4.8 m in Bores 203 and 206 respectively and to the limit of investigation depths of $3.5 - 5$ m in Bore 204 and Pit 205;
SHALE BEDROCK: (Bringelly Shale)	Shale bedrock, typically very low and low strength on first contact and increasing to low to medium strength with depth, encountered from depths in the range $1.4 - 4.8$ m and continuing to the limit of investigation depths of $3.5 - 10$ m in Bores 201, 203, 206 and 207. Pit 202 was terminated on refusal in medium strength shale at a depth of 1.7 m;

Free groundwater was observed at depths of 3.5 m and 4.1 m in Pit 205 and Bore 206 respectively. Free groundwater was not observed whilst drilling or excavating in any of the other locations. Groundwater wells were installed in Bores 201, 203, 204, 206 and 207 for long term monitoring of groundwater levels. Well construction details are included on the borehole logs.

A summary of groundwater observations and measurements within the wells is presented Table 2. It is noted that groundwater levels will fluctuate over time in response to climatic variations or anthropogenic influences.

Bore No.	Date	Groundwater Depth (m bgl)	Groundwater RL (m AHD)
	14 September 2023	5.7	73.8
4	14 September 2023	7.8 (after bailing)	71.7
Surface RL: 79.5	18 September 2023	7.6	71.9
Well Depth 9.5 m	25 September 2023	7.1	72.4
	26 September 2023	7.1	72.4
201 Surface RL: 68.1	24 August 2023	2.05	66
	14 September 2023	2.17	65.9
Well Depth: 5.0 m	26 September 2023	2.22	65.9
203	24 August 2023	3.0	61.2
Surface RL: 64.2	14 September 2023	2.7	61.5
Well Depth 5.0 m	26 September 2023	2.7	61.5
204	24 August 2023	2.8	59.6
Surface RL: 62.3	14 September 2023	2.9	59.5
Well Depth: 5.0 m	26 September 2023	2.9	59.4

Table 2: Results of Groundwater Well Monitoring





Bore No.	Date	Groundwater Depth (m bgl)	Groundwater RL (m AHD)
206	24 August 2023	1.7	57.7
Surface RL: 59.3 Well Depth: 5.0 m	14 September 2023	1.8	57.5
	26 September 2023	1.8	57.5
207	24 August 2023	4	65.4
Surface RL: 69.5 Well Depth: 10.0 m	14 September 2023	5.2	64.2
	26 September 2023	5.3	64.2

Boreholes 201, 203, 204 and 206 were drilled to the north of the water logged areas surrounding the Moore Gully water course. The groundwater levels generally match the levels of the low points of Moore Gully in the west and Thompsons Creek in the east.

Salt scaring or efflorescence were not observed during the investigation however rainfall in the period prior to the investigation may have reduced the visibility of such features.

5.3 EM Profiling Data Processing and Presentation

The apparent conductivity data were despiked, and filtered to remove responses from fences, buried earthing grids, reinforced foundations, coiled wire and other known large metallic objects. The line data were subsequently processed to generate 2D gridded data for map making and correlation with test-pit sampled laboratory analyses.

Based on the mapped distribution of apparent conductivities, the locations of Pits 301 - 310 were selected to enable soil sampling, primarily of the high conductivity areas but also of some low conductivity and moderate conductivity areas as controls and to populate the data set for correlation of field and laboratory results.

The in-phase measurements are generally insensitive to soil conductivity but respond to subsurface metallic conductors and were mapped to assess the degree of interference with the apparent conductivity data and to help enable despiking/removal of anomalies attributable to metallic objects.

5.4 Laboratory Results

A Summary Table (Appendix C) presents the results of laboratory tests, assessments of aggressivity to concrete and steel, sodicity class, textural classification, calculated salinity ECe and salinity class inferred from ECe values using the method of Richards (1954). The detailed laboratory test reports and chain of custody information are included in Appendix E. The total test sample numbers and the range of test results obtained are summarised in Tables 3 and 4.



Parameter		Units	Samples	Minimum	Maximum
рН		pH units	106	4.4	9.3
Chlorides		(mg/kg)	32	<10 ⁽¹⁾	850
Sulphates		(mg/kg)	32	<10(1)	270
Aggregoisitu	to Concrete	[AS2159]	106	Non-aggressive	Moderately Aggressive
Aggressivity	to Steel	[AS2159]	106	Non-aggressive	Moderately Aggressive
Exchangeable Sodium (Na)		(meq/100g)	10	0.1	2.9
CEC (cation exchange capacity)		(meq/100g)	10	3.6	10.0
Sodicity [Na/CEC]	(ESP%)	10	2.8	33.0
Sodicity	y Class	[after DLWC]	10	Non-sodic	Highly sodic
EC1:5 [Lab.]		(mS/cm)	106	39.1	1600.00
Resistivity		Ω.cm	106	625	25575.4
ECe [M x EC1:5] ²		(dS/m)	106	0.3	14.4
Salinity Class		[after Richards 1954]	106	Non-saline	Very Saline

Table 3: Summary of Parameters Tested in Soil Samples

1 concentrations were below the detection limit of 10 mg/kg

2 M is soil textural factor

Table 4: Summary of Testing in Groundwater

Borehole	рН	EC (mS/cm)	Salinity (Hazleton and Murphy 2007)
201	7.2	19,000	Very Saline
203	7.2	19,000	Very Saline
206	7.1	19,000	Very Saline
207	7.3	16,000	Very Saline

Figure 5 (following page) presents variations of aggressivity with depth, based on pH profiles at all sampling locations, together with class ranges indicated in the Australian Standard AS2159 (2009). The permeability of the sampled clay-rich soils at all sampling locations and the absence of free groundwater in most locations indicate that soils are in Condition "B" as defined by AS2159.




Figure 5: Vertical pH Profiles and Aggressivity Classes

Figure 6 (following page) presents the variations of salinity with depth, based on salinity (ECe) profiles at all sampling locations, together with the salinity classifications of Richards (1954).

Drawings 6 - 8 (Appendix B) show the "worst case" results of salinity and aggressivity from each sampling location This includes testing from 0 - 3 m for Pits 301 - 310 and Bore 4. Additional shallow samples were collected from the other test locations however the shallow sampling depth meant that they results may not represent the worst case and have not been presented as "worst case" results.





Figure 6: Vertical Salinity Profiles and Salinity Classes

5.4.1 Aggressivity to Steel

The results of the testing indicate that 51% of the samples tested were non-aggressive, 44% were mildly aggressive and 5% were moderately aggressive to steel based on resistivity values (AS 2159). In addition to resistivity, pH and chloride are causes of aggressivity to steel however all of the pH and chloride concentrations tested were non-aggressive to steel.

The worst case results for aggressivity to concrete for each test location are shown on Drawing 7 (Appendix B).

5.4.2 Aggressivity to Concrete

The results of the testing indicate that 44% of the samples tested were non-aggressive, 53% were mildly aggressive and 3% were moderately aggressive to concrete based on pH values (AS 2159). In addition to pH, sulfate is also a cause of aggressivity to concrete however all of the sulfate concentrations tested were non-aggressive to concrete.



The worst case results for aggressivity to concrete for each test location are shown on Drawing 8 (Appendix B).

5.4.3 Soil Salinity

The results of the testing indicate that 35% of the samples tested were non-saline, 27% were slightly saline, 32% were moderately saline and 6% were very saline. aggressive to concrete based on pH values (AS 2159). Figure 6 indicates that maximum salinity typically occurred between 1 - 2 m depths.

5.4.4 Sodicity and Dispersibility

Ten sodicity tests were undertaken and the results show mostly highly sodic soils (6 of the 10 samples), some sodic samples (3 of the 10 samples) and one non sodic samples. Highly sodic soils indicate a high potential for erodibility when left exposed.

Dispersion potentials, tested at depths of 0.5 - 1.5 m by the Emerson Crumb Test (refer Summary Table, Appendix D), were determined to be Class 2 (partial dispersion) and would have a high potential for erosion.

5.4.5 Groundwater Salinity

The results indicate that the groundwater within the site, compared to Hazelton and Murphy (Interpreting Soil Test Results, Table 5.35 *Classification of Saline Waters*, 2007) is very saline.

6. Salinity Assessment Incorporating EM Results

The DLWC salinity investigation guideline allows for a reduction in the density of test locations and the number of laboratory tests, when an EM investigation is carried out and the ECa results are correlated with the laboratory ECe results, enabling interpolation of data throughout the EM survey area at the high spatial density of that data.

The Dualem 42s measures the apparent bulk conductivity (ECa) of the subsurface, which is a cumulative response from approximately 0 - 4 m depth. The Dualem 42s sensitivity varies significantly with depth; with a maximum sensitivity at a depth of about 1 m which decreases to approximately 50% sensitivity at a depth of about 3 m. Given that many of the maximum salinity values in the test pits occurred at depths around 1.0 m - 1.5 m, where the Dualem 42s sensitivity is relatively higher than at greater depths, correlation with the maximum test pit salinities (ECe) was considered the appropriate.

To carry out the required correlations, the apparent conductivity (ECa) values from the 4 m coil separation, horizontal co-planar coil geometry collected using the Dualem 42s (expected to be sensitive to conductivity variations in the subsurface at depths ranging from 0 - 4 m depth, approximately), were extracted from the gridded line data at the test pit locations and the ECa values were plotted in a scattergram (Figure 7, below) against maximum salinity (ECe) values from test pits 302 - 310. A Reasonable linear trend between these parameters (correlation coefficient of 0.82) indicates that the



EM system is responding to soil salinity and that the EM data obtained provides a reasonable measure of the site salinity.

The line of best fit defines the ECe/ECa trend and provides an equation by which to convert apparent conductivities (ECa in mS/m), to estimate apparent maximum salinities (ECe in dS/m) throughout the data set.



Figure 7: Correlation of apparent conductivity (ECa from EM profiling) with maximum salinity ECe from Testpits 302 – 310.

The correlation equation (of linear form: ECe = gradient x ECa - C) shown in Figure 7 above was applied to apparent conductivity gridded data for presentation as ECe salinity maps (Drawing 4, Appendix B) with continuous colour spectral scales in dS/m and at key depth ranges. The 2D-images were also contoured at 2 dS/m and 4 dS/m, and 8 dS/m, corresponding to boundaries of the salinity classes of Richards 1954, providing a direct subdivision of the study area into non-saline (< 2 dS/m), slightly saline (2 - 4 dS/m), moderately saline (4 - 8 dS/m) and very saline shown in yellow-red-white (8 - 16 dS/m) classes.

The resulting Salinity Map (Drawing 4, Appendix B) is considered likely to be most useful when attempting to categorise areas based on the likely maximum salinities to be encountered at depths from approximately 0 m - 3 m. However, it is noted that the instrument is significantly less sensitive at the deeper end of this range and is also more sensitive to thicker salinity affected soil layers. The instrument is also sensitive to other variables such as clay and moisture content of soils. Therefore, it is considered likely that maximum salinities which are significantly higher (or lower) than those indicated by the Salinity Map may occur in some areas.

As shown in Drawing 4, Appendix B, ECe salinities indicate very-saline conditions broadly occur along existing low lying areas and adjacent to water courses. This appears broadly consistent with the analytical results collected from the same test pits. Slightly to moderately saline conditions occur



throughout most of the investigated areas. Non-saline conditions are typically seen along the low ridgelines however this is not consistent along all ridges.

7. Impacts on the Proposed Development

7.1 Soils

The proposed urban development of the city centre will include bulk earthworks, construction of roads, buildings, water quality basins and services. Preliminary bulk earthworks plans indicate that cut and fill of up to approximately 3 m is proposed. Typically cut depths are less than 1.5 m with a minor area in the central western portion of the site reaching up to 3 m depths. The plans indicate that cut volumes of about 138,000 m³ and fill volumes of about 507,000 m³ are proposed resulting in an import volume of about 369,000 m³ being required.

The mild and moderate aggressivity to concrete and steel, the presence of moderately saline and very saline and highly sodic soils are naturally occurring features of the local landscape and are not considered significant constraints to the proposed development, provided appropriate management techniques are employed.

Salinity and aggressivity affects the durability of concrete and steel by causing premature breakdown of concrete and corrosion of steel. This has impacts on the durability of structures (ie concrete and steel) in contact with these materials. Salinity also affects the ability of various vegetation to grow, particularly in riparian areas. As a result, management strategies will be required as detailed in Section 8.

Sodic soils have low permeability due to infilling of interstices with fine clay particles during the weathering process, restricting infiltration of surface water and potentially creating perched water tables, seepage in cut faces or ponding of water in flat open areas. In addition, sodic soils tend to erode when exposed. Management of sodic soils is therefore required to prevent these adverse effects. Management of sodic soils will be focused on maintaining surface cover as detailed in Section 8.

7.2 Groundwater

It is understood that a series of water quality basins are proposed along the Moore Gully creek line where shallow, very saline groundwater is present. The very saline groundwater encountered could be of concern to the proposed development in relation to infrastructure, local erosion and plant growth.

Very saline groundwater was encountered at depths as shallow as 1.7 m bgl within the boreholes, though it is noted that the groundwater levels closely matched the water levels noted at the surface in Moore Gully indicating that the groundwater and surface water are in connection. As a result there are likely other areas with shallow groundwater present.

Shallow saline groundwater can affect stormwater detention and management systems in two ways depending on the design levels relative to the groundwater level:

1. Where the groundwater table is above the design level, there will be seepage from the groundwater into the basins. This may reduce result in an increase in salinity in the basins during low flow periods (conversely, inflowing saline groundwater will be diluted in periods of rainfall).



2. Where a saline water table is near the surface but below the design level of a detention basin, then infiltration of water from the basin into the groundwater table may locally elevate the groundwater table bringing the saline water closer to the surface. As a result there may be impact on vegetation and/or infrastructure.

Both of these scenarios can be managed by good engineering practice and appropriate landscape design (i.e. using salt tolerant species and the use of an impermeable liner which would minimise the changes to the groundwater levels). Further assessment of the impact of the saline groundwater system should be undertaken at the detailed design phase of the project.

8. Preliminary Salinity Management Plan

8.1 General

The management plans given in the following sections are based on the results of testing carried out on the site. It is noted that the mapping and testing has been carried out on a broad scale and specific investigations are recommended for individual development sites within the Bradfield City Centre to provide more specific recommendations.

8.2 Bulk Earthworks

The following management strategies are designed to minimise potential to impact on the bulk earthworks aspects of the development.

- A. When possible placement of excavated soils in fill areas with similar salinity characteristics (ie: to place material on to in-situ soils with a similar or higher aggressivity or salinity classification). Where this is not possible or not tracked, all fill areas should be treated as moderately aggressive to concrete and steel and moderately saline. Particular care should be take if cutting from areas mapped as very saline. In general the very saline areas are existing low-lying areas and near waterways which are proposed to be filled as shown in the provided bulk earthworks plans. The provided plans do not include the whole site and DP should review the plans are they are developed to confirm that any cut material from very saline areas is placed in existing very saline areas.
- B. As the site will end up being primarily filled using imported material, testing should be undertaken prior to importation, to determine the salinity characteristics of the material. It is recommended that non-aggressive and non-saline to slightly saline soils be selected where possible but in any case, imported material should not be more aggressive or more saline than the material on which it is to be placed.
- C. Management of sodicity should focus on capping of the upper surface of the sodic soils, both exposed by excavation and placed as filling, with a more permeable material (such as vegetated topsoil) to prevent ponding, to reduce capillary rise, to act as a drainage layer and to reduce the potential for erosion.
- D. Sodic soils can also be managed by maintaining vegetation where possible and planting new salt tolerant species. The addition of organic matter, gypsum and lime can also be considered where appropriate. After gypsum addition, reduction of sodicity levels may require some time for sufficient infiltration and leaching of sodium into the subsoils, however capping of exposed sodic material



should remain the primary management method. Topsoil added at the completion of bulk earthworks is, in effect, also adding organic matter which may help infiltration and leaching of sodium.

- E. Avoiding water collecting in low lying areas, in depressions, or behind fill. This can lead to water logging of the soils, evaporative concentration of salts, and eventual breakdown in soil structure resulting in accelerated erosion.
- F. Surface drains should generally be provided along the top of batter slopes to reduce the potential for concentrated flows of water down slopes possibly causing scour.
- G. Salt tolerant grasses and trees should be considered for landscaping, to reduce soil erosion as in Strategy A above and to maintain the existing evapo transpiration and groundwater levels. Reference should be made to an experienced landscape planner or agronomist.
- H. Management of groundwater should include capping of the upper surface with an impermeable layer for proposed waterways and basins to minimise interactions with the very saline groundwater.

8.3 Civil Construction and Service Installation

The following additional strategies are recommended for completion of service installation including but not limited to; roads, drainage and services. These strategies should be complementary to standard good building practices, including cover to reinforcement within concrete. As previously these requirements will be refined in a future salinity management plan undertaken prior to development application.

- I. Any pavements should be designed to be well drained of surface water. There should not be excessive concentrations of runoff or ponding that would lead to waterlogging of the pavement or additional recharge to the groundwater through any more permeable zones in the underlying filling material.
- J. Aggressivity results indicate soils that are mildly to moderately aggressive to concrete (refer Drawing 8, Appendix B) and non-saline to very saline (refer Drawings 4 and 6, Appendix B). For these areas of the site, the durability requirements provided in Tables 5 and 6 should be taken into account by the designer.





		Recommended Durability Requirement (as per AS3600)		
Site Salinity Classification	Site Soil Aggressivity to Concrete Classification	Minimum Concrete Strength (MPa)	Minimum Cover to Reinforcement (mm)	Minimum Cure Time (days)
	Non-aggressive Soils	20		0
Non-saline to Slightly Saline	Mildly Aggressive Soils	25	45	3
	Moderately Aggressive Soils	32		7
	Non-aggressive Soils	25		2
Moderately Saline	Mildly Aggressive Soils	25	45	3
	Moderately Aggressive Soils	32		7
	Non-aggressive Soils			
Very Saline	Mildly Aggressive Soils	32	50	7
	Moderately Aggressive Soils			

Table 5 – Recommended Durability Requirements for Concrete Structures

Table 6: Recommended Durability Requirements for Concrete Piles

Concrete	Recommended Durability Requirement (as per AS2159)		
Aggressivity (Refer Drawing 8)	Minimum Concrete Strength (MPa)	Minimum Cover to Reinforcement (mm)	
Non Aggressive	32	45	
Mildly Aggressive	32	60	
Moderately Aggressive	40	65	

K. Wet cast concrete pipes and currently manufactured spun concrete pipes are understood to have estimated compressive strengths of 50 MPa and 60 to 70 MPa, respectively, in excess of the requirements for mass concrete in J above. Reference to the maximum and minimum test results of Table 3 (Section 5 of this report) and to Tables E1 and 3.1 of AS 4058 – 2007 "Precast concrete pipes" indicates that the site falls within the AS 4058 Clay/Stagnant (low sulphate) soil type (chlorides ≤20 000 ppm, pH≥4.5 and sulphates ≤1000 ppm) and (in the absence of tidal water flow) falls within the AS 4058 Normal durability environment. Under these conditions, AS 4058 – compliant reinforced concrete pipes of general purpose Portland cement, with a minimum cover to reinforcement of 10 mm, are expected to have a design life in excess of



100 years. Any concrete pipes installed within the site should employ AS 4058 – compliant steel reinforced pipes of general purpose Portland cement, with minimum cover to reinforcement of 10 mm, or should be fibre reinforced.

- L. Any future installation of concrete pipes up to a maximum diameter of 750 mm, within the Site, should employ fibre reinforced cement. Alternatively, concrete pipes in these areas should be encased in outer PVC conduits or should have a minimum equivalent strength as defined in J and K above.
- M. Concrete pipes with a larger diameter than 750 mm should utilise sulphate resistant cement.
- N. Resistivity results indicate soils that are aggressive to steel (Drawing 7, Appendix B). This drawing identifies mild aggressivity to steel (1000 2000 Ohm-cm) and moderate aggressivity steel (<1000 Ohm-cm) over the Site. For these areas of soil identified as mildly and moderately aggressive to steel, the following corrosion allowances (as per AS 2159 2009) should be taken into account by the designer:</p>
 - Mild: uniform corrosion allowance 0.01 0.02 mm/year;
 - Moderate: uniform corrosion allowance 0.02 0.04 mm/year.

In instances where a coating is applied to the pile, if the design life of the pile is greater than the design life for the coating, consideration must be given to corrosion of the pile in accordance with the above list.

9. Conclusion

The salinity and aggressivity levels encountered in this investigation are typical of the region. Whilst the soil will require management it is considered that the strategies described herein when incorporated into the design and construction works are appropriate to mitigate the levels of salinity, aggressivity and sodicity identified at the site. Notwithstanding, additional assessments will be required as detailed design progresses including the following:

- Specific assessment of surface water to monitor changes in salinity with rainfall
- Assessment of the interaction of the proposed stormwater infrastructure and the saline groundwater system when design levels, locations and types (ie dry basins, wet basins, infiltration basins etc) have been determined.
- Additional detailed salinity assessments following the completion of bulk earthworks to provide more detailed spatial mapping and specific advice for individual developments.

10. References

- 1. Geological Survey of New South Wales, 1991. *Geology of 1:100 000 Penrith Geological Series Sheet 9030* (Edition 1).
- 2. Bannerman, S. M and Hazelton, P A. *Soil Landscapes of the Penrith 1:100 000 Sheet.* Soil Conservation Service of NSW, Sydney.



- 3. DIPNR, 2002 Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources, New South Wales (DIPNR) 2002, *Salinity Potential in Western Sydney*.
- 4. Spies, B. and Woodgate, P. 2004, *Technical Report Salinity Mapping Methods in the Australian Context*, Natural Resource Management Ministerial Council.
- 5. Chhabra, R. 1966, *Soil Salinity and Water Quality*, A. Bakema/Rotterdam/Brookfield, New York, 284 pp.
- 6. DNR, 2002, Site Investigations for Urban Salinity (now managed by DPI).
- 7. Richards, L. A. (ed.) 1954, *Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkaline Soils* USDA Handbook No 60, Washington D.C.
- 8. Standards Australia 1995, AS2159 2009 Piling Design and Installation.
- 9. Hazelton, P. A. and Murphy B. W. 2007, *Interpreting Soil Test Results* Department of Natural Resources
- 10. Standards Australia 2009, AS3600 2009 Concrete Structures
- 11. Standards Australia 2007, AS 4058 2007 Precast Concrete Pipes

11. Limitations

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd (DP) has prepared this report for this project at Badgerys Creek Road, Bradfield in accordance with DP's proposal dated 19 June 2023 and acceptance received from WPCA on 13 July 2023. The work was carried out under NSW Government General Conditions of Agreement. This report is provided for the exclusive use of Western Parkland City Authority for this project only and for the purposes as described in the report. It should not be used by or relied upon for other projects or purposes on the same or other site or by a third party. Any party so relying upon this report beyond its exclusive use and purpose as stated above, and without the express written consent of DP, does so entirely at its own risk and without recourse to DP for any loss or damage. In preparing this report DP has necessarily relied upon information provided by the client and/or their agents.

The results provided in the report are indicative of the sub-surface conditions on the site only at the specific sampling and/or testing locations, and then only to the depths investigated and at the time the work was carried out. Sub-surface conditions can change abruptly due to variable geological processes and also as a result of human influences. Such changes may occur after DP's field testing has been completed.

DP's advice is based upon the conditions encountered during this investigation. The accuracy of the advice provided by DP in this report may be affected by undetected variations in ground conditions across the site between and beyond the sampling and/or testing locations. The advice may also be limited by budget constraints imposed by others or by site accessibility.

The assessment of atypical safety hazards arising from this advice is restricted to the geotechnical components set out in this report and based on known project conditions and stated design advice and assumptions. While some recommendations for safe controls may be provided, detailed 'safety in design' assessment is outside the current scope of this report and requires additional project data and assessment.



This report must be read in conjunction with all of the attached and should be kept in its entirety without separation of individual pages or sections. DP cannot be held responsible for interpretations or conclusions made by others unless they are supported by an expressed statement, interpretation, outcome or conclusion stated in this report.

This report, or sections from this report, should not be used as part of a specification for a project, without review and agreement by DP. This is because this report has been written as advice and opinion rather than instructions for construction.

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd

Appendix A

About This Report



Introduction

These notes have been provided to amplify DP's report in regard to classification methods, field procedures and the comments section. Not all are necessarily relevant to all reports.

DP's reports are based on information gained from limited subsurface excavations and sampling, supplemented by knowledge of local geology and experience. For this reason, they must be regarded as interpretive rather than factual documents, limited to some extent by the scope of information on which they rely.

Copyright

This report is the property of Douglas Partners Pty Ltd. The report may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Conditions of Engagement for the commission supplied at the time of proposal. Unauthorised use of this report in any form whatsoever is prohibited.

Borehole and Test Pit Logs

The borehole and test pit logs presented in this report are an engineering and/or geological interpretation of the subsurface conditions, and their reliability will depend to some extent on frequency of sampling and the method of drilling or excavation. Ideally, continuous undisturbed sampling or core drilling will provide the most reliable assessment, but this is not always practicable or possible to justify on economic grounds. In any case the boreholes and test pits represent only a very small sample of the total subsurface profile.

Interpretation of the information and its application to design and construction should therefore take into account the spacing of boreholes or pits, the frequency of sampling, and the possibility of other than 'straight line' variations between the test locations.

Groundwater

Where groundwater levels are measured in boreholes there are several potential problems, namely:

 In low permeability soils groundwater may enter the hole very slowly or perhaps not at all during the time the hole is left open;

- A localised, perched water table may lead to an erroneous indication of the true water table;
- Water table levels will vary from time to time with seasons or recent weather changes. They may not be the same at the time of construction as are indicated in the report; and
- The use of water or mud as a drilling fluid will mask any groundwater inflow. Water has to be blown out of the hole and drilling mud must first be washed out of the hole if water measurements are to be made.

More reliable measurements can be made by installing standpipes which are read at intervals over several days, or perhaps weeks for low permeability soils. Piezometers, sealed in a particular stratum, may be advisable in low permeability soils or where there may be interference from a perched water table.

Reports

The report has been prepared by qualified personnel, is based on the information obtained from field and laboratory testing, and has been undertaken to current engineering standards of interpretation and analysis. Where the report has been prepared for a specific design proposal, the information and interpretation may not be relevant if the design proposal is changed. If this happens, DP will be pleased to review the report and the sufficiency of the investigation work.

Every care is taken with the report as it relates to interpretation of subsurface conditions, discussion of geotechnical and environmental aspects, and recommendations or suggestions for design and construction. However, DP cannot always anticipate or assume responsibility for:

- Unexpected variations in ground conditions. The potential for this will depend partly on borehole or pit spacing and sampling frequency;
- Changes in policy or interpretations of policy by statutory authorities; or
- The actions of contractors responding to commercial pressures.

If these occur, DP will be pleased to assist with investigations or advice to resolve the matter.

About this Report

Site Anomalies

In the event that conditions encountered on site during construction appear to vary from those which were expected from the information contained in the report, DP requests that it be immediately notified. Most problems are much more readily resolved when conditions are exposed rather than at some later stage, well after the event.

Information for Contractual Purposes

Where information obtained from this report is provided for tendering purposes, it is recommended that all information, including the written report and discussion, be made available. In circumstances where the discussion or comments section is not relevant to the contractual situation, it may be appropriate to prepare a specially edited document. DP would be pleased to assist in this regard and/or to make additional report copies available for contract purposes at a nominal charge.

Site Inspection

The company will always be pleased to provide engineering inspection services for geotechnical and environmental aspects of work to which this report is related. This could range from a site visit to confirm that conditions exposed are as expected, to full time engineering presence on site.

Sampling

Sampling is carried out during drilling or test pitting to allow engineering examination (and laboratory testing where required) of the soil or rock.

Disturbed samples taken during drilling provide information on colour, type, inclusions and, depending upon the degree of disturbance, some information on strength and structure.

Undisturbed samples are taken by pushing a thinwalled sample tube into the soil and withdrawing it to obtain a sample of the soil in a relatively undisturbed state. Such samples yield information on structure and strength, and are necessary for laboratory determination of shear strength and compressibility. Undisturbed sampling is generally effective only in cohesive soils.

Test Pits

Test pits are usually excavated with a backhoe or an excavator, allowing close examination of the insitu soil if it is safe to enter into the pit. The depth of excavation is limited to about 3 m for a backhoe and up to 6 m for a large excavator. A potential disadvantage of this investigation method is the larger area of disturbance to the site.

Large Diameter Augers

Boreholes can be drilled using a rotating plate or short spiral auger, generally 300 mm or larger in diameter commonly mounted on a standard piling rig. The cuttings are returned to the surface at intervals (generally not more than 0.5 m) and are disturbed but usually unchanged in moisture content. Identification of soil strata is generally much more reliable than with continuous spiral flight augers, and is usually supplemented by occasional undisturbed tube samples.

Continuous Spiral Flight Augers

The borehole is advanced using 90-115 mm diameter continuous spiral flight augers which are withdrawn at intervals to allow sampling or in-situ testing. This is a relatively economical means of drilling in clays and sands above the water table. Samples are returned to the surface, or may be collected after withdrawal of the auger flights, but they are disturbed and may be mixed with soils from the sides of the hole. Information from the drilling (as distinct from specific sampling by SPTs or undisturbed samples) is of relatively low reliability, due to the remoulding, possible mixing or softening of samples by groundwater.

Non-core Rotary Drilling

The borehole is advanced using a rotary bit, with water or drilling mud being pumped down the drill rods and returned up the annulus, carrying the drill cuttings. Only major changes in stratification can be determined from the cuttings, together with some information from the rate of penetration. Where drilling mud is used this can mask the cuttings and reliable identification is only possible from separate sampling such as SPTs.

Continuous Core Drilling

A continuous core sample can be obtained using a diamond tipped core barrel, usually with a 50 mm internal diameter. Provided full core recovery is achieved (which is not always possible in weak rocks and granular soils), this technique provides a very reliable method of investigation.

Standard Penetration Tests

Standard penetration tests (SPT) are used as a means of estimating the density or strength of soils and also of obtaining a relatively undisturbed sample. The test procedure is described in Australian Standard 1289, Methods of Testing Soils for Engineering Purposes - Test 6.3.1.

The test is carried out in a borehole by driving a 50 mm diameter split sample tube under the impact of a 63 kg hammer with a free fall of 760 mm. It is normal for the tube to be driven in three successive 150 mm increments and the 'N' value is taken as the number of blows for the last 300 mm. In dense sands, very hard clays or weak rock, the full 450 mm penetration may not be practicable and the test is discontinued.

The test results are reported in the following form.

 In the case where full penetration is obtained with successive blow counts for each 150 mm of, say, 4, 6 and 7 as:

4,6,7 N=13

In the case where the test is discontinued before the full penetration depth, say after 15 blows for the first 150 mm and 30 blows for the next 40 mm as:

15, 30/40 mm

Sampling Methods

The results of the SPT tests can be related empirically to the engineering properties of the soils.

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Tests / Perth Sand Penetrometer Tests

Dynamic penetrometer tests (DCP or PSP) are carried out by driving a steel rod into the ground using a standard weight of hammer falling a specified distance. As the rod penetrates the soil the number of blows required to penetrate each successive 150 mm depth are recorded. Normally there is a depth limitation of 1.2 m, but this may be extended in certain conditions by the use of extension rods. Two types of penetrometer are commonly used.

- Perth sand penetrometer a 16 mm diameter flat ended rod is driven using a 9 kg hammer dropping 600 mm (AS 1289, Test 6.3.3). This test was developed for testing the density of sands and is mainly used in granular soils and filling.
- Cone penetrometer a 16 mm diameter rod with a 20 mm diameter cone end is driven using a 9 kg hammer dropping 510 mm (AS 1289, Test 6.3.2). This test was developed initially for pavement subgrade investigations, and correlations of the test results with California Bearing Ratio have been published by various road authorities.

Soil Descriptions

Description and Classification Methods

The methods of description and classification of soils and rocks used in this report are generally based on Australian Standard AS1726:2017, Geotechnical Site Investigations. In general, the descriptions include strength or density, colour, structure, soil or rock type and inclusions.

Soil Types

Soil types are described according to the predominant particle size, qualified by the grading of other particles present:

Туре	Particle size (mm)	
Boulder	>200	
Cobble	63 - 200	
Gravel	2.36 - 63	
Sand	0.075 - 2.36	
Silt	0.002 - 0.075	
Clay	<0.002	

The sand and gravel sizes can be further subdivided as follows:

Туре	Particle size (mm)	
Coarse gravel	19 - 63	
Medium gravel	6.7 - 19	
Fine gravel	2.36 - 6.7	
Coarse sand	0.6 - 2.36	
Medium sand	0.21 - 0.6	
Fine sand	0.075 - 0.21	

Definitions of grading terms used are:

- Well graded a good representation of all particle sizes
- Poorly graded an excess or deficiency of particular sizes within the specified range
- Uniformly graded an excess of a particular particle size
- Gap graded a deficiency of a particular particle size with the range

The proportions of secondary constituents of soils are described as follows:

In	fine	grained	soils	(>35%	fines)
----	------	---------	-------	-------	-------	---

Term	Proportion	Example	
	of sand or		
	gravel		
And	Specify	Clay (60%) and	
		Sand (40%)	
Adjective	>30%	Sandy Clay	
With	15 – 30%	Clay with sand	
Trace	0 - 15%	Clay with trace	
		sand	

In coarse grained soils (>65% coarse)

with	clays	or	silts

Term	Proportion of fines	Example
And	Specify	Sand (70%) and Clay (30%)
Adjective	>12%	Clayey Sand
With	5 - 12%	Sand with clay
Trace	0 - 5%	Sand with trace
		clay

In coarse grained soils (>65% coarse)
 with coarser fraction

Term	Proportion	Example	
	of coarser		
	fraction		
And	Specify	Sand (60%) and	
		Gravel (40%)	
Adjective	>30%	Gravelly Sand	
With	15 - 30%	Sand with gravel	
Trace	0 - 15%	Sand with trace	
		gravel	

The presence of cobbles and boulders shall be specifically noted by beginning the description with 'Mix of Soil and Cobbles/Boulders' with the word order indicating the dominant first and the proportion of cobbles and boulders described together.

Soil Descriptions

Cohesive Soils

Cohesive soils, such as clays, are classified on the basis of undrained shear strength. The strength may be measured by laboratory testing, or estimated by field tests or engineering examination. The strength terms are defined as follows:

Description	Abbreviation	Undrained shear strength (kPa)
Very soft	VS	<12
Soft	S	12 - 25
Firm	F	25 - 50
Stiff	St	50 - 100
Very stiff	VSt	100 - 200
Hard	Н	>200
Friable	Fr	-

Cohesionless Soils

Cohesionless soils, such as clean sands, are classified on the basis of relative density, generally from the results of standard penetration tests (SPT), cone penetration tests (CPT) or dynamic penetrometers (PSP). The relative density terms are given below:

Relative Density	Abbreviation	Density Index (%)
Very loose	VL	<15
Loose	L	15-35
Medium dense	MD	35-65
Dense	D	65-85
Very dense	VD	>85

Soil Origin

It is often difficult to accurately determine the origin of a soil. Soils can generally be classified as:

- Residual soil derived from in-situ weathering of the underlying rock;
- Extremely weathered material formed from in-situ weathering of geological formations. Has soil strength but retains the structure or fabric of the parent rock;
- Alluvial soil deposited by streams and rivers;

- Estuarine soil deposited in coastal estuaries;
- Marine soil deposited in a marine environment;
- Lacustrine soil deposited in freshwater lakes;
- Aeolian soil carried and deposited by wind;
- Colluvial soil soil and rock debris transported down slopes by gravity;
- Topsoil mantle of surface soil, often with high levels of organic material.
- Fill any material which has been moved by man.

Moisture Condition – Coarse Grained Soils For coarse grained soils the moisture condition

should be described by appearance and feel using the following terms:

- Dry (D) Non-cohesive and free-running.
- Moist (M) Soil feels cool, darkened in colour.

Soil tends to stick together. Sand forms weak ball but breaks easily.

Wet (W) Soil feels cool, darkened in colour.

Soil tends to stick together, free water forms when handling.

Moisture Condition – Fine Grained Soils

For fine grained soils the assessment of moisture content is relative to their plastic limit or liquid limit, as follows:

- 'Moist, dry of plastic limit' or 'w <PL' (i.e. hard and friable or powdery).
- 'Moist, near plastic limit' or 'w ≈ PL (i.e. soil can be moulded at moisture content approximately equal to the plastic limit).
- 'Moist, wet of plastic limit' or 'w >PL' (i.e. soils usually weakened and free water forms on the hands when handling).
- 'Wet' or 'w ≈LL' (i.e. near the liquid limit).
- 'Wet' or 'w >LL' (i.e. wet of the liquid limit).

Rock Descriptions

Rock Strength

Rock strength is defined by the Unconfined Compressive Strength and it refers to the strength of the rock substance and not the strength of the overall rock mass, which may be considerably weaker due to defects.

The Point Load Strength Index $I_{S(50)}$ is commonly used to provide an estimate of the rock strength and site specific correlations should be developed to allow UCS values to be determined. The point load strength test procedure is described by Australian Standard AS4133.4.1-2007. The terms used to describe rock strength are as follows:

Strength Term	Abbreviation	Unconfined Compressive Strength MPa	Point Load Index * Is ₍₅₀₎ MPa
Very low	VL	0.6 - 2	0.03 - 0.1
Low	L	2 - 6	0.1 - 0.3
Medium	М	6 - 20	0.3 - 1.0
High	Н	20 - 60	1 - 3
Very high	VH	60 - 200	3 - 10
Extremely high	EH	>200	>10

* Assumes a ratio of 20:1 for UCS to $I_{S(50)}$. It should be noted that the UCS to $I_{S(50)}$ ratio varies significantly for different rock types and specific ratios should be determined for each site.

Degree of Weathering

The degree of weathering of rock is classified as follows:

Term	Abbreviation	Description
Residual Soil	RS	Material is weathered to such an extent that it has soil properties. Mass structure and material texture and fabric of original rock are no longer visible, but the soil has not been significantly transported.
Extremely weathered	XW	Material is weathered to such an extent that it has soil properties. Mass structure and material texture and fabric of original rock are still visible
Highly weathered	HW	The whole of the rock material is discoloured, usually by iron staining or bleaching to the extent that the colour of the original rock is not recognisable. Rock strength is significantly changed by weathering. Some primary minerals have weathered to clay minerals. Porosity may be increased by leaching, or may be decreased due to deposition of weathering products in pores.
Moderately weathered	MW	The whole of the rock material is discoloured, usually by iron staining or bleaching to the extent that the colour of the original rock is not recognisable, but shows little or no change of strength from fresh rock.
Slightly weathered	SW	Rock is partially discoloured with staining or bleaching along joints but shows little or no change of strength from fresh rock.
Fresh	FR	No signs of decomposition or staining.
Note: If HW and MW cannot be differentiated use DW (see below)		
Distinctly weathered	DW	Rock strength usually changed by weathering. The rock may be highly discoloured, usually by iron staining. Porosity may be increased by leaching or may be decreased due to deposition of weathered products in pores.

Rock Descriptions

Degree of Fracturing

The following classification applies to the spacing of natural fractures in diamond drill cores. It includes bedding plane partings, joints and other defects, but excludes drilling breaks.

Term	Description
Fragmented	Fragments of <20 mm
Highly Fractured	Core lengths of 20-40 mm with occasional fragments
Fractured	Core lengths of 30-100 mm with occasional shorter and longer sections
Slightly Fractured	Core lengths of 300 mm or longer with occasional sections of 100-300 mm
Unbroken	Core contains very few fractures

Rock Quality Designation

The quality of the cored rock can be measured using the Rock Quality Designation (RQD) index, defined as:

RQD % = <u>cumulative length of 'sound' core sections > 100 mm long</u> total drilled length of section being assessed

where 'sound' rock is assessed to be rock of low strength or stronger. The RQD applies only to natural fractures. If the core is broken by drilling or handling (i.e. drilling breaks) then the broken pieces are fitted back together and are not included in the calculation of RQD.

Stratification Spacing

For sedimentary rocks the following terms may be used to describe the spacing of bedding partings:

Term	Separation of Stratification Planes
Thinly laminated	< 6 mm
Laminated	6 mm to 20 mm
Very thinly bedded	20 mm to 60 mm
Thinly bedded	60 mm to 0.2 m
Medium bedded	0.2 m to 0.6 m
Thickly bedded	0.6 m to 2 m
Very thickly bedded	> 2 m

Symbols & Abbreviations

Introduction

These notes summarise abbreviations commonly used on borehole logs and test pit reports.

Drilling or Excavation Methods

С	Core drilling
R	Rotary drilling
SFA	Spiral flight augers
NMLC	Diamond core - 52 mm dia
NQ	Diamond core - 47 mm dia
HQ	Diamond core - 63 mm dia
PQ	Diamond core - 81 mm dia

Water

\triangleright	Water seep
\bigtriangledown	Water level

Sampling and Testing

- A Auger sample
- B Bulk sample
- D Disturbed sample
- E Environmental sample
- U₅₀ Undisturbed tube sample (50mm)
- W Water sample
- pp Pocket penetrometer (kPa)
- PID Photo ionisation detector
- PL Point load strength Is(50) MPa
- S Standard Penetration Test
- V Shear vane (kPa)

Description of Defects in Rock

The abbreviated descriptions of the defects should be in the following order: Depth, Type, Orientation, Coating, Shape, Roughness and Other. Drilling and handling breaks are not usually included on the logs.

Defect Type

В	Bedding plane
Cs	Clay seam
Cv	Cleavage
Cz	Crushed zone
Ds	Decomposed seam
F	Fault
J	Joint
Lam	Lamination
Pt	Parting
Sz	Sheared Zone
V	Vein

Orientation

The inclination of defects is always measured from the perpendicular to the core axis.

- v vertical
- sh sub-horizontal

art

sv sub-vertical

Coating or Infilling Term

clean
coating
healed
infilled
stained
tight
veneer

Coating Descriptor

ca	calcite
cbs	carbonaceous
cly	clay
fe	iron oxide
mn	manganese
slt	silty

Shape

cu	curved
ir	irregular
pl	planar
st	stepped
un	undulating

Roughness

ро	polished
ro	rough
sl	slickensided
sm	smooth
vr	very rough

Other

fg	fragmented
bnd	band
qtz	quartz

Symbols & Abbreviations

Graphic Symbols for Soil and Rock

General

A. A. A. Z	

Asphalt Road base

Concrete

Filling

Soils



0
Topsoil
Peat
Clay
Silty clay
Sandy clay
Gravelly clay
Shaly clay
Silt

Clayey silt

Sandy silt

Sand

Clayey sand

Silty sand

Gravel

Sandy gravel



Talus

Sedimentary Rocks



Metamorphic Rocks

 $\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & &$

Slate, phyllite, schist

Quartzite

Gneiss

Igneous Rocks

Granite

Dolerite, basalt, andesite

Dacite, epidote

Tuff, breccia

Porphyry

Appendix B

Drawings 1 – 8



			400	800	Legen 1,200	d 1,600 m
Douglas Partners Geotechnics Environment Groundwater		entre Salinity Investigat Road, Bringelly	ion		OFFICE: Macarth	
CLIENT: Western Parkland City Authority	PROJ. #: 222630.00	DRAWING No: 1	REVISION: 0	MGA	DATE: 2.10.202 SCALE: As Show	



			Metro Stat	getation Zones tion Site ding 1 Construction Site
A PROPERTY & 16 TO			0 100 200	300 400 m
	TITLE: Site Overview		N	OFFICE: Macarthur
Douglas Partners Geotechnics Environment Groundwater		entre Salinity Investigat	ion 🛛 🦚	DRAWN BY: ECR
Geotechnics Environment Groundwater	Badgerys Creek	Road, Bringelly	MGA	DATE: 2.10.2023
CLIENT: Western Parkland City Authority	PROJ. #: 222630.00	DRAWING No:	REVISION: 0	SCALE: As Shown



				 Stage 2 AMRF E Stormw 	t Hand Auger E Civil Test Pits Building 2 Bore vater Boreholes	s eholes s
1. 19 10 10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	19773 A		0 100	200	300	400 m
Douglas Partners Geotechnics Environment Groundwater	TITLE: Test Location Pl Bradfield City Ce Badgerys Creek	entre Salinity Investigat	tion	MGA	OFFICE: Macarthur DRAWN BY: ECR DATE: 2.10.2023	
CLIENT: Western Parkland City Authority	PROJ. #: 222630.00	DRAWING No: 3	REVISION: 0		SCALE: As Shown	







			Part and a second	Native Vegetation Zone Non Saline
				Slightly Saline Moderately Saline Very Saline
			0 100 20	00 300 400 m
Douglas Partners Geotechnics Environment Groundwater	-	t Locations Centre Salinity Investiga ek Road, Bringelly	ntion	OFFICE: Macarthur DRAWN BY: ECR DATE: 2.10.2023
CLIENT: Western Parkland City Authority	PROJ. #: 222630.00	DRAWING No:	REVISION: 0	SCALE: As Shown



					Site boundary Metro Station ressivity to Stee Non-Aggressiv Mildly Aggress	Site el re sive
Douglas Partners Geotechnics / Environment / Groundwater	TITLE: Aggressivity to S Bradfield City Ce Badgerys Creek	Steel entre Salinity Investigat		200 200	Moderately Ag 300 OFFICE: Macarthur DRAWN BY: ECR DATE: 2.10.2023	400 m
CLIENT: Western Parkland City Authority	PROJ. 222630.00	DRAWING No:	REVISION: 0		SCALE: As Shown	



	and a way	Asia 5		and the second second	Site boundary	
AST WE REAL AST OF A	1 March	John 1			Metro Station	Site
A PARTY SALAR AND	1. 1. 1. La	1. Plant	AND AND A	Agg	ressivity to Con	ncrete
	110000		10000	10 B	Non-Aggressiv	/e
ATT AND AND A	ANC SAL	10 Property			Mildly Aggress	sive
	108 8 G/K		1451		Moderately Ag	gressive
			0 100	200	300	400 m
	TITLE					
N Douglas Partners	Aggressivity to (Concrete			OFFICE: Macarthur	
Douglas Partners Geotechnics Environment Groundwater	Bradfield City Ce Badgerys Creek	entre Salinity Investigat	ion		DRAWN BY: ECR	
	Baugerys Creek		•	MGA	DATE: 2.10.2023	
CLIENT: Western Parkland City Authority	PROJ. 222630.00	DRAWING No:	REVISION: 0		SCALE: As Shown	

Appendix C

Test Pit and Borehole Logs

BOREHOLE LOG

SURFACE LEVEL: 78.3 mAHD BORE No: 3 EASTING: 290501 **NORTHING:** 6244210 **DIP/AZIMUTH:** 90°/--

PROJECT No: 222630.00 **DATE:** 8/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 2

		Description	Degree of Weathering	<u>0</u>	Rock Strength	Fracture	Discontinuities	Sa	amplii	ng & l	n Situ Testing
R	Depth (m)	of	Weathering	Log		Spacing (m)	B - Bedding J - Joint S - Shear F - Fault	Type	Core ≥c. %	RQD %	Test Results &
		Strata FILL/Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N			0.05				Ľ	Comments
4		high plasticity, red-brown, trace gravel, w <pl< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td> </td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>						 			
	0.9 - 1	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, brown mottled pale grey, trace gravel, w <pl, residual<="" stiff,="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>D S</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>3,4,6 N = 10</td></pl,>						D S	-		3,4,6 N = 10
	2	Below 1.6m: grading to brown, very stiff							-		11,22/150mm
20	2.15 -	SHALE: brown, very low strength, Bringelly Shale						S	-		refusal
 	2.9- 3	SHALE: brown, laminated, interbedded with thin sandstone					2.96-3.21m: Bx3, 1-3°, pl, cln				PL(A) = 0.22
75		bands, low strength, highly weathered, Bringelly Shale		킄] 3.38-4.41m: Bx2, 5-10°,				PL(A) = 0.19
		Between 3.5-4.9m: with thin, orange brown iron-indurated bands of medium or high strength					pl, cln 3.40-3.50m: J, 80°, pl, cln				PL(A) = 1
74	4						3.47m: J, 5-10°, ir, cln 3.52m: J, 35°, pl, cln 3.61-3.73m: Bx3, 1-5°, pl, cln 3.84-3.96m: Csx4, clay 1.8mm each 4.08-4.19m: Bx2, 2-3°, pl, cln 4.31m: Ds 10mm	С	100	69	PL(A) = 0.22
	5	Below 5.0m: grading to dark grey, reduced proportion of sandstone bands, with very low strength bands adjacent to decomposed seams, moderately weathered					4.48&4.70: Bx2, 1-2°, pl, cln 4.81-4.90m: J, 60°, pl, cln 4.96m: B, 2-3°, pl, clay 2mm 5.06-5.41m: Bx3, 2-3°, pl, cln 5.47m: Ds 5mm				PL(A) = 0.17
72	5.82 -	Interbedded SANDSTONE and SILTSTONE (80:20): dark grey and pale grey, thinly bedded to laminated, fine grained sandstone, occasional bands of disturbed bedding, medium strength, slightly					¹ 5.56m: Ds 5mm 5.77m: Ds 10mm 5.8m: Ds 20mm				PL(A) = 0.37
		weathered, Bringelly Shale					6.47&6.76m: Bx2, 5-10°, pl, cln 6.90-7.02m: J, 60°, pl, ca co and fe vn 7.07-7.42m: Bx3, 2-3°, pl, clay 0-1mm	С	100	84	PL(A) = 0.49
	7.42 -	SHALE: pale grey and dark grey, laminated to massive, with widely spaced zones of black carbonaceous shale, low strength, slightly weathered, Bringelly Shale					7.82m: J, 60°, pl, cln				

RIG: Explora 140

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

DRILLER: Ground Test (Steve)

LOGGED: EJ/HDS

CASING: HW to 2.5m, HQ to 2.9m

TYPE OF BORING: SFA to 2.5m, rotary drilling to 2.9m, NMLC coring to 15.0m WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed whilst augering **REMARKS:** Location coordinates are in MGA2020 Zone 56.

	SAM	IPLIN	3 & IN SITU TESTING	LEG	END					
1	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)		_		_	
E	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)					Partners
1	BLK Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(E) Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)		11.			Pariners
(Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)				7.40	
1	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test			O t t !		
1	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)			Geotechnic	s i Envir	onment Groundwater
						•				

BOREHOLE LOG

SURFACE LEVEL: 78.3 mAHD BORE No: 3 EASTING: 290501 **NORTHING:** 6244210 **DIP/AZIMUTH:** 90°/--

PROJECT No: 222630.00 **DATE:** 8/8/2023 SHEET 2 OF 2

\square		Description	Degree of Weathering .≌	Rock Strength	Fracture	Discontinuities			-	n Situ Testing
RL	Depth (m)	of	Degree of Weathering ≥ ≥ ≥ ≥ ∞ ∞	Strength Medium High High Kex High Mater	Spacing (m)	B - Bedding J - Joint	Type	Core Rec. %	aD %	Test Results &
		Strata	M H M S S S E	Ex Low High Ex H	0.10	S - Shear F - Fault	ΓĒ.	0 ª	R	Comments
		SHALE: pale grey and dark grey, laminated to massive, with widely spaced zones of black carbonaceous shale, low strength, slightly weathered, Bringelly Shale (continued)				8.28-8.42m: Bx3, 2-3°, ∫pl, clay 1-3mm 8.38m: Ds 20mm	С	100	84	PL(A) = 0.27
	-9					8.86-8.95m: Bx2, 1°, pl, cln 9.03-9.37m: Bx3, 1-3°, pl, clay 1mm 9.45m: J, 45°, pl, clay 5-10mm, fg	с	100	86	PL(A) = 0.27
	- 10	Below 10.10m: medium strength				10.11-10.42m: Bx3, 1-5°, pl, cln				PL(A) = 0.44
	- 11					10.56m: B, 1°, pl, cln 10.7m: Ds 60mm 10.85m: B, 15°, pl, cln 11.02-11.39m: Bx3, cu, cln				
	11.8	Interbedded SHALE and SANDSTONE (70:30): dark grey and pale grey, thinly laminated shale and fine grained sandstone,				11.57-11.78m: Bx4, 5-15°, pl, clay 0-3mm 11.62m: Ds 10mm 11.7m: Ds 10mm 12.14-12.57m: Bx5,				PL(A) = 1.1 PL(A) = 0.46
65 · · · · · · · 66	- 13	occasional zones of disturbed bedding and rounded shale clasts, medium strength, fresh, Bringelly Shale				2-3°, pl, clay 0-1mm 12.72-12.90m: Bx3, 0-3°, pl, cln 13.02-13.25m: Bx4, 0-5°, pl, cln	с	100	89	PL(A) = 0.86
	- 14					13.40&13.58m: B, 10°, pl, cln ∖ 13.98m: Ds 20mm				PL(A) = 0.54
						^L 14.00-14.66m: Bx5, 1-5°, pl, cln	с	100	95	PL(A) = 0.74
63	-15 15.0	Bore discontinued at 15.0m - limit of investigation								

RIG: Explora 140

DRILLER: Ground Test (Steve)

LOGGED: EJ/HDS

CASING: HW to 2.5m, HQ to 2.9m

TYPE OF BORING: SFA to 2.5m, rotary drilling to 2.9m, NMLC coring to 15.0m WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed whilst augering REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA2020 Zone 56.

SAMPLING & IN SITU TESTING LEGEND							
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)		
	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample	PL(A)	Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)		
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D) Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)		
C	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)		
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test		
E	Environmental samp	le 📱	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)		



CLIENT: PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority Proposed Urban Development LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

BORE: 3	PROJECT	T: BRADFIELD	AUGUST 2023		
Grotocimica E	ns Partners	Project No: 22263 p.00 BH ID: 8H3 Depth: 2.9 - 7n Core Box No:: 80x 1. of 3			
222630.00 BRADFE		<u>A</u>	· Start 2.9		
Bm (()	here and the			(And	
m Class			A	- Antesta	
m Carl Contraction	- #	in the second second second	A suday or a		
			стик ««Асфиналься», разлечаля така»		
		2.9m-7m			




SURFACE LEVEL: 78.2 mAHD BORE No: 6 EASTING: 290490 **NORTHING:** 6244180 DIP/AZIMUTH: 90°/--

PROJECT No: 222630.00 **DATE:** 4/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 2

		Description	Degree of Weathering	.cj	Rock Strength	Fracture	Discontinuities			-	n Situ Testing
묍	Depth (m)	of	Degree of Weathering ≥ ≥ ≥ ≥ ∞ ∝	Log	Ex Low Very Low High High Ex High Ex High	Spacing (m)	B - Bedding J - Joint	Type	sre %	RQD %	Test Results
	(,	Strata	FIS & W A E	Ū		0.10	S - Shear F - Fault	Ţ	ပိမ္စ	8%	& Comments
		FILL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to		\times				D			-
- 1	0.6 -	medium plasticity, brown, trace gravel, w <pl< td=""><td></td><td>\bigotimes</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>D</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>		\bigotimes				D			
	- 1	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, brown, trace gravel, w <pl, stiff, residual</pl, 									
	1.2	Silty OLAV OLOLI, madium to high		4				s			4,6,6
		Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, orange-brown and pale grey, trace ironstone gravel, w <pl, stiff, residual</pl, 									N = 12
	-2										
	2.5 2.65	Silty CLAY CI: medium plasticity, orange-brown with red-brown mottling, with highly weathered and		<u>7-7</u>				s			10,26/150mm refusal
F		extremely weathered bands, w <pl,< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,<>									
Ē	-3	hard, residual Interbedded SANDSTONE and									
75		SHALE (50:50): grey-brown and		E							
[]		dark orange-brown, thinly bedded to laminated, fine grained sandstone,					3.40-3.79m: Bx6, 1-5°, pl, clay 0-2mm				PL(A) = 0.27
74	- 4	occasional iron stained zones, low strength, moderately weathered, Bringelly Shale					4.02-4.45m: Bx7, 0-3°, pl, clay 0-4mm	с	100	36	PL(A) = 0.14
 	- 5	Between 4.65-5.60m: with medium strength and very low strength bands, increased proportion of shale (~80%), highly weathered					4.49-4.81m: Bx6, 2-3°, pl, clay 0-3mm 4.49-4.55m: J, 85°, un, fe vn 4.72m: Ds 30mm 5.04m: B, 5°, pl, cln				
12-						╡	^C 5.11-5.24m: J, 70°, pl, fe 5.29-5.32m: Bx2, 5-10°, ∫pl, cln	с	100	30	
72 + + + + + +	5.6 - - 6	SANDSTONE: pale grey, fine grained, thinly bedded, with occasional siltstone laminations and bands, medium strength, slightly weathered, Bringelly Shale					¹⁵ .32m: Ds 20mm 5.35m: B, 0-10°, cu, cln 5.56m: B, 5°, pl, cln 5.85m: B, 3°, pl, cln				PL(A) = 0.29
							6.62-6.84m: Bx3, 1-2°, pl, fe	с	100	95	PL(A) = 0.55
	-7	Below 7.02m: high strength					7.02-7.18m: Bx2, 2-3°, pl, cln				PL(A) = 1.2
	7.73-						7.57m: B, 5°, pl, cln				
F F							7.78m: B, 2°, pl, clay 1mm				PL(A) = 0.15

RIG: Explora 140

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

DRILLER: Ground Test (Steve) TYPE OF BORING: SFA to 2.5m, wash boring to 3.4m, NMLC coring to 10.35m

LOGGED: EJ/HDS

CASING: HW to 2.5m, HQ to 3.4m

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed whilst augering **REMARKS:** Location coordinates are in MGA2020 Zone 56.

	SAN	IPLIN	3 & IN SITU TESTING	LEG	END			
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)		_	
B	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		A) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)			Douglas Partners
B	LK Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(I	D) Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)	1		
C	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)			
	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test			On the herized I. Environment I. One we down to a
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)			Geotechnics Environment Groundwater

SURFACE LEVEL: 78.2 mAHD **EASTING:** 290490 NORTHING: 6244180 **DIP/AZIMUTH:** 90°/--

BORE No: 6 PROJECT No: 222630.00 **DATE:** 4/8/2023 SHEET 2 OF 2

Π		Description	Degree of Weathering and a state of the sta	Rock	Fracture	Discontinuities	S	amplii	na & I	n Situ Testing
RL	Depth	of	Weathering	KEX LOW Very Low Medium Medium Very Low Very Low Very Low Very Low Very Low Very Low	Spacing	B - Bedding J - Joint			-	Test Results
Ľ	(m)	Strata	- Gra	X High Low	0.100 0.100 1.000 0.50 (m)	S - Shear F - Fault	Type	Core Rec. %	RQI %	& Comments
- ²	-	SHALE: dark grey, laminated, low and medium strength with occasional lower strength zones, slightly weathered, Bringelly Shale (continued)	□ H ≥ S L L 			8.38-8.42m: Bx4, 5-10°, pl, cln	с	100		Commenta
	- - 9 - -					8.78m: B, 2°, pl, clay 1mm 9.19-9.44m: Bx2, 2-5°, pl, cln	с	100	93	
	- - - - - - 10					9.55m: B, 5°, pl, clay 1mm 9.6m: Ds 5mm 9.65m: Cs 70mm 9.88m: Cs 20mm 10.09m: B, 0°, pl, clay				PL(A) = 0.16
- ⁶⁸	10.25	Bore discontinued at 10.25m - limit of investigation				10.25m: B, 0°, cln				
	- 11									
	- 12 									
	- - - - - - -									
64	- - - 14 - - -									
	- 15 - 15 									

RIG: Explora 140 TYPE OF BORING: SFA to 2.5m, wash boring to 3.4m, NMLC coring to 10.35m

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

DRILLER: Ground Test (Steve)

LOGGED: EJ/HDS

CASING: HW to 2.5m, HQ to 3.4m

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed whilst augering

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA2020 Zone 56.

	SAM	IPLIN	3 & IN SITU TESTING	LEG	END]		
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)		_	
B	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)			Douglas Partners
BL	K Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D) Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)	1	1.	Loudias Pariners
C	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)			
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test			
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)			Geotechnics Environment Groundwater
•						-		





SURFACE LEVEL: 80.4 mAHD **EASTING:** 290465 **NORTHING:** 6244149 **DIP/AZIMUTH:** 90°/--

BORE No: 11 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 17/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 2

П		D	Degree of	Rock	Fracture	Discontinuities	6	moli	20.01	n Situ Testing
RL	Depth	Description	Weathering	Strength	j Spacing			. ·	<u> </u>	Test Results
Ľ.	(m)	Strata	Weathering Man A Man S S S H		0.005 0.105 0.100 (W)	B - Bedding J - Joint S - Shear F - Fault	Type	Rec.	RQD %	& Comments
-8	- - - 0.3	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, dark brown, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse gravel, w <pl Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high</pl 					D D			
	- - - - - 1 -	plasticity, red-brown, trace gravel, w <pl, residual<="" stiff,="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2,4,7</td></pl,>								2,4,7
. 62	- - - -	Below 1.3m: grading to pale grey					S D U ₅₀			N = 11
78	-2 - 2.3 -	3 Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, pale grey mottled pale brown, with extremely weathered shale bands, w <pl, stiff,<br="" very="">residual</pl,>					s			6,12,17 N = 29
	- 3 - 3 - 3.2 - 3.2									
	- 3.5 - - - - 4 - -	SHALE: grey-brown with bands of orange and grey, laminated, very low strength with bands of low strength, highly weathered, Bringelly Shale				3.5m: Cs 50mm 3.61-3.72m: Bx3, 0-5°, pl, clay vn 3.8m: Ds 50mm 3.85m: J, 90°, ir, cln 4.21m: J, 30°, ir, clay vn, fg 4.42m: Ds 40mm	С	100	73	PL(A) = 0.16 PL(A) = 0.07
	- 4.6 - - - 5 -	Interbedded SANDSTONE and SHALE (50:50): grey-brown, grey and orange-brown, laminated to thinly bedded, fine grained sand, with carbonaceous laminations in upper 0.4m, occasional iron				4.81-4.89m: Bx2, 10-15°, pl, cln 4.97m: J, 70°, pl, cln 5.09-5.18m: Bx2, 0-10°, pl, fe vn				
75	- - - -	indurated, stained or cemented zones, low then medium strength, highly to moderately weathered, Bringelly Shale				5.31m: J, 30°, pl, clay vn 5.43-5.75m: Bx4, 0-10°, pl, fe stn				PL(A) = 0.43
74	- 6 - - - -	Between 6.40-7.40m: increased				5.95-6.32m: Bx4, 10°, pl, fe stn 6.18m: J, 60°, pl, fe, ti				PL(A) = 0.3 PL(A) = 0.3
	- - - - 7 -	proportion of shale (~90%), grading to slightly weathered				6.71m: Ds 10mm 6.96-7.20m: Bx2, 5-10°, pl, cln	С	100	97	PL(A) = 0.32
73						7.28&7.64m: Bx2, 10°, pl, cln 7.3m: J, 30°, pl, cln				PL(A) = 0.63

RIG: Explora 140 TYPE OF BORING: SFA to 3.5m, NMLC coring to 10.00m

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

LOCATION:

Western Parkland City Authority

Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

Proposed Urban Development

DRILLER: Ground Test (Steve)

LOGGED: EJ/HDS

CASING: HW to 2.5m, HQ to 3.5m

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed whilst augering

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA2020 Zone 56. Drilled adjacent to a stockpile within a site works compound

	SAM	PLIN	G & IN SITU TESTING	LEG	END]		
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)		_	
B	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)			Douglas Partners
BLł	K Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(C) Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)	1		
C	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)			
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test			
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)			Geotechnics Environment Groundwater

SURFACE LEVEL: 80.4 mAHD **EASTING**: 290465 NORTHING: 6244149 **DIP/AZIMUTH:** 90°/--

BORE No: 11 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 17/8/2023 SHEET 2 OF 2

		Description	Degree of Weathering ﷺ ≩ ≩ ଛ ଝ ଝ	<u>o</u>	Rock Strength ক্র	Fracture	Discontinuities				n Situ Testing
⊾	Depth (m)	of		iraph Log	Ex Low Very Low Medium High Ex High Ex High	Spacing (m)	B - Bedding J - Joint	Type	ore 2. %	RQD %	Test Results &
	. ,		FIS W MW EW	G			S - Shear F - Fault	Ţ	ပိမ္ဆ	Я°,	Comments
72		Interbedded SANDSTONE and SHALE (50:50): as previous					8.65m: J, 15°, pl,cln 8.75-9.31m: Bx6, 10°, pl,	С	100	97	PL(A) = 0.49
71	-99.02- 	SHALE: dark grey, laminated, low strength, fresh, Bringelly Shale					cln 9.18m: J, 20°, un, cln	С	100	100	PL(A) = 0.26
	- - 10 10.0	Bore discontinued at 10.0m				I I					
	-	- target depth reached									
02	- - - -										
Ē	-11										
- 69	-										
	- - 12 -										
- 89											
	- - 13 -										
	- - - -										
	- 14 - -										
99	- - - - -										
65	- 15 - - - - - - - - -										

RIG: Explora 140 TYPE OF BORING: SFA to 3.5m, NMLC coring to 10.00m

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

LOCATION:

Western Parkland City Authority

Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

Proposed Urban Development

DRILLER: Ground Test (Steve)

LOGGED: EJ/HDS

CASING: HW to 2.5m, HQ to 3.5m

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed whilst augering

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA2020 Zone 56. Drilled adjacent to a stockpile within a site works compound

	SAM	PLIN	3 & IN SITU TESTING	LEGEND	
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	
В	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample	PL(A) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	
BLI	< Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D) Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)	A Douolas Parmers
C	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	Douglas Partners
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S Standard penetration test	
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V Shear vane (kPa)	Geotechnics Environment Groundwater
DE		₽ ¥			Geotechnics Environment Groundwate





 SURFACE LEVEL:
 81.3 mAHD
 PIT No:
 101

 EASTING:
 290469
 PROJECT No

 NORTHING:
 6244334
 DATE:
 8/8/2

PIT No: 101 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 8/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

Γ		Description	. <u>0</u>		Sam	pling &	& In Situ Testing		
R	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
	. ,	Strata	U			San	Comments	-	5 10 15 20
- <u>-</u>	- 0.1	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, dark brown, with fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w< <pl< td=""><td>1/1</td><td>D</td><td>0.1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>┊ ┊<mark>╷┊┈┦</mark> ┊ │</td></pl<>	1/1	D	0.1				┊ ┊ <mark>╷┊┈┦</mark> ┊ │
	-	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, pale grey, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl, residual<="" stiff,="" td="" very=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D	0.5				
	- - 1 - -	Below 0.9m: grading into extremely weathered shale, very stiff, with highly weathered low strength bands		D	1.0				
-	- 1.5	SHALE: pale brown, low to medium strength, highly weathered, Bringelly Shale		D	1.5				
62	-2			D	2.0				2
-	- 2.5	Pit discontinued at 2.5m						-	-
ł	- -	- refusal on low to medium strength shale							
	-3								-3
-12	- -								
F	- -								
ŀ	-4								-4
-	-								
ł	-								
ł	-5								-5
- 92	- -								
ŀ	- - -								
Ē	-6								-6
75	- -								
Ē	-								
ŀ									-7
4	-								
Ę	- - -								
È	- -								
-	-8								-8
73	-								
Ē	- - -								
Ē	-9								-9
12	-								
E	-								
Ē	- 10								-10
12	-								
		1						1	

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAM	PLING	& IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND]
А	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	L
в	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	L
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)	L
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	L
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	L
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	



 SURFACE LEVEL:
 76.2 mAHD
 PIT No:
 103

 EASTING:
 290664
 PROJECT No

 NORTHING:
 6244342
 DATE:
 10/8/

PIT No: 103 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 10/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

Bernoling & In Stu Tosing Bernoling & In Stu Tosing Description of Statin Statin Statin Statin stating Tosing Statin Stating Stating stating Tosing Stating Stating Stating stating Stating Stating Stating Stating stating Stating Stating Stating Stating stating Stating Stating Stating Stating stating S			Description	jc		Sam		& In Situ Testing	1	Dumomio Donotromotor Toot
e 0.1 TOPSOLUSING CLAY CL-CI: too medium plasticity. a7 0.6 0.3 a7 0.6 0.3 a8 0.6 0.3 a7 0.6 0.3 a8 0.6 0.3 a7 0.6 0.3 a7 0.6 0.3 a7 0.6 0.3 a8 0.6 0.4 a9 0.6 0.6 a9 0.6 0.6 a9 0.6 0.6 a1 b8 0.6 a1 b8 0.6 a2 0.6 0.7 a3 b44.5 cstraphy whithered shale, wary lead a4 1.3 1.3 b8 0.6 0.6 a3 0.6 0.6 a4 0.6 0.6 a5 0.6 0.6 a6 0.6 0.6 a7 0.6 0.6 a6 0.6 0.6 a7 0.6 0.6 a8 0.6 0.6 a9 0.6 0.6 a9 0.6 0.6 a9 0.6 0.6 a9 <td>RL</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Srapt</td> <td>ype</td> <td>epth</td> <td>mple</td> <td>Results &</td> <td>Wate</td> <td>(blows per 150mm)</td>	RL			Srapt	ype	epth	mple	Results &	Wate	(blows per 150mm)
A Stip CLAY CEAP: medium to high pissicity, red.brown, below 0.6m; grading to pale gray, way stiff DB 0.5 Fit 13 Below 0.6m; grading to adverge weathered shale, way effect of adverge bands 13 Fit 13 Heldew 0.6m; grading to adverge bands 13 Fit 13 Heldew 0.6m; grading to adverge bands 13 Fit 13 Heldew 0.6m; grading to adverge bands 13 Fit 13 Heldew 0.6m; grading to adverge bands 13 Fit 13 Heldew 0.6m; grading to adverge bands 13 Fit 13 Heldew 0.6m; grading to adverge bands 13 Fit 13 Heldew 0.6m; grading to adverge bands 13 Fit 14 14 14 Fit 14 14 14 Fit 15 5 5 Fit 5 5 5 Fit 5 5 5 Fit 6 6 6 Fit 7 7 7 Fit 7 7 7 Fit 6 6 6		0.1			É.	ă	Sal	Comments		5 10 15 20
0.7 WeFL, wey stiff, residual 2 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 14 1 14 1 14 1 14 1 14 1 14 1 15 1 15 1 15 1 15 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 17 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 17 1 18 1 18 1 18 1 18 1 18 1 18 1 18 1 18 1	26	- 0.1			D/B	0.3				{
Telew 0.4m. grading to pale grey, very stiff -1 13 Telew 0.6m. grading to edite any weathered shade, very stiff -1 13 Telew 0.6m. grading to edite any weathered shade, very stiff -1 14 Telew 0.6m. grading to edite any weathered shade, very stiff -1 14 Telew 0.6m. grading to edite any weathered shade, very stiff -1 14 Telew 0.6m. grading to edite any weathered shade, very stiff -1 14 Telew 0.6m. grading to edite any state of the stiff -1 15 Telew 0.6m. grading to edite any state of the stiff -1 15 Telew 0.6m. grading to edite any state of the stiff -2 16 Telew 0.6m. grading to edite any state of the stiff -2 17 Telew 0.6m. grading to edite any state of the stiff -2 18 -2 -3 19 -4 -4 10 -6 -6	-	-	∫ Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown, ∫ w <pl residual<="" stiff="" td="" very=""><td></td><td>D/B</td><td>0.6</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl>		D/B	0.6				
1.3 SHALE: red brown, low to medium strength, highly 1.3 Pit discontinued at 1.3m - refusal on low to medium strength shale - 2 - - refusal on low to medium strength shale - 2 - -	ł	.	Below 0.4m: grading to pale grey, very stiff							
Image: Shale	75		Below 0.6m: grading into extremely weathered shale, very stiff, with low strength, highly weathered bands							
Pit discontinued at 1.3m -refusal on low to medium strength shale -2 - - -	Ē	- 1.3	$\overline{\ }$ SHALE: red-brown, low to medium strength, highly			-1.3-				
		-	Pit discontinued at 1.3m							
	ł	-2	- refusal on low to medium strength shale							-2
	74	-								
	F	-								
	-									
	73	-3								
	-	-								
		-								
	ł	- 4								-4
	72									
	-	-								
	-	-								
	- 12	- 5 -								
	Ē									
	Ē									
		-6								-6
	22									
	ł	-								
	ł	- 7								
	- 69									
	-	-								
	Ē	-								
	-	- 8								-8
	- 39									
	Ē									
	Ē	- 9								-9
	- 29	-								
	ł									
	-	:								
	Ē	- 10								-10
	- 30	-								

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAM	PLING	& IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND]
А	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	L
в	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	L
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)	L
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	L
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	L
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	



 SURFACE LEVEL:
 73.0 mAHD
 PIT No:
 104

 EASTING:
 290725
 PROJECT No

 NORTHING:
 6244384
 DATE:
 108/

PIT No: 104 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 10/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

Image: Constraint of the strate of the st	
Image: Control of the second secon	c Penetrometer Test ws per 150mm)
1 02 dark brown, with rootels, trace fine to coarse gravel, wePL. Sitty CLAY CLCH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootels, wePL, stiff, residual Below 0.8m: trace ironstone, very stiff D/B 0.5 Below 1.4m: grading to pale grey, with extremely weathered shale bands D 1.0 2 2.0 Below 1.9m: with low strength, highly weathered shale 2.2 SHALE: pale brown, low to medium strength, highly weathered, Bringelly Shale 2.2 SHALE: pale brown, low to medium strength highly 1/2 -3 - refusal on low to medium strength shale -3 1/2 -6 -6 -6	10 15 20
R 2 2.0 Below 1.9m: with low strength, highly weathered shale -2 bands SHALE: pale brown, low to medium strength, highly Pit discontinued at 2.2m - refusal on low to medium strength shale -3 - - refusal on low to medium strength shale -3	
SHALE: pale brown, low to medium strength, highly Weathered, Bringelly Shale Pit discontinued at 2.2m - refusal on low to medium strength shale -3 -8 -4 -5 -6	
Pit discontinued at 2.2m - refusal on low to medium strength shale -3 -4 -4 -4 -5 -6 -6	

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAM	PLING	3 & IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND]
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	
В	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)	
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	



Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

CLIENT: PROJECT:

SURFACE LEVEL: 76.1 mAHD PIT No: 105 EASTING: 290716 PROJECT No NORTHING: 6244460 DATE: 8/8/2

PIT No: 105 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 8/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

			Description	.e		Sam		& In Situ Testing	-	
RL	Dep (m	oth ו)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
76	-		Strata TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium strength, dark brown mottled red, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse	xx		□ 0.1	Sa		-	5 10 15 20 - · · _] · · · ·
Ē		0.2	brown mottled red, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse $\int gravel, w < PL$		D	0.5				
-	-		Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, red-brown $\$ mottled grey, trace rootlets, w <pl, residual<="" td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D	0.5				
75	-1		Below 0.8m: grading to pale grey mottled red, with extremely weathered shale bands		D	1.0				
-	-	1.5	Below 1.3m: grading to pale grey, with low strength, highly weathered shale bands		D	1.5				
	-		SHALE: pale brown, very low to low strength, Bringelly							
74	-2		Shale		D	2.0				-2
-		2.8	Pit discontinued at 2.8m		—D—	-2.8-				
12	-3		- refusal on low to medium strength shale							-3
-	-									
Ē	-4									-4
72										
-										
-	-5									5
	-									
- 02	-6									6
ŀ										
-	-									
- 69	-7									7
ŀ										
-										
- 89	-8									-8
-	-									
	- 9									-9
- <u>- </u>	-									
Ē										
F.	- 10									- 10
99										
L	L								1	

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

	SAN	MPLING	& IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND	1
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	
	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)	
	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	



SURFACE LEVEL: 79.7 mAHD PIT No: 106 EASTING: 290625 **NORTHING:** 6244450

PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 8/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

Γ			Description	<u>.</u>		Sam		& In Situ Testing			
Ч	Dep (m		of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)	
			Strata	0	Ļ		Sar	Comments	-	5 10 15 20	
ŧ	ŀ	0.2	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, $\$ dark brown, with fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w< <pl <="" td=""><td>¥Й.</td><td>D</td><td>0.1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl>	¥Й.	D	0.1					
62	-		Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, pale grey, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl, stiff,<br="" very="">residual</pl,>		D/	0.5					
	-1	1.1	Below 0.9m: grading into extremely weathered, hard, with low strength, highly weathered bands		B D-⁄	- 1.0					
78			SHALE: pale red-brown, low to medium strength, Bringelly Shale			1.5					
Ē	-2	1.9	Pit discontinued at 1.9m		—D—	—1.9—			_	-2	
			- refusal on low to medium strength shale								
	E										
-	-3									-3	
76											
-	-4									-4	
75											
-	-5									-5	
74											
-	-6									6	
73											
-	-7									-7	
72											
-	-8									-8	
12	-										
-	-9									-9	
02	- - -										
	- 10									-10	
ŀ	ł										

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

	SAM	PLINC	3 & IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND	1
А	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	
В	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	11
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)	
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	
E	Environmental sample	ž	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	

□ Sand Penetrometer AS1289.6.3.3 ☑ Cone Penetrometer AS1289.6.3.2



Western Parkland City Authority

PROJECT:

CLIENT:

Proposed Urban Development LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

 SURFACE LEVEL:
 76.2 mAHD
 PIT No:
 107

 EASTING:
 290697
 PROJECT No

 NORTHING:
 6244517
 DATE:
 8/8/2

PIT No: 107 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 8/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

Π		Description	. <u>u</u>		Sam	pling 8	& In Situ Testing		
R	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
		Strata				Sar	Comments		5 10 15 20
- 26	0.1	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, dark brown mottled red, with fine gravel and rootlets, w< <pl< td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.1 0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>		D	0.1 0.5				
	- 0.5 - - - - 1	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red and pale brown, trace rootlets, with extremely weathered shale, very stiff and low strength, highly weathered bands, residual		D					
75	. ['] 1.1	SHALE: pale brown, medium strength, Bringelly Shale		-D/B-	-1.1-				
	- - -	Pit discontinued at 1.1m - refusal on medium strength shale							
4	-2								-2
	- - -								
73	-3								-3
E									
22	-4								-4
	-5								-5
	-6								-6
22									
Ē	7								7
69	-7								-7
E									
E									
68	-8								-8
F ^{ij}									
E									
	-9								-9
67									
99	- 10								-10
Ē	-								

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAM	PLING	3 & IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND	1
А	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	
	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D) Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)	
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	
E	Environmental sample	ž	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	



 SURFACE LEVEL:
 71.6 mAHD
 PIT No:
 108

 EASTING:
 290828
 PROJECT No

 NORTHING:
 6244423
 DATE:
 8/8/2

PIT No: 108 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 8/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

			Description	. <u>0</u>		Sam		& In Situ Testing		
묍		pth n)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
	`	<i>,</i>	Strata	Ū	Ty	De	Sam	Comments		5 10 15 20
	-	0.2	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-Cl: low to medium plasticity, \dark brown, with rootlets, w <pl< td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>		D	0.1				
- 12	-		Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown mottled grey, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl, hard,="" residual<="" stiff="" td="" to="" very=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D	0.5				
	- 1		Below 0.9m: extremely weathered shale bands, very stiff to hard		D/B	1.0				
. 0 <u>/</u>	-		Below 1.8m; grading to pale grey, with low strength, highly							
	-2	2.1	Below 1.8m: grading to pale grey, with low strength, highly weathered shale band SHALE: pale brown, low to medium strength, highly		D	2.0		pp = 440-450		-2
- 69 -	-		SHALE: pale brown, low to medium strength, highly weathered, Bringelly Shale							
	- 3	2.2			—D—	2.2				-3
- 89	-	3.3-	Pit discontinued at 3.3m - target depth reached			-3.3-				
	- 4									-4
	-									
	- 5									-5
99	-									
	- 6									-6
65	-									
	-7									-7
- 19	-									
	- 8									-8
 63	-									
	- 9									-9
	-									
62	- - - - 10									-10
	-									

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAN	IPLING	& IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND	1
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	
В	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)	
	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	



Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

CLIENT: PROJECT:
 SURFACE LEVEL:
 69.1 mAHD
 PIT No:
 109

 EASTING:
 290880
 PROJECT No

 NORTHING:
 6244496
 DATE:
 8/8/2

PIT No: 109 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 8/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

\square			Description	. <u>u</u>		Sam	pling &	& In Situ Testing		
RL	Dep (m	oth ו)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
			Strata	0 V			Sar	Comments	-	5 10 15 20
69	-	0.2	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, $\$ dark brown, with fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl <math="">/</pl>		D	0.1				
	-		Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown mottled pale brown, trace rootlets, w <pl, firm="" residual<="" stiff,="" td="" to=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D	0.5				
. 89	- - 1 -		Below 0.8m: grading to red-brown, trace fine to coarse ironstone gravel, stiff		D	1.0				
	-		Below 1.3m: grading to pale grey mottled red		D	1.5		pp = 190-200		
	-2		Below 1.9m: grading to pale grey, extremely weathered shale, with very low strength, highly weathered bands		D	2.0		pp = 230-260		-2
	-	2.3 2.5	SHALE: pale brown, low to medium strength, highly \weathered, Bringelly Shale		D	2.3				
ł	-		Pit discontinued at 2.5m							
. 99	-3		- target depth reached							-3
-	-									
	-									
65	- 4									-4
Ē	-									
	-									
64	- - 5 -									-5
	-									
	-									
	- 6									-6
63	-									
	-									
	- - -7									7
62										
	-									
	-									
61	-8 - -									-8
Ē	-									
[-									
. 09	-9									9
	-									
	-									
59	- - 10									-10
	-									

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

A Auger sample G Gas sample PID Photo ionisation detector (ppm) B Bulk sample P Piston sample (xmm dia.) PL(A) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa) BLK Block sample Ux Tube sample (xmm dia.) PL(D) Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa) C Core drilling W Water sample pp D Distributed trameter N Water sample pp		SAME	LING	3 & IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND	1
BLK Block sample U, Tube sample (x mm dia.) PL(D) Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa C Core drilling W Water sample pp Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	
C Core drilling W Water sample pp Pocket penetrometer (kPa)			Р				
	BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D) Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)	
D Disturbed comple N Water coop S Standard ponetration test	C		Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	
D Distuided sample V Water seep 3 Standard penetration test	D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	
E Environmental sample 📱 Water level V Shear vane (kPa)	E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	



 SURFACE LEVEL:
 73.0 mAHD
 PIT No:
 110

 EASTING:
 290765
 PROJECT No

 NORTHING:
 6244281
 DATE:
 10/8/

PIT No: 110 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 10/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

		Τ	Description	U		Sam	pling &	& In Situ Testing		
RL	Deptł (m)	h	of	Graphic Log	e	÷	ple	Populto 8	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
	(11)		Strata	ΰ	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	1	5 10 15 20
£∠	- - - 0	0.3-	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity dark and pale brown, with fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w< <pl Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown,</pl 		D/B	0.5				
72	- - - 1 - -		trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl, stiff,<br="">residual Below 0.8m: grading to pale grey, with extremely weathered shale, very stiff, with low strength, highly weathered shale bands</pl,>		D/B	1.0				
-	- 1	1.5-	SHALE: red and pale brown, low to medium strength, highly weathered, Bringelly Shale		D	1.5		pp = 390		
. 12	-2	2.3-			D —D—	2.0 2.3		pp = 290		-2
		2.3	Pit discontinued at 2.3m - refusal on low to medium strength shale		_0_	-2.3-				
, 0 <u>/</u>	-3									-3
-	-									
. 69	-4									-4
	-									
. 89	-5									-5
-	- - -									
	-6									6
	-									
66	- 7									-7
-	- - -									
65										-8
-	-									
	-									
64	-9									-9
	- - -									
63	- 10									10
Ł	_									

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAM	PLING	& IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND]
А	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	
в	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)	
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	
E	Environmental sample	ž	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	



Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

CLIENT: PROJECT: SURFACE LEVEL: 82.1 mAHD PIT No: 113 **EASTING:** 290412 **NORTHING:** 6244084

PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 10/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

		Description	.2		Sam		& In Situ Testing	5	Dynamic Penetrometer Test
RL	Depth (m)	of Strata	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	(blows per 150mm)
81	0.15			D/B D	0.5	S			
	- 1.8 - 1.81 - 2	SHALE: red-brown, low to medium strength, highly weathered, Bringelly Shale Pit discontinued at 1.81m - refusal on low to medium strength shale							-2
62	-3								-3
78	-4								-4
	- 5								-5
	- 6								-6
	- 7 								-7
74	- 8 								-8
	-9 								-9
72	- 10								-10

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface, test pit moved east (offset 3m)

	SAM	MPLING	3 & IN SITU TESTING	LEG	END]		
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)			
B	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample	PL(A) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)			
BLI	< Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(C) Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)			Douglas
C	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)			D UUUIA
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test			
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)			Geotechnics Env
	•						_	Cooleenning Env



Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

CLIENT: PROJECT: SURFACE LEVEL: 77.3 mAHD PIT No: 116 **EASTING:** 290396 NORTHING: 6243981

PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 10/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

\square	_	Description	.e		Sam		& In Situ Testing	<u>ب</u>	
R	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
		Strata		i F	ă	Sa	Comments		5 10 15 20 · · · · · ·
44	0.3								
		Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl, stiff,<="" td=""><td></td><td>D/B</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D/B	0.5				
	- 0.9 -1 1.0	Selow 0.7m: extremely weathered shale bands, stiff to	<u> </u>	D	0.9				
- 92		SHALE: pale brown, low to medium strength, highly							
		weathered, Bringelly Shale							
	-2	- refusal on medium strength shale							-2
75									
	-3								-3
4									
									-4
5	-4								
	-5								-5
	-6								-6
-7-									
	-7								-7
-2									
	-8								-8
69									
	-9								-9
68									
	- 10								-10
. 29									

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

□ Sand Penetrometer AS1289.6.3.3

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface, test pit near Telstra service (offset 10m)

		on oanaco, toot pit i		⊠ Cone Penetrometer AS1289.6.3.2
SAM	PLIN	G & IN SITU TESTING	LEGEND	
A Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	
B Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample	PL(A) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	Douglas Partners
BLK Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D) Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)	Dolidiae Partnere
C Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	
D Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S Standard penetration test	
E Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V Shear vane (kPa)	Geotechnics Environment Groundwater
· · · · ·				

 SURFACE LEVEL:
 75.5 mAHD
 PIT No:
 117

 EASTING:
 290392
 PROJECT No

 NORTHING:
 6243926
 DATE:
 8/8/2

PIT No: 117 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 8/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

Γ		Description	. <u>0</u>		Sam	pling &	& In Situ Testing	_	
Ч	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
F		Strata		́Р́	صّ 0.1	Sa	Comments		5 10 15 20 · · · · · · ·
ł	- 0.2		1/1/						
75	-	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl, firm="" stiff,<="" td="" to=""><td></td><td>D/B</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D/B	0.5				
Ē	- 1	residual Below 0.9m: grading to pale brown mottled grey, extremely weathered shale, hard		D	1.0				
	-								
74	-	Below 1.3m: grading to pale grey, with low strength, highly weathered shale bands		D	1.5				
	-2	SHALE: pale grey, low to medium strength, highly weathered, Bringelly Shale			0.0				-2
- - -	- 2.2	Pit discontinued at 2.2m - refusal on medium strength shale		—D—	-2.2-				
	-								
Ē	-3								-3
72	-								
Ē	-								
ŀ	- 4								-4
4	-								
ŀ									
Ē	-5 - -								-5
2	-								
Ē	- - - 6								-6
ł	-								
-68	-								
E	- - -7								-7
ŀ	-								
-8	-								
ŀ	- - - 8								-8
Ē	-								
19	-								
Ē	-9								9
-	-								
	-								
ŀ	- 10								-10
-	-								

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Heavy grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAMF	PLINC	3 & IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	IND	
А	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	
	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D) Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)	
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	



 SURFACE LEVEL:
 77.5 mAHD
 PIT No:
 118

 EASTING:
 290470
 PROJECT No

 NORTHING:
 6243959
 DATE:
 8/8/2

PIT No: 118 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 8/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

Π		Description	ic		Sam		& In Situ Testing	_	Durania Danatana tan Tart
RL	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
		Strata	G	Тy	De	Sar	Comments		5 10 15 20
	0.2	FILL/TOPSOIL: Silty CLAY CL-Cl, low to medium plasticity, dark brown, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse gravel, w <pl< td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>		D	0.1				
44		Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown, trace fine to coarse ironstone gravel and rootlets, w <pl, very stiff, residual</pl, 		D/B D	0.5				
-	- 1	^L Below 0.8m: grading to pale grey mottled red, stiff		U	1.0				ן' ה
76	- 1.7	Below 1.3m: with extremely weathered shale bands, very stiff		D	1.5		pp = 290-310		
	- 1.9	SHALE: red-brown, low to medium strength, highly weathered, Bringelly Shale						-	-2
5	-	Pit discontinued at 1.9m - refusal on low to medium strength shale							
-	-								
-	-3								-3
74	-								
-	-4								-4
-	-								
73	-								
	-5								-5
72	-								
-									
12	-								
-	-7								-7
- 02	-								
-									
	-8 - -								-8
- 69 									
	-9								-9
8									
	-								
	-10								-10
E	[

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAM	PLINC	3 & IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND
А	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)
	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)



 SURFACE LEVEL:
 71.3 mAHD
 PIT No:
 121

 EASTING:
 290578
 PROJECT No

 NORTHING:
 6243750
 DATE:
 9/8/2

PIT No: 121 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 9/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

			Description	. <u>ಲ</u>		Sam		& In Situ Testing		
RL	De (n	pth n)	of	Graphic Log	Type	oth	Sample	Results &	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
	(,	Strata	Ō	۲ ۲	Depth	Sam	Results & Comments	>	5 10 15 20
		0.2	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, red-brown, with fine to coarse ironstone gravel and rootlets, w< <pl< td=""><td></td><td>D/B</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>		D/B	0.5				
	- 1		Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, pale grey mottled red, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl, very stiff, residual</pl, 		D/B	1.0				
			Below 1.4m: with extremely weathered shale, with low strength, highly weathered shale		D	1.5				
	-2	1.9 2.3	SHALE: pale brown, very low to low strength, highly weathered, Bringelly Shale		D —D—	2.0 2.3				-2
9		2.3	Pit discontinued at 2.3m - refusal on medium strength shale		D	2.5				
	-3									-3
	- 4									-4
	-5									
	-6									-6
	-7									-7
63 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-8									-8
	-9									-9
62										
	- 10									-10

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAN	IPLING	& IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND
Α	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)
в	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)



SURFACE LEVEL: 69.1 mAHD PIT No: 122 EASTING: 290581 **NORTHING:** 6243691

PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 9/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

		Description Depth		Dic		Sam		& In Situ Testing	5	Duramia Papatramatar Taat
RL	De (n		of Strata	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
69	-	0.2	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, \dark brown, with fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w< <pl <br="">Silty CLAY CLCH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown</pl>		D/B	0.5	ŭ			
	- 1	-	mottled grey, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl, residual<br="" stiff="" stiff,="" to="" very="">Below 0.7m: grading to pale grey mottled red, firm to stiff</pl,>		D	1.0				
-	-		Below 1.4m: grading to grey, with extremely weathered shale bands, stiff to very stiff		D	1.5				
	-2				D	2.0		pp = 250-300		2
	-	2.8			D	2.5 2.8		pp = 200-210		
	-3	2.9	SHALE: pale brown, low to medium strength, highly weathered, with very stiff clay bands, Bringelly Shale Pit discontinued at 2.9m - refusal on low strength shale	<u> </u>		2.0				-3
65	-4									-4
	- - - - - - 5									5
64	-									
	-6									6
62	- - - - 7 - - -									7
61										-8
	9									-9
59	- 10									-10

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover, slightly moist on surface

SA	AMPLING	3 & IN SITU TESTIN	G LEGE	ND	
A Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	
B Bulk sample	P	Piston sample) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	Douglas
BLK Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D) Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)	
C Core drilling	W	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	
D Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	
E Environmental sampl	le 📱	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	Geotechnics Enviro



Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

CLIENT: PROJECT:
 SURFACE LEVEL:
 74.4 mAHD
 PIT No:
 123

 EASTING:
 290373
 PROJECT No

 NORTHING:
 6243854
 DATE:
 9/8/2

PIT No: 123 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 9/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

		Description	ic		Sam		& In Situ Testing	5	Durania Dan dan star Tart
R	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
		Strata		ŕ	Ď	Sar	Comments		5 10 15 20 · · · · · ·
Ē	0.1	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, dark brown, with fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl< td=""><td>1/1/</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>	1/1/						
74	-	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, brown, trace ironstone gravel and rootlets, w <pl, residual<="" stiff,="" td="" very=""><td></td><td>_D_/</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		_D_/	0.5				
F	- 0.8		/1/1/	В					
Ē	- 0.91 ⁻ -1	BASALT: dark brown and black, high strength, fractured		D	-0.9-				-1
5	-	- refusal on high strength igneous rock							
Ē	-								
F	-								
Ē	-2								-2
22	-								
ŧ	-								
ŀ	- - 3								-3
-	-								
-12	-								
Ē	-								
ł	- 4								-4
2	-								
Ē	-								
Ē	- - - 5								-5
ŧ	-								
-66	-								
Ē	-								
È	-6								6
68	-								
F	-								
Ē									
Ē	-7								
- 29	-								
ŧ	-								
ŧ	-8								8
t	-								
99	-								
ŧ	-								
ŧ	-9								9
65									
Ē	-								
Ē	- - - 10								- 10
È	-								
64	-								

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover, slightly moist on surface

SAN	IPLIN	3 & IN SITU TESTING	ELEGE	END		
A Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)		
B Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)		
BLK Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D) Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)		Dougla
C Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)		Duddia
D Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test		
E Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)		Geotechnics E



Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

CLIENT: PROJECT:
 SURFACE LEVEL:
 73.1 mAHD
 PIT No:
 124

 EASTING:
 290368
 PROJECT No

 NORTHING:
 6243789
 DATE:
 9/8/2

PIT No: 124 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 9/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

		Description	ු Sampling & In Situ Testing					7	Dunamic Penetromator Tast		
Я	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)		
		Strata		Τ	De	Sar	Comments		5 10 15 20		
73	- 0.1	brown, with fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w< <pl< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>									
	- - -	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown, trace fine to coarse ironstone gravel and rootlets, w <pl, very stiff, residual</pl, 		D/ 	0.5				5		
22	-1	Below 0.8m: grading to pale grey, hard			1.0				<u>-</u>		
	- 1.6	Below 1.3m: extremely weathered shale bands, with low strength, highly weathered shale bands		D	1.3 1.5						
	- 1.9	SHALE: pale brown, low to medium strength, highly							-2		
4	-	Pit discontinued at 1.9m - refusal on medium strength shale									
	-										
-2	-3								-3		
	- - -										
	-4										
69	-										
	- - -										
- 89	-5								-5		
	- - -										
È.	-6								-6		
19	- - -										
	-										
- 99	-7								7		
Ē	-										
	-8								-8		
65	-										
E											
64	-9								-9		
Ē	-										
63	- 10								-10		
ľ	-										

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover, slightly wet on surface

	SAMPLIN	G & IN SITU TES	STING LEGE	END			
A Auger sample	e G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)			
B Bulk sample BLK Block sample	P	Piston sample Tube sample (x mr) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)) Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa			Doug
C Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	' (111	Doug
D Disturbed sa		Water seep	S	Standard penetration test			
E Environment	al sample 🛛 📱	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)			Geotechnics
						_	



SURFACE LEVEL: 68.4 mAHD PIT No: 125 EASTING: 290362 PROJECT No NORTHING: 6243702 DATE: 9/8/2

PIT No: 125 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 9/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

Γ		Depth Description				Sam		& In Situ Testing	_	
Ч	Dep (m)	th)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
			Strata	U U	Тy	De	San	Comments	-	5 10 15 20
- 89	- - -	0.4	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, pale and dark brown, with fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w< <pl< td=""><td></td><td>D/B</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>		D/B	0.5				
	- - - - 1		Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, pale brown mottled red, trace ironstone gravel and rootlets, w <pl, stiff, residual</pl, 		D	1.0				
49	- - -									
-	-2		Below 1.8m: grading to grey mottled red		D/B	1.5				2
- 99 	-									
F	-3	3.0	Below 2.9m: trace ironstone cobbles	<u> </u>						$\begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \hline 3 & & & & \\ \end{bmatrix}$
Ē	-		Pit discontinued at 3.0m							
 92	- - -		- limit of investigation							
-	-4									4
-8	-									
-	-5									5
83-	-									
-	-6									6
62	-									
-	-7									-7
61	-									
	-8									-8
	- - -									
	- - - - 9									-9
59	-									
	-									
F	- 10									-10
- 28	-									

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Heavy grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

SAMPLING & IN SITU TESTING LEGEND									
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)				
	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)				
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)) Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)				
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)				
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test				
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)				



 SURFACE LEVEL:
 72.8 mAHD
 PIT No:
 126

 EASTING:
 290459
 PROJECT No

 NORTHING:
 6243698
 DATE:
 9/8/2

PIT No: 126 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 9/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

		Description	ic		Sam		& In Situ Testing	_	Durania Danata mata Tart
z	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
		Strata	0	L L	De	Sar	Comments		5 10 15 20
	0.2	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, $\red-brown,$ with fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w< <pl <math="" display="inline">\red-brown</pl>							
2	0.7	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, pale brown, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, with highly weathered shale band, w <pl, residual<="" stiff="" stiff,="" td="" to="" very=""><td></td><td>D/B</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D/B	0.5				
	- 0.9 - 1	SHALE: pale brown, low to medium strength, highly weathered, Bringelly Shale		D	-0.9-				-1
		Pit discontinued at 0.9m - refusal on medium strength shale							
71		-							
	-2								-2
-									
20	-3								-3
69									
	-4								-4
68									
	-5								-5
67	-6								-6
66									
	-7								-7
-									
65									
	-8								-8
4	-9								-9
63	-10								-10
ļ									

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

SAMPLING & IN SITU TESTING LEGEND									
А	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)				
В	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample	PL(A)	Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)				
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)				
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)				
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test				
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)				



Western Parkland City Authority

Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

Proposed Urban Development

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

LOCATION:

SURFACE LEVEL: 69.4 mAHD **EASTING**: 290652 **NORTHING**: 6243715

PIT No: 127 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 9/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

	Description	.ici		Sarr		& In Situ Testing	-	
Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
. ,	Strata	U	Ţ	De	San	Comments	_	5 10 15 20
0.2	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, \dark brown mottled red-brown, with rootlets, w <pl< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>							
	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown mottled pale grey, trace rootlets, w <pl, residual<="" stiff,="" td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D	0.5				
-1	Below 0.9m: grading to pale grey, firm to stiff		D/B	1.0				
			D	1.5		pp = 190-200		
-2	Below 1.9m: with low strength, highly weathered shale		D	2.0		pp = 250		-2
- 2.4 -	bands					FF		
- 2.8	SHALE: pale grey, low to medium strength, extremely weathered, Bringelly Shale		D 	2.5 2.8				
-3	Pit discontinued at 2.8m - refusal on low to medium strength shale			-2.0-				-3
-4								-4
-5								-5
6								-6
-7								-7
-8								8
								-9
-9								
- 10								-10

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Trace grass on surface, surrounded by metal pipes sticking out of the ground

 SAMPLING & IN SITU TESTING LEGEND

 A
 Auger sample
 G
 Gas sample
 PID
 Photo ionisation detector (ppm)

 B
 Bulk sample
 P
 Piston sample
 PL(A) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)

 BLK Block sample
 U,
 Tube sample (x mm dia.)
 PL(D) Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)

 C
 Core drilling
 W
 Water sample
 pp
 Pocket penetrometer (kPa)

 D
 Disturbed sample
 P
 Water level
 V
 Shear vane (kPa)



Western Parkland City Authority

Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

Proposed Urban Development

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

LOCATION:

SURFACE LEVEL: 65.0 mAHD **EASTING:** 290860 **NORTHING:** 6243681

PIT No: 128 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 9/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

	_		Description	jc		Sam		& In Situ Testing	5	Dunamic Popetrometer Test		
R	De (r	pth n)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)		
92			Strata		É.	ŏ	Sal	Comments		5 10 15 20		
Ē	-	0.2	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, $\red-brown$, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w< <pl <="" th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>ן ב</th></pl>							ן ב		
-	-		Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, pale brown, trace ironstone gravel and rootlets, w <pl, residual<="" stiff,="" td=""><td>1 1 1 1 1</td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>	1 1 1 1 1	D	0.5						
64	-1				в	1.0						
-	-		Below 1.4m: grading to pale grey mottled pale brown		D	1.5						
-	-				D	1.9						
63	-2	2.4	Below 2.1m: with extremely weathered shale bands		—D—	-2.4-				-2		
	-	2.4	Pit discontinued at 2.4m - refusal on very low to low strength shale		D	2.4						
62	-3									-3		
Ē	-											
-	-											
- 19	-4									4		
	-											
-	-											
- 09	-5									-5		
-	-											
	-											
29	-6									-6		
	-											
-	-											
58	-7 -									-7		
-	-											
~	-											
57	-8 - -									-8		
-	-											
56	-											
- 2	-											
ŀ	-											
55	- 10									-10		
-	-											
Ł	-											

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Trace grass on surface, surrounded by metal pipes sticking out of the ground

 SAMPLING & IN SITU TESTING LEGEND

 A
 Auger sample
 G
 Gas sample
 PID
 Photo ionisation detector (ppm)

 B
 Built sample
 P
 Piston sample
 PIL
 Pioint load axial test Is(50) (MPa)

 BLK
 Block sample
 U
 Tube sample (x mm dia.)
 PL(A) Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)

 C
 Core drilling
 W
 Water sample
 pp
 Pocket penetrometer (kPa)

 D
 Disturbed sample
 V
 Water seep
 S
 Standard penetration test

 E
 Environmental sample
 ¥
 Water level
 V
 Shear vane (kPa)



SURFACE LEVEL: 68.1 mAHD BORE No: 201 EASTING: 290482 NORTHING: 6243623 DIP/AZIMUTH: 90°/--

PROJECT No: 222630.00 **DATE:** 11/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1 _

_													
		444	Description	ju L		Sam		& In Situ Testing	۲	Well			
R	Dep (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	stick-up Construction	ן ו		
		,	Strata	G	L ∠	De	San	Comments	-	Details			
68	-	0.1	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL: low plasticity, brown, trace gravel and rootlets, w <pl< td=""><td></td><td>_D_</td><td>0.1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td> backfill</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>		_D_	0.1				 backfill			
Ē	-		Silty CLAY CI: medium plasticity, brown, trace gravel, w <pl, hard,="" residual<="" td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D	0.5				-			
Ē	E ₁			1/1/	B	1.0				casing — ⁻¹ bentonite —	Ø		
67	-				/ S			10,17,18 N = 35					
-	- - -		Below 1.6m: grading to pale grey		D	1.45 1.5				-			
66	-2	2.0	SHALE: pale grey, very low to low strength	<u> </u>						-2		=	
	-								-	-			•
65	-3		Below 3.0m: grading to low to medium strength							-3 sand			•
	-									-			•
È.	-4								-	- - 4 screen			
64										-			:
ŧ										-		_ _	
ŧ	Ē											<u>=</u> :	
63	-5	5.0	Bore discontinued at 5.0m							5	1.1	<u> </u>	
-	-		- limit of investigation							-			
62	-6									-6			
	-									-			
- 19	-7									-7			
-													
	-8									-8			
- 09	-												
-	-									-			
26	-9									-9			
	- 10									- 10			
289	-												
	1					1		1					

RIG: Explora 140

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

DRILLER: W C Excavations (Will) LOGGED: EJ

CASING: N/A

TYPE OF BORING: 110mm SFA to 5.0m WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA20 Zone 56.

	SAM	PLIN	G & IN SITU TESTING	6 LEG	END			
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)			
E	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		A) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)			Douglas Part
E	LK Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(I	D) Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)	1	1.	
	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)			Douglas I al
	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test			
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)			Geotechnics Environment G
-								



Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

CLIENT: PROJECT:
 SURFACE LEVEL:
 65.5 mAHD
 PIT No:
 202

 EASTING:
 290620
 PROJECT No

 NORTHING:
 6243597
 DATE:
 10/8/

PIT No: 202 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 10/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

Γ		Description	ic		Sam		& In Situ Testing	-	Dumania Danataan taat
R	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
		Strata		Ĥ	ŏ	Sa	Comments		5 10 15 20 · · · · · ·
65	0.2	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, dark brown, with fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl <br="">Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, pale brown and red-brown, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w<pl, residual<br="" stiff,="">Below 0.7m: grading to pale brown, very stiff</pl,></pl>		D/B	0.5				
	- 1 - - - 1.4	Below 1.1m: with extremely weathered shale bands, hard,		D	1.0				<u>ן</u>
64	- 1.7	SHALE: pale brown, low to medium strength, extremely weathered, Bringelly Shale		—D—	-1.7-				
	-2	Pit discontinued at 1.7m - refusal on medium strength shale							-2
63	- - -								
-	-3								-3
62	- - -								
-	-4								-4
	- - -								
-	5								-5
	- - -								
	-6								-6
59	- - -								
-	- 7								-7
- 	- - - -								
	- - 								-8
	-								
19	+ - - -								
	-9								-9
- 29	- - - -								
	- 10								-10
Ŀ	-								

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface, next to a swamp (offset ~20-30m)

	SA	MPLING	3 & IN SITU TESTIN	G LEGI	END		
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)		
В	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample	PL(A) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)		
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D) Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)		Dougla
C	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)		E UUUU
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test		
E	Environmental sample	e 📱	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)		Geotechnics Er
•	· · ·						Contracting of El

□ Sand Penetrometer AS1289.6.3.3 ⊠ Cone Penetrometer AS1289.6.3.2

Douglas Partners Geotechnics | Environment | Groundwater

SURFACE LEVEL: 64.2 mAHD BORE No: 203 **EASTING:** 290868 **NORTHING:** 6243556 DIP/AZIMUTH: 90°/--

PROJECT No: 222630.00 **DATE:** 11/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1 ____

					DI	7/AZII	NUT	H: 90°/		SHEET 1 OF 1
	_		Description	jc		Sam		& In Situ Testing	~	Well
RL	Dep (m	th)	of Strata	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	stick-up Construction Details
64	-	0.2	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL: low plasticity, orange-brown, \trace gravel and rootlets, w <pl <="" td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.1 0.2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl>		D	0.1 0.2				
	- - - -		Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, brown mottled pale grey, trace gravel, w <pl, alluvial<="" stiff,="" td=""><td></td><td>D B</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>backfill casing</td></pl,>		D B	0.5				backfill casing
63	-1 -1				D S	1.0		4,6,9 N = 15		1 bentonite
	- - - -		Below 1.5m: grading to red-brown			1.45				
62	-2					2.5				
	- 3		Below 2.5m: grading to orange mottled pale grey, very stiff		S	2.95		5,8,13 N = 21		-3 sand
	-									
	- 4					4.0		4.10.23		4 screen
9	-	4.5-	SHALE: brown, very low strength		S	4.45		4,10,23 N = 33		
59	-5	5.0	Bore discontinued at 5.0m - limit of investigation	<u></u>						
58	- 6									6
	- - - - -7									-7
57	- - - -									
56	- 8									-8
	- - -									
55	-9									-9
	- - - - 10									- 10
-23	-									

RIG: Explora 140

DRILLER: W C Excavations (Will) LOGGED: EJ

CASING: N/A

TYPE OF BORING: 110mm SFA to 5.0m WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA20 Zone 56.

	SAM	IPLIN	G & IN SITU TESTING	LEG	END		
	A Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	_	
	3 Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)		Douglas Partners
	3LK Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(C) Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)	1.	
	C Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)		
	D Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test		On the share of Freedom and A. One of the share the
	E Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)		Geotechnics Environment Groundwater
-							



PROJECT: Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

SURFACE LEVEL: 62.3 mAHD BORE No: 204 **EASTING:** 291129 **NORTHING:** 6243689 **DIP/AZIMUTH:** 90°/--

PROJECT No: 222630.00 **DATE:** 11/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1 _

			DIF	P/AZII	MUTH	-: 90°/		SHEET 1 OF 1	
	Description	ic		Sam		& In Situ Testing	-	Well	
교 Depth (m)	of Strata	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	stick-up Construction Details	n
-&-0.3	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL: low plasticity, brown, trace gravel and rootlets, w <pl< td=""><td>M</td><td>D</td><td>0.1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></pl<>	M	D	0.1				-	
	Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, pale brown mottled orange and red, trace gravel, w <pl, alluvial<="" td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>backfill —</td><td>-</td></pl,>		D	0.5				backfill —	-
1 1			_D_/	1.0		348		- casing — -1	
-6-			S D	1.45		3,4,8 N = 12		bentonite —	
			5	1.5					
-2								-2	
-99- 	Below 2.5m: becoming dark orange mottled dark brown,			2.5		6810		-	
3	very stiff		S	2.95		6,8,10 N = 18		-3	
 	Below 3.2m: grading to dark brown							_ sand	
- 4				4.0		8925		- -4 screen	
- 28-			S	4.45		8,9,25 N = 34		-	
								-	
5 5.0	Bore discontinued at 5.0m - limit of investigation							-5	
								-	
6								- - -6	
20								-	
								- - -	
7								-7	
								-	
								-8	
- 9								-9	
22									
- 10								- 10	
								-	

RIG: Explora 140

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

DRILLER: W C Excavations (Will) LOGGED: EJ

CASING: N/A

TYPE OF BORING: 110mm SFA to 5.0m

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA20 Zone 56.

SAM	IPLIN	G & IN SITU TESTING	LEG	END			
A Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)			
3 Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)			Douglas Partners
3LK Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(C) Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)	1	1.	
C Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)			
D Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test			
Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)			Geotechnics Environment Groundwater
					•		

SURFACE LEVEL: 60.1 mAHD PIT No: 205 EASTING: 291281 PROJECT No NORTHING: 6243837 DATE: 10/8/

PIT No: 205 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 10/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

			Description	Sampling & In Situ Testing						
님	De (r	pth n)	of	Graphic Log	Type	oth	ple	Results &	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
	(.	,	Strata	Ū	Ту	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	>	5 10 15 20
-99	-	0.3	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, pale brown, with fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w< <pl< td=""><td>Ŋ</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>	Ŋ						
	-		Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, pale brown, trace gravel and rootlets, w <pl, alluvial<="" stiff,="" td=""><td></td><td>D/B</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D/B	0.5				
20	-1		Below 0.9m: grading to red-brown mottled pale brown, trace ironstone, firm to stiff		D	1.0				
-	-		Below 1.3m: grading to pale grey mottled pale brown		D	1.5		pp = 410		
	-2				D	2.0		pp = 290-310		-2
	-					2.5		pp = 110-130		
24	-3									-3
	-	3.5	Below 3.3m: with heavily iron indurated cobbles		—D—					
Ē	-		Pit discontinued at 3.5m - limit of investigation							
56	-4									4
- °	-									
Ē										
ŧ	-									
55	-5									-5
Ē										
ŧ	-									
Ē										
-45	-6									-6
E										
Ē										
ŧ	-									
53	-7 -									-7
Ē	-									
Ē	-									
Ē	-8									-8
52	ŀ									
Ē	-									
ŧ	-									
F	-9									-9
-5-	-									
Ē	-									
ŧ	-									
Ē	- 10									-10
-23	-									
Ŀ	-									

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA20 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: Seepage observed at 3.5-3.8m

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAM	IPLING	& IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND]
А	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	
в	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)	
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	
E	Environmental sample	ž	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	



SURFACE LEVEL: 59.3 mAHD BORE No: 206 **EASTING:** 291385 **NORTHING:** 6243906 **DIP/AZIMUTH:** 90°/--

PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 23/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

			DIF	'/AZII	MUTH	: 90°/		SHEET 1 OF 1	
	Description	jc		Sam		In Situ Testing	_	Well	
Depth (m)	of Strata	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	stickup Construction Details	n F
- 0.2	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL: low plasticity, brown, trace \gravel and rootlets, w <pl< td=""><td>XX.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></pl<>	XX.						-	
66 - - -	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, brown-grey, trace gravel, w~PL, stiff, alluvial		D/B	0.5				- bentonite	
- -1				1.0		pp = 200 4.6.8		- -1 casing —	
87 - - -			S D/B	1.45 1.5		4,6,8 N = 14 pp = 200-250		-	
-2	Below 2.0m: grading to pale brown and pale grey, w~PL		D	2.0				-2	
/9				2.5				-	
-3			s D	2.95 3.0		4,4,6 N = 10		- 	
20				3.0 3.5				- - - screen —	
- 4				4.0					
2 2 2	Below 4.1m: w>PL		s	4.45		5,5,5 N = 10 pp = 100	23-08-23 I		
- 4.8	SHALE: brown, very low strength, extremely weathered			4.5			23	-	
-5 5.0	Bore discontinued at 5.0m - limit of investigation							5	
	-							-	
-6								-6	
2G								-	
-7								-7	
								-	
-8								-8	
19 -								-	
-9								- 9	
0.0									
- 10 								- 10	
								1	

RIG: Explora 140 TYPE OF BORING:

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

LOCATION:

Western Parkland City Authority Proposed Urban Development

Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

DRILLER: Steve

LOGGED: EJ

CASING: N/A

110mm SFA to 5.0m

WATER OBSERVATIONS: Free groundwater encountered whilst augering at 4.1m

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA94 Zone 20. Well installed: 1m stickup, bentonite 0-1.0m, sand 1.0-5.0m; casing 0-2.0m, screen 2.0-5.0m



SURFACE LEVEL: 69.5 mAHD BORE No: 207 **EASTING:** 290843 **NORTHING:** 6244364 **DIP/AZIMUTH:** 90°/--

PROJECT No: 222630.00 **DATE:** 23/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

Π					San	nlina ^s	& In Situ Testing			+	╀	╀┤	-
Ч	Depth	Description	Graphic Log					Water	stickup Well Construction	+	╞		
£	(m)	of Strata	Gra	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	N	Details				
_		Silty CLAY CL: low plasticity, dark brown, trace gravel,				Ś			-	┢	\$	∀	-
	0.3		44							Ŕ	8		
-66		Silty CLAY CI: brown, trace gravel, w <pl, residual<="" stiff,="" td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>K</td><td>Â</td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D	0.5					K	Â		
									- - backfill -	Ŕ	X	\boxtimes	
	1				1.0		358		-1	X	8		
		Below 1.3m: grading to brown and pale grey		S	4 45		3,5,8 N = 13			X	×	\boxtimes	
8			1/1/	D	1.45 1.5		pp = 300-350		casing —	Ŕ	×	\boxtimes	
										ľ	8	Ø	
	2		1/1/	D	2.0				-2 bentonite	ľ	3	Ø	
		Below 2.3m: grading to pale brown and pale grey			2.5					K		Ø	
-29	2.6	SHALE: grey, very low strength, extremely weathered		s	2.5		pp >600 8,18,17 N = 35						
	-3				2.95		N = 35		-3				
	-				3.0								
- 99	3.5												
		SHALE: brown, very low to low strength, extremely weathered									÷		
	4		====						-4		÷		
				-							: =		
65											÷Ę		
											: =		
	5								-5		E		
									-		: =		
64		Below 5.5m: grading to dark grey, very low to medium		-							Ē		
		strength									: =		
	6								-6	ŀ	: E		
									sand —	-	: =		
63									-	ŀ	E		
	_) =		
	•7								-7 screen -	Ť	Ē		
									-		: =		
62											Ē		
	-8								- 8				
-	0										·E		
- 19											: E		
. "									-	ŀ	• =		
	9								-9				
									Ę		: =		
09			<u> </u>							. .	: =	1	
	10 10.0	Bore discontinued at 10.0m		<u> </u>				_	10	ŀ	<u>:1</u> =		-
		- limit of investigation							Ę l				
<u> </u>									t l	—		—	-
RIC	• Expl	Dra 140 DRILLER: Steve		1.00	GED	E.I	CASIN	G· N	/Δ				

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

TYPE OF BORING: 110mm SFA to 10.0m

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed whilst augering

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA94 Zone 20. Well installed: 1m stickup, backfill 0-1.8m, bentonite 1.8-2.6m, sand 2.6-10m; casing 0-4.0m, screen 4.0-10.0m

	SAM	IPLIN	G & IN SITU TESTING	LEG	END			
	A Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)			
	B Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		A) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)		Douglas Partn	0 110
	BLK Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(E	0) Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)			ers
	C Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)			
	D Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test		Or starting 1 Frainsmark 1 Ora	
	E Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)		Geotechnics Environment Grou	ndwater
-						-		

SURFACE LEVEL: 80.1 mAHD PIT No: 301 EASTING: 290534 PROJECT No NORTHING: 6244483 DATE: 30/8/

PIT No: 301 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 30/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

			Description	. <u>u</u>		Sam	pling 8	& In Situ Testing		
R	Dept (m)	h	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results &	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
	()		Strata	Ō	Tyı	Dep	Sam	Results & Comments		5 10 15 20
80	-	0.3-	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, dark brown, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse gravel, w <pl< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>							
	-	5.5	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown mottled ale grey, with fine to coarse gravel, trace rootlets, w <pl, residual<="" td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D	0.5				
. 62	- - 1 - -		Below 0.9m: grading to pale grey		D	1.0				
-	-				D	1.5		pp = 410-420		
	-2				D	2.0		pp = 380-390		-2
	-		Below 2.7m: with extremely weathered shale band, trace low strength shale		D	2.8		pp >600		
	-3 3	3.0-	Pit discontinued at 3.0m - limit of investigation	<u> </u>						3
	-									
. 9/	-4 - - -									
-	-									
75	-5 - -									-5
-	-									
74	-6 - -									-6
	-									
73	-7 - -									-7
-	-									
Ł	_									

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA2020 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAM	IPLING	& IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND
Α	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)
В	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)



SURFACE LEVEL: 66.6 mAHD PIT No: 302 EASTING: 290945 PROJECT No: NORTHING: 6244308 DATE: 30/8/

PIT No: 302 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 30/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

			Description	ic		Sam		& In Situ Testing	,	Dumamia Danatromatar Taat
RL	Dept (m)		of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
			Strata		Ĥ	Ď	Sa	Comments	_	5 10 15 20
-	- (0.2	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, $\$ dark brown, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse gravel, w <pl <="" td=""><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl>	<u> </u>						
66	- - - -		Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl, residual<="" td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D	0.5				
-	- - 1 -				D	1.0				
65	-		Below 1.3m: grading to pale red-brown		D	1.5				
64	- 2 		Below 2.1m: grading to pale grey, with extremely weathered shale band, with low strength, highly weathered shale		D	2.2		pp = 320-330		-2
	- 2	2.9		1/1/						
	-3 - -		Pit discontinued at 2.9m - target depth reached							
63	-									
	- 4									-4
-	-									
62	-									
	- -5 -									-5
	-									
9	-									
	- 6 -									-6
	-									
99	-									
	-7									-7
-	-									
59	- - -									
-	-									

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA2020 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAN	IPLING	& IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND	1
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	
В	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)	
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	


SURFACE LEVEL: 62.6 mAHD PIT No: 303 EASTING: 291142 PROJECT No NORTHING: 6244273 DATE: 30/8/

PIT No: 303 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 30/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

		Description	<u>.</u>		Sam		& In Situ Testing		
Ч	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
Щ		Strata	U U	Ţ,	De	Sar	Comments		5 10 15 20
	0.3	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, dark brow, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse gravel, w <pl< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>							
	0.3	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets		D	0.5				
	1			D	1.0				
	2								-2
	2.0	Below 2.4m: grading to pale grey, with extremely weathered shale, with low strength, highly weathered shale		D	2.5		pp = 290-300		
	2.9 - 3 3.0 -	SHALE: pale grey, low to medium strength with clay bands, highly weathered, Bringelly Shale Pit discontinued at 3.0m - target depth reached	/	—D—	-3.0-		pp >400		
- 22 - 22 									
 	4								-4
 	5								-5
	6								-6
	7								-7

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA2020 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SA	MPLING	& IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND	1
А	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	L
В	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)	
	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	L
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	L
E	Environmental sample	¥	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	1



SURFACE LEVEL: 66.1 mAHD PIT No: 304 EASTING: 291229 PROJECT No NORTHING: 6244385 DATE: 30/8/

PIT No: 304 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 30/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

\square			Description	. <u>ט</u>		Sam	pling &	& In Situ Testing		
R	Depth (m)	h	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
	()		Strata	Ū	Tyl	Del	San	Comments		5 10 15 20
99	0).1-	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, dark brown, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse gravel							
			Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets		D	0.5				
65	- 1).9 - 1.1 -	extremely weathered shale, with low strength, highly weathered shale band		D D—	0.9 1.0				_ b
			SHALE: pale brown, medium to high strength, highly weathered, Bringelly Shale Pit discontinued at 1.1m							
	•		- refusal on high strength shale							
-13	-2									-2
63	-3									-3
62	- 4									-4
	- 5									-5
61										
	-6									-6
22-	-7									-7

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA2020 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAN	IPLING	& IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND	1
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	L
в	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	L
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)	L
	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample		Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	L
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	L
E	Environmental sample	ž	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	



SURFACE LEVEL: 64.4 mAHD PIT No: 305 EASTING: 291105 PROJECT No NORTHING: 6244094 DATE: 30/8/

PIT No: 305 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 30/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

			Description	ic		Sam		& In Situ Testing	5	Dumomia	Denetromete	r Taat
RL	Deptł (m)	n	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water		Penetromete s per 150mm	
-	-	+	Strata TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, dark brown, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse gravel, w <pl< td=""><td>XX</td><td></td><td></td><td>ů</td><td></td><td>+</td><td>5 1</td><td>0 15</td><td>20</td></pl<>	XX			ů		+	5 1	0 15	20
	- 0 - - -	0.2-	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl, residual<="" td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>7</td><td></td></pl,>		D	0.5					7	
-	- - -1 - 1	1.1-	Below 0.7m: grading to pale brown, with extremely weathered shale bands, with low to medium strength, highly weathered shale bands		D D—	1.0 1.1				-1		
	- 1 -	1.2-	SHALE: pale brown, trace clay bands, medium to high strength, highly weathered, Bringelly Shale						-			
- 0	-		Pit discontinued at 1.3m - refusal on medium to high strength shale							-		
-	- 2									-2		
62	-											
	-											
-	-3 - -									-3		
- 19	-											
-	- - - 4									-4		
09	-											
	-									-		
-	- - - 5 -									-5		
59	-											
-	-											
-	- - 6 -									-6		
58	-											
-	-											
	-7 - -									-/		
19	-											
	-											

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA2020 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAM	IPLING	& IN SITU TESTING		
А	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)
в	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample	PL(A)	Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)
	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)



SURFACE LEVEL: 65.8 mAHD PIT No: 306 EASTING: 290990 PROJECT No: NORTHING: 6243928 DATE: 30/8/

PIT No: 306 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 30/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

		Description	.c		Sam		& In Situ Testing	5	During		4
R	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Pe (blows	enetrometer Te per 150mm)	est
		Otrata	0	ŕ	De	Sar	Comments		5 10	15 20	D
	- - 0.2	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-Cl: low to medium plasticity, brown, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse gravel, w <pl< td=""><td>Ŋ</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td> L</td><td></td><td></td></pl<>	Ŋ						L		
	-	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl, residual<="" td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D	0.5						
65	-	Below 0.6m: grading to pale grey mottled red-brown									
	- - 1 -			D	1.0				-1		
	- - -	Below 1.3m: grading to pale grey									
	-			D	1.5		pp = 300-310				
-12	- - -2 -			D	2.0		pp = 240-300		-2		
	-										
63	-	Below 2.5m: with ironstone gravel		D	2.6		pp = 360-390				
-	- -33.0	Pit discontinued at 3.0m	ΔĂZ					_	3		
-	-	- target depth reached									
-	-										
62	-										
	-4 - -								-4		
	-										
61	-										
	- -5 -								-5		
	-										
	-										
-09	- - -6								-6		
	-										
-	-										
29	-										
	-7 - -										
-	-										
58	-										
-	-								t		

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA2020 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAN	IPLING	3 & IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND
Α	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)
В	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)
	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test
E	Environmental sample	ž	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)



SURFACE LEVEL: 59.6 mAHD PIT No: 307 EASTING: 291333 PROJECT No NORTHING: 6243992 DATE: 30/8/

PIT No: 307 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 30/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

$\left[\right]$		Description	Dic		Sam		& In Situ Testing	<u> </u>	
R	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dynamic Penetrometer Test (blows per 150mm)
		Strata	0	É.	ð	Sai	Comments		5 10 15 20
	- 0.2		\mathcal{D}						
59	- - - -	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, pale brown, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl, residual/alluvium</pl, 		D	0.5				
58	- 1 - - - -	Below 1.1m: grading to red-brown, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets		D	1.2				
	-2	Below 1.9m: grading to pale brown, w~PL		D	2.0		pp = 230-250		-2
22	- - - -				2.5		pp = 110-120		
	-3 - 3.1 -	Pit discontinued at 3.1m - target depth reached	IZZZ		-3.1-		pp = 120-130		-3
	-								
	- 4								-4
55	- - - -								
	- - 5 - -								-5
54	-								
	- 6 -								-6
53	-								
	- - 7 - 7								7
52	-								
	-								

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA2020 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAM	PLING	3 & IN SITU TESTING		
А	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)
в	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)



SURFACE LEVEL: 62.5 mAHD PIT No: 308 EASTING: 291009 PROJECT No NORTHING: 6243583 DATE: 30/8/

PIT No: 308 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 30/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

\square	_	Description	ic		Sam		& In Situ Testing	-				- - ·
RL	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Dyr	amic Pei (blows p	netromete per 150mi	n)
		Strata		É.	ð	Sai	Comments		5	10	15	20 :
	0.2	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, brown, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse gravel, w <pl< td=""><td><u>I</u></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>	<u>I</u>									
 		Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, brown, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl< td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>]</td><td>- - - - - - - -</td><td></td></pl<>		D	0.5]	- - - - - - - -	
	- 1			D	1.0				-1	ך ק	•	
 				D	1.5		pp = 250-280		-	•	•	
	-2			D	2.0		pp = 300-350		-2		- - - - - - - -	
		Below 2.1m: grading to pale brown mottled grey		D	2.5		pp = 450		-		•	
											• • • • •	
	-3 3.0-	Pit discontinued at 3.0m - target depth reached		—D—	-3.0-		pp = 150-190		-3		* * * * *	
29									-			
	- 4								-4		•	
- 83 - 83 - 1									-			
 	-5								-5			
- 29												
 	- 6								-6			
26 -												
 	-7								-7		• • • • •	
25									-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
									-			

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA2020 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

	SAM	PLING	& IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND	1
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	
	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)	
	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	
	· · ·					



Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

CLIENT: PROJECT:

SURFACE LEVEL: 65.7 mAHD PIT No: 309 EASTING: 290719 PROJECT No NORTHING: 6243412 DATE: 30/8/

PIT No: 309 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 30/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

\square			Description	<u>.</u> 0		Sam	pling &	& In Situ Testing					
RL	De (r	pth n)	of Strata	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water		(blows	Penetror s per 15 0 1	
		0.2	TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, brown, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse gravel, w <pl< td=""><td>M</td><td></td><td></td><td>0)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl<>	M			0)						
		0.2	Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, red-brown, trace rootlets, w <pl, alluvium<="" td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ן ב</td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D	0.5				ן ב	•		
	- 1		Below 0.8m: trace fine to coarse gravel		D	1.0				- L 1	<u>ן</u>		
64		1.2-	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, dark red-brown, trace fine to coarse ironstone gravel and rootlets, w <pl, residual<="" td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>1.5</td><td></td><td>pp = 200-210</td><td></td><td>- - - - -</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D	1.5		pp = 200-210		- - - - -			
	-2		Below 2.1m: grading to pale grey mottled red-brown		D	2.2		pp = 430-460		-2			
63	-3	3.0			—D—	-3.0-					•		
			Pit discontinued at 3.0m - target depth reached							- - -	•		
62										- - -			
	- 4									-4	•		
61										-	•		
	-5									-5			
- 09										-	•		
	-6									- 6 -	•		
59										- - -	•	•	
	-7									-7	•		
										- - -	•		
25										-			

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA2020 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

	SAME	LINC	& IN SITU TESTING	LEGE	ND	1
А	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	
	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)	
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)	
	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test	
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)	L
						1



SURFACE LEVEL: 67.6 mAHD PIT No: 310 EASTING: 290339 NORTHING: 6243112

PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 30/8/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

\square		Description	. <u>ಲ</u>		Sam	pling &	& In Situ Testing		
RL	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Dynamic Pene bay (blows per	trometer Test 150mm)
	()	Strata	Ū	Тy	Del	San	Comments	5 10	15 20
	- 0.1	_ TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, \brown, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse gravel, w <pl <="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl>							
67	- - -	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, pale brown, trace rootlets, w <pl, residual<="" td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>		D	0.5				
	- - - 1 -			D	1.0				
	- - - -	Below 1.2m: grading to dark and pale brown, trace fine to coarse gravel Below 1.4m: grading to pale brown mottled pale grey		D	1.3		pp = 450-460		
	-2		$\frac{1}{1}$	D	2.0		pp = 500-510	-2	
65	-			D	2.5		pp = 550-600		
	- 2.9 -3 3.0 - -	Clay bands, highly weathered, Bringelly Shale / Pit discontinued at 3.0m		—D—	-3.0-				
	- - -	- target depth reached							
	- - 4 - -							-4	
63	-								
	- 5 - - -							-5	
62	-								
-	- - 6 - -							-6	
61	-								
-	-7 - -							-7	
. 09	-								
Ŀ	-								

RIG: JCB 4CX backhoe (9 tonne) - 450mm bucket

LOGGED: OAP

SURVEY DATUM: MGA2020 Zone 56

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Grass cover on surface

SAMPLING & IN SITU TESTING LEGEND											
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)						
B	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)						
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)						
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)						
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test						
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)						

□ Sand Penetrometer AS1289.6.3.3 ☑ Cone Penetrometer AS1289.6.3.2



CLIENT: PROJECT:

Western Parkland City Authority Proposed Urban Development LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

SURFACE LEVEL: 75.3 mAHD EASTING: 290589 NORTHING: 6244283 DIP/AZIMUTH: 90°/--

BORE No: 311 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 5/9/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

Sampling & In Situ Testing Graphic Log Description Well Water Depth Sample Ъ Construction of Depth Type Results & Comments (m) Details Strata YX TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, 0.1 \dark brown, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse gravel, w<PL -¹⁰ Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown, trace rootlets, w<PL, residual D 0.5 -0.9 09 -D Bore discontinued at 0.9m - 1 - 1 - target depth reached 4 -2 -2 - 3 -3 -4 -4 - 5 -5 -2 6 -6 -00 7 - 7 -88

RIG: Hand auger

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

LOCATION:

Western Parkland City Authority

Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

Proposed Urban Development

DRILLER: W C Excavations (Will) LOGGED: OAP

CASING:

TYPE OF BORING:

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA2020 Zone 56.

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA2020 Zone 56.





Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

CLIENT: PROJECT: SURFACE LEVEL: 74.0 mAHD BORE No: 313 EASTING: 290607 **NORTHING:** 6244228 **DIP/AZIMUTH:** 90°/--

PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 5/9/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

							n. 90 /				
		Description	.e		Sam		& In Situ Testing	L.	Well		
R	Depth (m)	of	Graphic Log	e	ţ	ple	Resulte &	Water	Construction		
	(11)	Strata	ອ_	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	5	Details		
4	0.1		ŶΧ			0)					
	0.1	\dark brown, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse gravel, w <pl <="" td=""><td>1/1/</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></pl>	1/1/						-		
		Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown, trace rootlets, w <pl, residual<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></pl,>									
		trace rootiets, w <pl, residual<="" td=""><td>/1/1/</td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></pl,>	/1/1/	D	0.5				-		
łł		Below 0.7m: grading to grey mottled red-brown	1/1								
-				_	10				-		
-73	·1 1.0	Bore discontinued at 1.0m	-1/-1/	—D—	-1.0-				-		
		- target depth reached							-		
ł											
									-		
									-		
22	-2								-2		
- -	-								-		
ţţ									-		
ł									-		
									-		
-5-	3								-3		
									-		
łł											
									-		
									-		
									-		
-2	4								-4		
									-		
									-		
									-		
} }									-		
									-		
-69	5								-5		
łł											
╞╒									$\left \right $		
ļļ									[
ţţ											
6	6										
-8-	0								-6		
ţţ											
ţ											
┟┟									$\left \right $		
ļļ											
- 29	.7								- 7		
ŀ											
F F											
ţ											
ŀ									t l		
Ŀ									†		

RIG: Hand auger

DRILLER: W C Excavations (Will) LOGGED: OAP

CASING:

TYPE OF BORING:

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA2020 Zone 56.

	SAN	IPLING	i & IN SITU TESTING	6 LEGE	ND
А	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)
	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample		Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test
E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)



Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

CLIENT: PROJECT: SURFACE LEVEL: 75.7 mAHD BORE No: 314 EASTING: 290541 **NORTHING:** 6244176 DIP/AZIMUTH: 90°/--

PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 5/9/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

			DIF			H: 90°/		SHEET 1 OF 1
	Dauth	Description .9		Sam		& In Situ Testing	5	Well
RL	Depth (m)	Description of strata	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Construction Details
	- 0.*	dark red-brown, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown, trace fine to coarse gravel, w<pl, residual<br="">Below 0.6m: grading to pale grey mottled red-brown</pl,></pl 	D	0.5				
-	- 1 - - -	Bore discontinued at 0.9m - target depth reached		0.9				-1
74								-
-	-2							-2
73	- - -							-
-	- 3 - 3 							-3
72	- - -							-
-	- 4 - 4 							- 4
12	• • •							-
	- - 5 - -							-5
- 62	• • •							-
-	- - 6 - -							- 6 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
- 69								
-	- - 7 - -							-7
. 89	- - - -							

RIG: Hand auger

DRILLER: W C Excavations (Will) LOGGED: OAP

CASING:

TYPE OF BORING:

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA2020 Zone 56.

A Auger sample G Gas sample PID Photo ionisation detector (ppm) B Bulk sample P Piston sample PL(A) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa) BLK Block sample Ux Tube sample (x mm dia.) PL(D) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa) C Core drilling W Water sample pocket penetrometer (kPa) D Disturbed sample Water seep S Standard penetration test E E Water load V Short upper (kPa)		SAN	IPLING	& IN SITU TESTING	G LEGE	IND
BLK Block sample U Tube sample (x mm dia.) PL(D) Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa) C Core drilling W Water sample pp Pocket penetrometer (kPa) D Disturbed sample P Water sample Standard penetrometer (kPa)	Α	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)
C Core drilling W Water sample pp Pocket penetrometer (kPa) D Disturbed sample ▷ Water seep S Standard penetration test						
D Disturbed sample D Water seep S Standard penetration test	BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)) Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa)
D Disturbed sample D Water seep S Standard penetration test			Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)
E Environmental comple V/ Shear vano (kBa)			⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test
	E	Environmental sample	Ŧ	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)



SURFACE LEVEL: 76.6 mAHD **EASTING:** 290541 **NORTHING:** 6244128 **DIP/AZIMUTH:** 90°/--

BORE No: 315 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 5/9/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

Sampling & In Situ Testing Graphic Log Description Well Water Depth Sample Ъ Construction of Depth Type Results & Comments (m) Details Strata TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, ΣX 0.1 \dark brown, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse gravel, w<PL Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, pale brown, trace fine to coarse gravel, w<PL, residual D 0.5 .9 Below 0.8m: grading to pale grey 1.0 .0 Bore discontinued at 1.0m - target depth reached -2 -2 - 3 -3 29-4 -4 5 5 6 -6 .0 7 - 7

RIG: Hand auger **TYPE OF BORING:**

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

LOCATION:

Western Parkland City Authority

Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

Proposed Urban Development

DRILLER: W C Excavations (Will) LOGGED: OAP

LEGEND PID Photo ionisation detector (ppm) PL(A) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa) PL(D) Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa) pp Pocket penetrometer (kPa) S Standard penetration test V Shear vane (kPa) CASING:

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA2020 Zone 56. Grass cover on surface

 SAMPLING & IN SITU TESTING LEGEND

 A
 Auger sample
 G
 Gas sample
 PID
 Pho

 B
 Bulk sample
 P
 Piston sample
 PL(A) Poir

 BLK Block sample
 U
 Tube sample (x mm dia.)
 PL(D) Poir

 C
 Core drilling
 W
 Water sample
 pp
 Poor

 D
 Disturbed sample
 P
 Water seep
 S
 Star

 E
 Environmental sample
 ¥
 Water level
 V
 She



SURFACE LEVEL: 73.7 mAHD **EASTING:** 290567 **NORTHING:** 6244011 **DIP/AZIMUTH:** 90°/--

BORE No: 316 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 5/9/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

Sampling & In Situ Testing Graphic Well Description Water Depth Log Sample Ъ Construction of Depth Type Results & Comments (m) Details Strata TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, ΣX 0.1 dark brown, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, \w<<PL Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, brown, with D 0.5 fine to coarse ironstone gravel, trace rootlets, w<<PL, -2 residual 1.0 1.0 Bore discontinued at 1.0m - target depth reached 2 -2 -2 - 3 -3 -2 4 -4 -69 5 -5 -88 6 -6 -29 7 - 7 -8

RIG: Hand auger

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

LOCATION:

Western Parkland City Authority

Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

Proposed Urban Development

DRILLER: W C Excavations (Will) LOGGED: OAP

CASING:

TYPE OF BORING:

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA2020 Zone 56.

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA2020 Zone 56.





Western Parkland City Authority

Proposed Urban Development

LOCATION: Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

CLIENT: PROJECT: SURFACE LEVEL: 79.5 mAHD BORE No: 317 **EASTING:** 290473 **NORTHING:** 6244095 **DIP/AZIMUTH:** 90°/--

PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 5/9/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

				-				H. 90 /	1		
	Dai	nth	Description	hic				& In Situ Testing	Ŀ	Well	
RL	Dep (m	n)	of Strata	Graphic Log	Type	Depth	Sample	Results & Comments	Water	Construction Details	ı
	-	0.1					0)			-	
64	- - -		dark brown, with rootlets, trace fine to coarse gravel, w <pl <br="">Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown, trace rootlets, w<pl, residual<="" td=""><td></td><td>D</td><td>0.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></pl,></pl>		D	0.5				-	
	-		Below 0.7m: grading to pale grey mottled red-brown							-	
	-1 -	1.1	Bore discontinued at 1.1m	1/1/	D	1.0				-1	
-	-		- target depth reached							-	
78	-									-	
-	-									-	
	-2									-2	
-	-									-	
44	-										
	-										
	-3									-3	
-	-									-	
76	-									-	
-	-									-	
	-4									-4	
	-										
75	-										
-	-										
	-5 -									-5	
	-									-	
74	-										
	-										
	6 - -									-6	
	-										
73	-										
	-										
	-7									-7	
	-										
72	-										
-	-										
								l	I		

RIG: Hand auger TYPE OF BORING:

DRILLER: W C Excavations (Will) LOGGED: OAP

CASING:

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA2020 Zone 56. Grass cover on surface

	SAMPLING & IN SITU TESTING LEGEND											
A	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	PID	Photo ionisation detector (ppm)							
	Bulk sample	Р	Piston sample	PL(A)	Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa)							
BLK	Block sample	U,	Tube sample (x mm dia.)	PL(D)	Point load diametral test ls(50) (MPa)							
С	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	pp	Pocket penetrometer (kPa)							
D	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep	S	Standard penetration test							
E	Environmental sample	¥	Water level	V	Shear vane (kPa)							



SURFACE LEVEL: 75.5 mAHD **EASTING:** 290546 **NORTHING:** 6243943 **DIP/AZIMUTH:** 90°/--

BORE No: 318 PROJECT No: 222630.00 DATE: 5/9/2023 SHEET 1 OF 1

Sampling & In Situ Testing Graphic Log Well Description Water Depth Sample Ъ of Construction Depth Type Results & Comments (m) Details Strata $\Sigma\Sigma$ TOPSOIL/Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, 0.1 \dark brown, with fine to coarse gravel, w<PL Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown, trace rootlets, w<PL, residual 0.5 D Below 0.7m: grading to pale brown mottled red-brown D 0.7 0.75 Bore discontinued at 0.75m - refusal, possibly on rock - 1 1 -2 -2 -2 3 -3 4 -4 5 -5 -0 6 -6 7 - 7

RIG: Hand auger **TYPE OF BORING:**

CLIENT:

PROJECT:

LOCATION:

Western Parkland City Authority

Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly

Proposed Urban Development

DRILLER: W C Excavations (Will) LOGGED: OAP

LECERNU PID Photo ionisation detector (ppm) PL(A) Point load axial test Is(50) (MPa) PL(D) Point load diametral test Is(50) (MPa) pp Pocket penetrometer (kPa) S Standard penetration test V Shear vane (kPa) CASING:

WATER OBSERVATIONS: No free groundwater observed

REMARKS: Location coordinates are in MGA2020 Zone 56. Grass cover on surface

		SAMPLIN	IG & IN SITU	TESTING L	EGE	ND
	Auger sample	G	Gas sample	1	PID	Phot
	Bulk sample	P	Piston sample		PL(A)	
BLK	Block sample	U,	, Tube sample	(xmmdia.) I	PL(D)	Point
	Core drilling	Ŵ	Water sample	, i i i	pp	Pock
D E	Disturbed sample	⊳	Water seep		S	Stan
E	Environmental sa	mple 📱	Water level	,	V I	Shea



Appendix D

Site Photos



Photo 1: Photo from near Test Pit 106 look south west in Stage 2



Photo 2: Photo from near Test Pit 109 looking south west at Stage 2



Photo 3: Photo from south east end of site looking north west towards Stage 2



Photo 4: Photo from near Borehole 203 looking west along Moore Gully



CLIENT:	Western Parkland	I City Authorit	у	Site Photographs 1 to 4	PROJECT No:	222630.00
OFFICE:	Macarthur	DRAWN BY:	ECR	Proposed Urban Development	PLATE No:	1
SCALE:	NTS	DATE:	4 Oct 2023	Bradfield City Centre, Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly	REVISION:	0



Photo 5: Test Pit 107 profile (refusal at 1.1m) Typical of northern part of Stage 2



Photo 7: Test Pit 117 profile (Refusal at 2.2m) Typical of central and southern parts of Stage 2



Photo 6: Spoil from Test Pit 107



Photo 8: Medium to low strenght shale from base of Pit 117

N Devalos Portnoro	CLIENT:	Western Parklan	d City Authorit	у	Site Photographs 5 to 8	PROJECT No:	222630.00
Douglas Partners Geotechnics Environment Groundwater	OFFICE:	OFFICE: Macarthur DRAWN BY: ECR Proposed Urban Development		Proposed Urban Development	PLATE No:	2	
	SCALE:	NTS	DATE:	4 Oct 2023	Bradfield City Centre, Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly	REVISION:	0



Photo 9: Test Pit 123 showing profile where the Luddenham Dyke was encountered (Refusal at 0.9m)



Photo 10: Basaltic material from base of Test Pit 123 - high strength



Photo 11: Test Pit 205 in stormwater corridor showing typical alluvial soil profile



Photo 12: Alluvial soil from Pit 205



CLIENT:	Western Parkland	I City Authorit	у	Site Photographs 9 to 12	PROJECT No:	222630.00
OFFICE:	Macarthur	DRAWN BY:	ECR	Proposed Urban Development	PLATE No:	3
SCALE:	NTS	DATE:	4 Oct 2023	Bradfield City Centre, Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly	REVISION:	0

Appendix E

Results of Laboratory Testing

	Sample Depth	pH	Chloride	Sulphate	Resistivity	Soil Condition			Sample Aggressivity Cla	222		Exchangeable	Cation	Sodicity	Sodicity Class	Emerson	Dispersion?	Soil Texture Group	Textural Factor (M)	EC _{1:5}	EC,	Sample Salinity Class
	campio popui	- p	Concentration	Concentration	By inversion of		Aggr. to Concrete -	Aggr. to Concrete -	Aggr. to Steel -	Aggr. to Steel -	Aggr. to Steel -	Sodium (Na)	Exchange	[Na/CEC]	coulding class	Crumb	(from Emerson	(for detailed soil logs see		[Lab.]	[M x EC _{1:5}]	(Based on sample ECe)
Test Bore					EC1:5		from sample pH	from Sulphate conc.	from sample pH	from Chloride conc.	from sample Resistivity	Concentration	Capacity			Class	Class)	Report Appendix)				
or Pit																Number						
-	(m bgl)	(pH units)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	Ω.cm	[AS2159-2009]			[AS2159-2009]			(meq/100g)	(meq/100g)	(%)	[after DLWC]		[AS1289.3.8.1]	[after DLWC]	[after DLWC]	(microS/cm)	(deciS/m)	[Richards 1954]
301	0.5	5.2	190	91	3846	В	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	1.3	9	15	Sodic			Medium clav	7	260	1.8	Non-Saline
	1.0	4.8			1667	в	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild		-					Medium clay	7	600	4.2	Moderately Saline
-	1.5	4.7			1136	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Medium clay	7	880	6.2	Moderately Saline
-	2.0	4.7			1163	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Medium clay	7	860	6.0	Moderately Saline
	2.0	5			1429	B	Mild				Mild							,	6	700	4.2	Moderately Saline
	-	6.4				-			Non-Aggressive									Heavy clay		110		
302	0.5		43	29	9091	В	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Clay loam	9		1.0	Non-Saline
	1.0	6.9			1786	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Clay loam	9	560	5.0	Moderately Saline
	1.5	5.4			1176	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Medium clay	7	850	6.0	Moderately Saline
	2.2	5.3			1299	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Medium clay	7	770	5.4	Moderately Saline
303	0.5	7.5	850	160	1282	В	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Mild	3	9	33	Highly Sodic			Light medium clay	8	780	6.2	Moderately Saline
	1.0	7.8			909	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Moderate							Light medium clay	8	1100	8.8	Very Saline
	2.5	8.1			1087	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Medium clay	7	920	6.4	Moderately Saline
. [3.0	7.9			1515	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Heavy clay	6	660	4.0	Slightly Saline
304	0.5	5	60	36	10989	В	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Heavy clay	6	91	0.5	Non-Saline
	0.9	5.1		1	9091	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive	1	Non-Aggressive					1	1	Heavy clay	6	110	0.7	Non-Saline
.	1.0	5.1			6667	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		İ	1		İ	1	Heavy clay	6	150	0.9	Non-Saline
305	0.5	4.8	10	25	10526	В	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	1	9	10	Sodic	i –	1	Medium clay	7	95	0.7	Non-Saline
	1.0	5.3	10	86	10101	в	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Medium clay	7	99	0.7	Non-Saline
306	0.5	4.9	150	180	4000	B	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	1	6	18	Highly Sodic			Clay loam	9	250	2.3	Slightly Saline
000	1.0	4.8	130	100	2041	В	Mild	Non Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Hon Aggressive	Non-Aggressive			10	Tighty Oddie			Medium clay	7	490	3.4	Slightly Saline
	1.5	4.9			1235	B	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Medium clay	7	810	5.7	
-		5.1			1235		Mild				Mild							· · · ·	7	650		Moderately Saline
	2.0					В			Non-Aggressive									Medium clay		820	4.6	Moderately Saline
· ·	2.6	5			1220	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Mild							Heavy clay	6		4.9	Moderately Saline
307	0.5	6.6	650	210	1250	В	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Mild	2	6	28	Highly Sodic			Medium clay	7	800	5.6	Moderately Saline
	1.2	7.5			1099	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Clay loam	9	910	8.2	Very Saline
	2.0	8.1			1163	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Light medium clay	8	860	6.9	Moderately Saline
	2.5	8.1			1111	В	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive		Mild							Light clay	9	900	7.7	Moderately Saline
	3.1	8.1			1124	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Light medium clay	8	890	7.1	Moderately Saline
308	0.5	7.6	210	50	2273	В	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	2	10	24	Highly Sodic			Clay loam	9	440	4.0	Slightly Saline
	1.0	8.2	360	62	1639	В	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Mild							Clay loam	9	610	5.5	Moderately Saline
	1.5	8.2			1163	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Mild							Clay loam	9	860	7.7	Moderately Saline
	2.0	8.1			1124	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Mild							Medium clay	7	890	6.2	Moderately Saline
	2.5	8.1			909	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Moderate							Light medium clay	8	1100	8.8	Very Saline
1.1	3.0	8.1			1000	В	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Mild							Light medium clay	8	1000	8.0	Moderately Saline
309	0.5	5.7	10	10	18868	В	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	0	4	3	Non-Sodic		1	Clay loam	9	53	0.5	Non-Saline
	1.0	6.9			19608	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Clayloam	9	51	0.5	Non-Saline
	1.5	6.9			5882	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Medium clay	7	170	1.2	Non-Saline
	2.2	7.1			4762	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Medium clay	7	210	1.5	Non-Saline
	3.0	7			5263	В	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Clay loam	9	190	1.7	Non-Saline
310	0.5	5.7	120	20	4762	В				Non-Accrossive		2	9	10	Highly Codio		1	· · ·	9	210	1.7	Non-Saline
310		7	810	20	4762	В	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive Mild	2	а	16	Highly Sodic			Medium clay	7	1000		Non-Saline Moderately Saline
	1.0		810	00			Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive								Medium clay		1600	7.0	,
	1.3	7.2			625	В	Non-Aggressive	l	Non-Aggressive		Moderate							Clayloam	9		14.4	Very Saline
	2.0	7.5			833	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Moderate							Clayloam	9	1200	10.8	Very Saline
	2.5	7.7			714	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Moderate		L			ļ		Heavy clay	6	1400	8.4	Very Saline
· ·	3.0	8			1639	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Heavy clay	6	610	3.7	Slightly Saline
311	0.5	5.1	170	150	2941	В	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	2	9	23	Highly Sodic			Medium clay	7	340	2.4	Slightly Saline
	0.9	4.8			2326	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Medium clay	7	430	3.0	Slightly Saline
313	0.5	4.7	110	120	3846	В	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Medium clay	7	260	1.8	Non-Saline
[1.0	4.7			3333	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Medium clay	7	300	2.1	Slightly Saline
314	0.5	4.6	210	110	2857	В	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Heavy clay	6	350	2.1	Slightly Saline
	0.9	4.6			2500	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Medium clay	7	400	2.8	Slightly Saline
L			•		•					•												

	Sample Depth	pН	Chloride	Sulphate	Resistivity	Soil Condition			Sample Aggressivity Cla	\$\$		Exchangeable	Cation	Sodicity	Sodicity Class	Emerson	Dispersion?	Soil Texture G
			Concentration	Concentration	By inversion of		Aggr. to Concrete -	Aggr. to Concrete -	Aggr. to Steel -	Aggr. to Steel -	Aggr. to Steel -	Sodium (Na)	Exchange	[Na/CEC]		Crumb	(from Emerson	(for detailed soil le
Test Bore					EC1:5		from sample pH	from Sulphate conc.	from sample pH	from Chloride conc.	from sample Resistivity	Concentration	Capacity			Class	Class)	Report Appen
or Pit																Number		1
	(m bgl)	(pH units)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	Ω.cm	[AS2159-2009]		1	[AS2159-2009]	1		(meq/100g)	(meq/100g)	(%)	[after DLWC]	1	[AS1289.3.8.1]	[after DLW
315	0.5	4.8	67	45	5882	В	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Heavy clay
	1.0	4.5	-	-	2778	В	Moderate		Non-Aggressive	33	Non-Aggressive							Medium cla
316	0.5	6.0	20	20	12195	В		Non Aggrossive		Non Aggropping		1	7	14	Sodic			1
316			20	20		1	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	1	1	14	Sodic			Heavy clay
	1.0	6.0			8333	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Clay loam
317	0.5	4.8	230	130	2632	В	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Medium cla
	1.0	4.5			1818	В	Moderate		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Heavy clay
318	0.5	5.3	100	68	4762	В	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Medium cla
	0.7	5.5			5556	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Heavy clay
BH3	0.5	5.3	220	150	3791	В	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Clay loam
	1.0	5.3	260	270	5666	В	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Medium cla
	2.0	5.5	480	250	9217	В	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive		1				1	Medium cla
	4.0	9.3	77	21	17422	В	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Heavy clay
	5.0	8.6	140	37	3747	В	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Heavy clay
BH6	0.5	6.1	52	46	2873	В												Clay loam
				62		B	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							-
BH11	0.5	5.1	140		15385		Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Medium cla
	1.0	4.9	420	180	5599	В	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Medium cla
	1.5	4.9	610	270	5179	В	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Medium cla
	2.5	5.1	640	250	5817	В	Mild	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive							Medium cla
	4.0	9.2	210	100	1832	В	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Mild							Heavy clay
	7.0	7.6	800	200	1877	В	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Non-Aggressive	Mild							Heavy clay
101	0.5	5.1			1729	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Heavy clay
103	0.5	5.0			1575	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Medium cla
104	0.5	5.8			10091	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Light medium
105	0.5	5.5			4929	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Heavy clay
106	0.5	4.9			1945	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Medium cla
100	1.0	4.8			1328	В	Mild				Mild							Light medium
	1.5	4.9				В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild					2	Some	-
					1381	1			Non-Aggressive							2	Some	Medium cla
107	0.6	7.1			5157	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Medium cla
108	0.5	5.3			1898	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Medium cla
109	0.5	5.8			2585	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Medium cla
110	0.5	5.4			4953	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Medium cla
	1.5	5.0			1724	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Heavy clay
113	0.5	4.6			1793	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Heavy clay
116	0.5	6.2			25575	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Medium cla
117	0.5	5.8			7133	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Medium cla
118	0.5	5.0			3024	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		1				1	Medium cla
	1.0	4.8			1556	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Medium cla
	1.5	5.1			1998	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Medium cla
121	0.5	5.0			2400	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Heavy clay
121	0.5				1727	В	Mild				Mild							
122	1.0	5.2		1	1359	B	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Heavy clay
		5.2							Non-Aggressive									Medium cla
	1.5	5.4			1265	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Medium cla
123	0.5	6.0		l	4970	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Heavy clay
124	0.5	4.4			2680	В	Moderate		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Light medium
125	0.5	5.0			1166	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Heavy clay
126	0.5	5.0			2174	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Heavy clay
127	0.5	4.6		1	1493	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild					2	Some	Heavy clay
	1.0	4.6		1	1448	В	Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild							Medium cla
					1	В												
	1.5	5.0		ł	1920		Mild		Non-Aggressive		Mild		L	L		L		Light medium
128	0.5	6.1			6793	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive					2	Some	Light clay
201	0.5	6.1		1	7310	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Medium cla
202	1.0	6.6			1217	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Mild					2	Some	Medium cla
203	1.0	6.3			4953	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive							Medium cla
204	1.5	7.5			1280	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Mild					2	Some	Medium cla
205	1.0	7.2		1	1257	В	Non-Aggressive		Non-Aggressive		Mild					<u> </u>		Medium cla
203	1.0	1.2		1	1231	5	Hon Aggressive		Holl Agglessive		14IIIU		I	1	L	L	1	weulum Cla

re Group coil logs see opendix) extural Factor (M) EC_{1:5} EC_e Sample Salinity Class [Lab.] [M x EC_{1:5}] (Based on sample ECe) LWC] [Richards 1954] [after DLWC] (deciS/m Non-Saline 170 6 1.0 clay Slightly Saline l clay 7 360 2.5 clay 6 82 0.5 Non-Saline 9 120 1.1 Non-Saline bam 2.7 Slightly Saline Slightly Saline 380 l clay 7 550 3.3 clay 6 Non-Saline l clay 7 210 1.5 180 1.1 Non-Saline 6 clay 9 264 2.4 Slightly Saline bam 177 1.2 Non-Saline l clay 7 l clay 7 109 0.8 Non-Saline 6 57 0.3 Non-Saline clay 267 1.6 Non-Saline clay 6 348 3.1 Slightly Saline am 9 65 0.5 Non-Saline ı clay 7 l clay 7 179 1.3 Non-Saline 193 1.4 Non-Saline ı clay 7 ı clay 7 172 1.2 Non-Saline clay 6 546 3.3 Slightly Saline 533 3.2 Slightly Saline 6 clay 578 3.5 Slightly Saline 6.0 clay 7.0 635 4.4 ı clay Moderately Saline Non-Saline um clay 8.0 99 0.8 clay 6.0 203 1.2 Non-Saline 7.0 514 3.6 Slightly Saline ı clay 6.0 753 um clay 8.0 Moderately Saline ı clay 7.0 724 5.1 Moderately Saline 7.0 194 1.4 Non-Saline l clay Slightly Saline Slightly Saline 7.0 527 3.7 i clay i clay 7.0 387 2.7 7.0 202 1.4 Non-Saline l clay 6.0 580 3.5 Slightly Saline clay 6.0 558 3.3 Slightly Saline clay 39 0.3 i clay i clay 7.0 Non-Saline Non-Saline 7.0 140 1.0 ı clay 7.0 331 2.3 Slightly Saline 7.0 643 4.5 Moderately Saline Slightly Saline i clay i clay 7.0 500 3.5 clay 6.0 417 2.5 Slightly Saline clay 579 3.5 Slightly Saline 6 736 5.2 clay 7 l clay clay 5.5 791 7 201 1.2 Non-Saline 6 um clay 3.0 Slightly Saline 373 8 clay 5.1 Moderately Saline 857 460 2.8 clay 6 Slightly Saline 670 4.0 clay Moderately Saline 6 691 4.8 Moderately Saline ı clay 7 um clay 4.2 Moderately Saline 521 8 1.3 Non-Saline clay 147 8.5 137 1.0 Non-Saline l clay 7 i clay i clay 822 5.8 7 1.4 Non-Saline 202 7 781 5.5 i clay i clay 7

795 5.6

7

Moderately Saline



Envirolab Services Pty Ltd ABN 37 112 535 645 12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067 ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201 customerservice@envirolab.com.au www.envirolab.com.au

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 332311

Client Details	
Client	Douglas Partners Pty Ltd
Attention	Eric Riggle
Address	96 Hermitage Rd, West Ryde, NSW, 2114

Sample Details	
Your Reference	222630.00 Bringelly, Bradfield City Centre
Number of Samples	12 Soil
Date samples received	06/09/2023
Date completed instructions received	06/09/2023

Analysis Details

Please refer to the following pages for results, methodology summary and quality control data.

Samples were analysed as received from the client. Results relate specifically to the samples as received.

Results are reported on a dry weight basis for solids and on an as received basis for other matrices.

Please refer to the last page of this report for any comments relating to the results.

Report Details		
Date results requested by	13/09/2023	
Date of Issue	12/09/2023	
NATA Accreditation Number 29	1. This document shall not be reproduced except in full.	
Accredited for compliance with I	SO/IEC 17025 - Testing. Tests not covered by NATA are denoted with *	

Results Approved By Diego Bigolin, Inorganics Supervisor Loren Bardwell, Development Chemist Authorised By Nancy Zhang, Laboratory Manager



Acid Extractable metals in soil					
Our Reference		332311-1	332311-2	332311-7	332311-8
Your Reference	UNITS	BH3/0.5	BH3/1	BH11/0.5	BH11/1
Date Sampled		08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023
Date analysed	-	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023
Manganese	mg/kg	320	570	120	46

Texture and Salinity*						
Our Reference		332311-1	332311-2	332311-3	332311-4	332311-5
Your Reference	UNITS	BH3/0.5	BH3/1	BH3/2	BH3/4	BH3/5
Date Sampled		08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023
Date analysed	-	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	μS/cm	310	370	560	230	190
Texture Value	-	9.0	7.0	7.0	6.0	6.0
Texture	-	CLAY LOAM	MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	HEAVY CLAY	HEAVY CLAY
ECe	dS/m	2.8	2.6	3.9	<2	<2
Class	-	SLIGHTLY SALINE	SLIGHTLY SALINE	SLIGHTLY SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE

Texture and Salinity*						
Our Reference		332311-6	332311-7	332311-8	332311-9	332311-10
Your Reference	UNITS	BH6/0.5	BH11/0.5	BH11/1	BH11/1.5	BH11/2.5
Date Sampled		08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023
Date analysed	-	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	150	190	550	700	680
Texture Value	-	9.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Texture	-	CLAY LOAM	MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY
ECe	dS/m	<2	<2	3.8	4.9	4.7
Class	-	NON SALINE	NON SALINE	SLIGHTLY SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE

Texture and Salinity*			
Our Reference		332311-11	332311-12
Your Reference	UNITS	BH11/4	BH11/7
Date Sampled		08/04/2023	08/04/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	07/09/2023	07/09/2023
Date analysed	-	07/09/2023	07/09/2023
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	280	780
Texture Value	-	6.0	6.0
Texture	-	HEAVY CLAY	HEAVY CLAY
ECe	dS/m	<2	4.7
Class	-	NON SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE

Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		332311-1	332311-2	332311-3	332311-4	332311-5
Your Reference	UNITS	BH3/0.5	BH3/1	BH3/2	BH3/4	BH3/5
Date Sampled		08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023
Date analysed	-	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	5.3	5.3	5.5	9.3	8.6
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	220	260	480	77	140
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	150	270	250	21	37

Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		332311-6	332311-7	332311-8	332311-9	332311-10
Your Reference	UNITS	BH6/0.5	BH11/0.5	BH11/1	BH11/1.5	BH11/2.5
Date Sampled		08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023
Date analysed	-	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023	07/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	6.1	5.1	4.9	4.9	5.1
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	52	140	420	610	640
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	46	62	180	270	250

Misc Inorg - Soil			
Our Reference		332311-11	332311-12
Your Reference	UNITS	BH11/4	BH11/7
Date Sampled		08/04/2023	08/04/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	07/09/2023	07/09/2023
Date analysed	-	07/09/2023	07/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	9.2	7.6
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	210	800
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	100	200

Moisture						
Our Reference		332311-1	332311-2	332311-3	332311-4	332311-5
Your Reference	UNITS	BH3/0.5	BH3/1	BH3/2	BH3/4	BH3/5
Date Sampled		08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	08/09/2023	08/09/2023	08/09/2023	08/09/2023	08/09/2023
Date analysed	-	09/09/2023	09/09/2023	09/09/2023	09/09/2023	09/09/2023
Moisture	%	13	17	13	2.4	4.9
Moisture						
Our Reference		332311-6	332311-7	332311-8	332311-9	332311-10
Your Reference	UNITS	BH6/0.5	BH11/0.5	BH11/1	BH11/1.5	BH11/2.5
Date Sampled		08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023	08/04/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	08/09/2023	08/09/2023	08/09/2023	08/09/2023	08/09/2023
Date analysed	-	09/09/2023	09/09/2023	09/09/2023	09/09/2023	09/09/2023
Moisture	%	15	13	19	20	18

Moisture			
Our Reference		332311-11	332311-12
Your Reference	UNITS	BH11/4	BH11/7
Date Sampled		08/04/2023	08/04/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	08/09/2023	08/09/2023
Date analysed	-	09/09/2023	09/09/2023
Moisture	%	3.6	2.7

Method ID	Methodology Summary
Inorg-001	pH - Measured using pH meter and electrode in accordance with APHA latest edition, 4500-H+. Please note that the results for water analyses are indicative only, as analysis outside of the APHA storage times.
Inorg-002	Conductivity and Salinity - measured using a conductivity cell at 25°C in accordance with APHA latest edition 2510 and Rayment & Lyons.
Inorg-008	Moisture content determined by heating at 105+/-5 °C for a minimum of 12 hours.
Inorg-081	Anions - a range of Anions are determined by Ion Chromatography, in accordance with APHA latest edition, 4110-B. Waters samples are filtered on receipt prior to analysis. Alternatively determined by colourimetry/turbidity using Discrete Analyser.
INORG-123	Determined using a "Texture by Feel" method.
Metals-020	Determination of various metals by ICP-AES.

QUALITY CONT	QUALITY CONTROL: Acid Extractable metals in soil				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-5	[NT]
Date prepared	-			07/09/2023	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	07/09/2023	[NT]
Date analysed	-			07/09/2023	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	07/09/2023	[NT]
Manganese	mg/kg	1	Metals-020	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	112	[NT]

QUALITY C	QUALITY CONTROL: Texture and Salinity*					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	[NT]
Date prepared	-			07/09/2023	[NT]			[NT]	07/09/2023	
Date analysed	-			07/09/2023	[NT]			[NT]	07/09/2023	
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	μS/cm	1	Inorg-002	<1	[NT]			[NT]	100	

QUALITY	QUALITY CONTROL: Misc Inorg - Soil					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	332311-2
Date prepared	-			07/09/2023	1	07/09/2023	07/09/2023		07/09/2023	07/09/2023
Date analysed	-			07/09/2023	1	07/09/2023	07/09/2023		07/09/2023	07/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units		Inorg-001	[NT]	1	5.3	5.5	4	99	[NT]
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	10	Inorg-081	<10	1	220	220	0	105	#
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	10	Inorg-081	<10	1	150	150	0	102	#

QUALITY CONTROL: Misc Inorg - Soil					Duplicate				Spike Re	Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]	
Date prepared	-			[NT]	11	07/09/2023	07/09/2023		[NT]		
Date analysed	-			[NT]	11	07/09/2023	07/09/2023		[NT]		
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units		Inorg-001	[NT]	11	9.2	9.2	0	[NT]		
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	10	Inorg-081	[NT]	11	210	210	0	[NT]		
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	10	Inorg-081	[NT]	11	100	100	0	[NT]		

Result Definiti	ons
NT	Not tested
NA	Test not required
INS	Insufficient sample for this test
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
<	Less than
>	Greater than
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
NS	Not specified
NEPM	National Environmental Protection Measure
NR	Not Reported

Quality Contro	ol Definitions
Blank	This is the component of the analytical signal which is not derived from the sample but from reagents, glassware etc, can be determined by processing solvents and reagents in exactly the same manner as for samples.
Duplicate	This is the complete duplicate analysis of a sample from the process batch. If possible, the sample selected should be one where the analyte concentration is easily measurable.
Matrix Spike	A portion of the sample is spiked with a known concentration of target analyte. The purpose of the matrix spike is to monitor the performance of the analytical method used and to determine whether matrix interferences exist.
LCS (Laboratory Control Sample)	This comprises either a standard reference material or a control matrix (such as a blank sand or water) fortified with analytes representative of the analyte class. It is simply a check sample.
Surrogate Spike	Surrogates are known additions to each sample, blank, matrix spike and LCS in a batch, of compounds which are similar to the analyte of interest, however are not expected to be found in real samples.

Australian Drinking Water Guidelines recommend that Thermotolerant Coliform, Faecal Enterococci, & E.Coli levels are less than 1cfu/100mL. The recommended maximums are taken from "Australian Drinking Water Guidelines", published by NHMRC & ARMC 2011.

The recommended maximums for analytes in urine are taken from "2018 TLVs and BEIs", as published by ACGIH (where available). Limit provided for Nickel is a precautionary guideline as per Position Paper prepared by AIOH Exposure Standards Committee, 2016.

Guideline limits for Rinse Water Quality reported as per analytical requirements and specifications of AS 4187, Amdt 2 2019, Table 7.2

Laboratory Acceptance Criteria

Duplicate sample and matrix spike recoveries may not be reported on smaller jobs, however, were analysed at a frequency to meet or exceed NEPM requirements. All samples are tested in batches of 20. The duplicate sample RPD and matrix spike recoveries for the batch were within the laboratory acceptance criteria.

Filters, swabs, wipes, tubes and badges will not have duplicate data as the whole sample is generally extracted during sample extraction.

Spikes for Physical and Aggregate Tests are not applicable.

For VOCs in water samples, three vials are required for duplicate or spike analysis.

Duplicates: >10xPQL - RPD acceptance criteria will vary depending on the analytes and the analytical techniques but is typically in the range 20%-50% – see ELN-P05 QA/QC tables for details; <10xPQL - RPD are higher as the results approach PQL and the estimated measurement uncertainty will statistically increase.

Matrix Spikes, LCS and Surrogate recoveries: Generally 70-130% for inorganics/metals (not SPOCAS); 60-140% for organics/SPOCAS (+/-50% surrogates) and 10-140% for labile SVOCs (including labile surrogates), ultra trace organics and speciated phenols is acceptable.

In circumstances where no duplicate and/or sample spike has been reported at 1 in 10 and/or 1 in 20 samples respectively, the sample volume submitted was insufficient in order to satisfy laboratory QA/QC protocols.

When samples are received where certain analytes are outside of recommended technical holding times (THTs), the analysis has proceeded. Where analytes are on the verge of breaching THTs, every effort will be made to analyse within the THT or as soon as practicable.

Where sampling dates are not provided, Envirolab are not in a position to comment on the validity of the analysis where recommended technical holding times may have been breached.

Where matrix spike recoveries fall below the lower limit of the acceptance criteria (e.g. for non-labile or standard Organics <60%), positive result(s) in the parent sample will subsequently have a higher than typical estimated uncertainty (MU estimates supplied on request) and in these circumstances the sample result is likely biased significantly low.

Measurement Uncertainty estimates are available for most tests upon request.

Analysis of aqueous samples typically involves the extraction/digestion and/or analysis of the liquid phase only (i.e. NOT any settled sediment phase but inclusive of suspended particles if present), unless stipulated on the Envirolab COC and/or by correspondence. Notable exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, total recoverable metals and PFAS where solids are included by default.

Samples for Microbiological analysis (not Amoeba forms) received outside of the 2-8°C temperature range do not meet the ideal cooling conditions as stated in AS2031-2012.

Report Comments

MISC_INORG_DRY: # Percent recovery is not applicable due to the high concentration of the analyte/s in the sample/s. However an acceptable recovery was obtained for the LCS.

Samples were out of the recommended holding time for this analysis pH/EC.



Envirolab Services Pty Ltd ABN 37 112 535 645 12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067 ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201 customerservice@envirolab.com.au www.envirolab.com.au

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 332592

Client Details	
Client	Douglas Partners Pty Ltd Smeaton Grange
Attention	Eric Riggle
Address	18 Waler Crescent, Smeaton Grange, NSW, 2567

Sample Details	
Your Reference	222630.00, Bringelly
Number of Samples	59 Soil
Date samples received	08/09/2023
Date completed instructions received	08/09/2023

Analysis Details

Please refer to the following pages for results, methodology summary and quality control data.

Samples were analysed as received from the client. Results relate specifically to the samples as received.

Results are reported on a dry weight basis for solids and on an as received basis for other matrices.

Please refer to the last page of this report for any comments relating to the results.

Report Details					
Date results requested by	15/09/2023				
Date of Issue	15/09/2023				
NATA Accreditation Number 2901. This document shall not be reproduced except in full.					
Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. Tests not covered by NATA are denoted with *					

Results Approved By Loren Bardwell, Development Chemist Nick Sarlamis, Assistant Operation Manager <u>Authorised By</u> Nancy Zhang, Laboratory Manager



Client Reference: 222630.00, Bringelly

Texture and Salinity*						
Our Reference		332592-1	332592-2	332592-3	332592-4	332592-5
Your Reference	UNITS	301/0.5	301/1	301/1.5	301/2	301/2.8
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	260	600	880	860	700
Texture Value	-	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	6.0
Texture	-	MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	HEAVY CLAY
ECe	dS/m	<2	4.2	6.2	6.0	4.2
Class	-	NON SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE

Texture and Salinity*						
Our Reference		332592-6	332592-7	332592-8	332592-9	332592-10
Your Reference	UNITS	302/0.5	302/1	302/1.5	302/2.2	303/0.5
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	μS/cm	110	560	850	770	780
Texture Value	-	9.0	9.0	7.0	7.0	8.0
Texture	-	CLAY LOAM	CLAY LOAM	MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	LIGHT MEDIUM CLAY
ECe	dS/m	<2	5.0	5.9	5.4	6.3
Class	-	NON SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE

Texture and Salinity*						
Our Reference		332592-11	332592-12	332592-13	332592-14	332592-15
Your Reference	UNITS	303/1	303/2.5	303/3	304/0.5	304/0.9
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	1,100	920	660	91	110
Texture Value	-	8.0	7.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Texture	-	LIGHT MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	HEAVY CLAY	HEAVY CLAY	HEAVY CLAY
ECe	dS/m	9.0	6.5	4.0	<2	<2
Class	-	VERY SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE	SLIGHTLY SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE
Texture and Salinity*						
--	-------	------------	-------------	-------------	--------------------	--------------------
Our Reference		332592-16	332592-17	332592-18	332592-19	332592-20
Your Reference	UNITS	304/1	305/0.5	305/1	306/0.5	306/1
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	150	95	99	250	490
Texture Value	-	6.0	7.0	7.0	9.0	7.0
Texture	-	HEAVY CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	CLAY LOAM	MEDIUM CLAY
ECe	dS/m	<2	<2	<2	2.3	3.5
Class	-	NON SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE	SLIGHTLY SALINE	SLIGHTLY SALINE

Texture and Salinity*						
Our Reference		332592-21	332592-22	332592-23	332592-24	332592-25
Your Reference	UNITS	306/1.5	306/2	306/2.6	307/0.5	307/1.2
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	810	650	820	800	910
Texture Value	-	7.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	9.0
Texture	-	MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	HEAVY CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	CLAY LOAM
ECe	dS/m	5.6	4.6	4.9	5.6	8.2
Class	-	MODERATELY SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE	VERY SALINE

Texture and Salinity*						
Our Reference		332592-26	332592-27	332592-28	332592-29	332592-30
Your Reference	UNITS	307/2	307/2.5	307/3.1	308/0.5	308/1
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	860	900	890	440	610
Texture Value	-	8.0	8.5	8.0	9.0	9.0
Texture	-	LIGHT MEDIUM CLAY	LIGHT CLAY	LIGHT MEDIUM CLAY	CLAY LOAM	CLAY LOAM
ECe	dS/m	6.9	7.7	7.1	4.0	5.5
Class	-	MODERATELY SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE	SLIGHTLY SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE

Texture and Salinity*						
Our Reference		332592-31	332592-32	332592-33	332592-34	332592-35
Your Reference	UNITS	308/1.5	308/2	308/2.5	308/3	309/0.5
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	860	890	1,100	1,000	53
Texture Value	-	9.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	9.0
Texture	-	CLAY LOAM	MEDIUM CLAY	LIGHT MEDIUM CLAY	LIGHT MEDIUM CLAY	CLAY LOAM
ECe	dS/m	7.7	6.2	8.6	8.4	<2
Class	-	MODERATELY SALINE	MODERATELY SALINE	VERY SALINE	VERY SALINE	NON SALINE

Texture and Salinity*						
Our Reference		332592-36	332592-37	332592-38	332592-39	332592-40
Your Reference	UNITS	309/1	309/1.5	309/2.2	309/3	310/0.5
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	51	170	210	190	210
Texture Value	-	9.0	7.0	7.0	9.0	7.0
Texture	-	CLAY LOAM	MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	CLAY LOAM	MEDIUM CLAY
ECe	dS/m	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Class	-	NON SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE

Texture and Salinity*						
Our Reference		332592-41	332592-42	332592-43	332592-44	332592-45
Your Reference	UNITS	310/1	310/1.3	310/2	310/2.5	310/3
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	1,000	1,600	1,200	1,400	610
Texture Value	-	7.0	9.0	9.0	6.0	6.0
Texture	-	MEDIUM CLAY	CLAY LOAM	CLAY LOAM	HEAVY CLAY	HEAVY CLAY
ECe	dS/m	7.3	14	11	8.1	3.7
Class	-	MODERATELY SALINE	VERY SALINE	VERY SALINE	VERY SALINE	SLIGHTLY SALINE

Texture and Salinity*						
Our Reference		332592-46	332592-47	332592-48	332592-49	332592-50
Your Reference	UNITS	311/0.5	311/0.9	313/0.5	313/1	314/0.5
Date Sampled		05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	340	430	260	300	350
Texture Value	-	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	6.0
Texture	-	MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	HEAVY CLAY
ECe	dS/m	2.3	3.0	<2	2.1	2.1
Class	-	SLIGHTLY SALINE	SLIGHTLY SALINE	NON SALINE	SLIGHTLY SALINE	SLIGHTLY SALINE

Texture and Salinity*						
Our Reference		332592-51	332592-52	332592-53	332592-54	332592-55
Your Reference	UNITS	314/0.9	315/0.5	315/1	316/0.5	316/1
Date Sampled		05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	400	170	360	82	120
Texture Value	-	7.0	6.0	7.0	6.0	9.0
Texture	-	MEDIUM CLAY	HEAVY CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	HEAVY CLAY	CLAY LOAM
ECe	dS/m	2.8	<2	2.5	<2	<2
Class	-	SLIGHTLY SALINE	NON SALINE	SLIGHTLY SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE

Texture and Salinity*					
Our Reference		332592-56	332592-57	332592-58	332592-59
Your Reference	UNITS	317/0.5	317/1	318/0.5	318/0.7
Date Sampled		05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	380	550	210	180
Texture Value	-	7.0	6.0	7.0	6.0
Texture	-	MEDIUM CLAY	HEAVY CLAY	MEDIUM CLAY	HEAVY CLAY
ECe	dS/m	2.6	3.3	<2	<2
Class	-	SLIGHTLY SALINE	SLIGHTLY SALINE	NON SALINE	NON SALINE

Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		332592-1	332592-2	332592-3	332592-4	332592-5
Your Reference	UNITS	301/0.5	301/1	301/1.5	301/2	301/2.8
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	5.2	4.8	4.7	4.7	5.0
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	190	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	91	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]
Misc Inorg - Soil		1				
Our Reference		332592-6	332592-7	332592-8	332592-9	332592-10
Your Reference	UNITS	302/0.5	302/1	302/1.5	302/2.2	303/0.5
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	6.4	6.9	5.4	5.3	7.5
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	43	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]	850
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	29	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]	160
Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		332592-11	332592-12	332592-13	332592-14	332592-15
Your Reference	UNITS	303/1	303/2.5	303/3	304/0.5	304/0.9
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	7.8	8.1	7.9	5.0	5.1
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]	60	[NA]
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]	36	[NA]
Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		332592-16	332592-17	332592-18	332592-19	332592-20
Your Reference	UNITS	304/1	305/0.5	305/1	306/0.5	306/1
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	5.1	4.8	5.3	4.9	4.8
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	[NA]	10	10	150	[NA]

Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		332592-21	332592-22	332592-23	332592-24	332592-25
Your Reference	UNITS	306/1.5	306/2	306/2.6	307/0.5	307/1.2
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	4.9	5.1	5.0	6.6	7.5
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]	650	[NA]
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]	210	[NA]
Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		332592-26	332592-27	332592-28	332592-29	332592-30
Your Reference	UNITS	307/2	307/2.5	307/3.1	308/0.5	308/1
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	8.1	8.1	8.1	7.6	8.2
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]	210	360

Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		332592-31	332592-32	332592-33	332592-34	332592-35
Your Reference	UNITS	308/1.5	308/2	308/2.5	308/3	309/0.5
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	8.2	8.1	8.1	8.1	5.7
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]	<10
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]	<10

mg/kg

Misc Inorg - Soil						_
Our Reference		332592-36	332592-37	332592-38	332592-39	332592-40
Your Reference	UNITS	309/1	309/1.5	309/2.2	309/3	310/0.5
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	6.9	6.9	7.1	7.0	5.7
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]		120
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]		20

Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water

50

62

Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		332592-41	332592-42	332592-43	332592-44	332592-45
Your Reference	UNITS	310/1	310/1.3	310/2	310/2.5	310/3
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	7.0	7.2	7.5	7.7	8.0
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	810	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	66	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]	[NA]
Misc Inorg - Soil	I					·
Our Reference		332592-46	332592-47	332592-48	332592-49	332592-50
Your Reference	UNITS	311/0.5	311/0.9	313/0.5	313/1	314/0.5
Date Sampled		05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared		11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	5.1	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.6
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	170	[NA]	110	[NA]	210
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	150	[NA]	120	[NA]	110
Misc Inorg - Soil						
Our Reference		332592-51	332592-52	332592-53	332592-54	332592-55
Your Reference	UNITS	314/0.9	315/0.5	315/1	316/0.5	316/1
Date Sampled		05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	4.6	4.8	4.5	6.0	6.0
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	[NA]	67	[NA]	20	[NA]
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	[NA]	45	[NA]	20	[NA]

Misc Inorg - Soil					
Our Reference		332592-56	332592-57	332592-58	332592-59
Your Reference	UNITS	317/0.5	317/1	318/0.5	318/0.7
Date Sampled		05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023	11/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units	4.8	4.5	5.3	5.5
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	230	[NA]	100	[NA]
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	130	[NA]	68	[NA]

ESP/CEC						
Our Reference		332592-1	332592-10	332592-17	332592-19	332592-24
Your Reference	UNITS	301/0.5	303/0.5	305/0.5	306/0.5	307/0.5
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	13/09/2023	13/09/2023	13/09/2023	13/09/2023	13/09/2023
Date analysed	-	13/09/2023	13/09/2023	13/09/2023	13/09/2023	13/09/2023
Exchangeable Ca	meq/100g	0.8	0.8	2.7	0.3	0.2
Exchangeable K	meq/100g	0.2	0.1	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Exchangeable Mg	meq/100g	6.6	4.9	5.1	4.6	4.4
Exchangeable Na	meq/100g	1.3	2.9	0.9	1.1	1.8
Cation Exchange Capacity	meq/100g	8.9	8.8	8.9	6.1	6.4
ESP	%	14	33	10	18	28

ESP/CEC						
Our Reference		332592-29	332592-35	332592-40	332592-46	332592-54
Your Reference	UNITS	308/0.5	309/0.5	310/0.5	311/0.5	316/0.5
Date Sampled		30/08/2023	30/08/2023	30/08/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023
Type of sample		Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Date prepared	-	13/09/2023	13/09/2023	13/09/2023	13/09/2023	13/09/2023
Date analysed	-	13/09/2023	13/09/2023	13/09/2023	13/09/2023	13/09/2023
Exchangeable Ca	meq/100g	1.7	0.9	1.2	0.4	0.1
Exchangeable K	meq/100g	0.1	<0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
Exchangeable Mg	meq/100g	6.2	2.6	6.4	6.4	5.7
Exchangeable Na	meq/100g	2.4	<0.1	1.5	2.1	1
Cation Exchange Capacity	meq/100g	10	3.6	9.2	9.0	7.0
ESP	%	23	[NT]	16	23	14

Method ID	Methodology Summary
Inorg-001	pH - Measured using pH meter and electrode in accordance with APHA latest edition, 4500-H+. Please note that the results for water analyses are indicative only, as analysis outside of the APHA storage times.
Inorg-002	Conductivity and Salinity - measured using a conductivity cell at 25°C in accordance with APHA latest edition 2510 and Rayment & Lyons.
Inorg-081	Anions - a range of Anions are determined by Ion Chromatography, in accordance with APHA latest edition, 4110-B. Waters samples are filtered on receipt prior to analysis. Alternatively determined by colourimetry/turbidity using Discrete Analyser.
INORG-123	Determined using a "Texture by Feel" method.
Metals-020	Determination of exchangeable cations and cation exchange capacity in soils using 1M Ammonium Chloride exchange and ICP-OES analytical finish.

QUALITY C	ONTROL: T	exture an	d Salinity*			Du	plicate		Spike Re	covery %
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	[NT]
Date prepared	-			11/09/2023	1	11/09/2023	11/09/2023		11/09/2023	
Date analysed	-			11/09/2023	1	11/09/2023	11/09/2023		11/09/2023	
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	μS/cm	1	Inorg-002	<1	1	260	240	8	102	
Texture Value	-		INORG-123	[NT]	1	7.0	7.0	0	[NT]	

QUALITY C	ONTROL: T	exture an	d Salinity*			Du	plicate		Spike Re	covery %
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-2	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	10	11/09/2023	11/09/2023		11/09/2023	
Date analysed	-			[NT]	10	11/09/2023	11/09/2023		11/09/2023	
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	μS/cm	1	Inorg-002	[NT]	10	780	830	6	103	
Texture Value	-		INORG-123	[NT]	10	8.0	8.0	0	[NT]	[NT]

QUALITY (CONTROL: T	exture an	d Salinity*			Duj	Spike Recovery %			
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-3	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	19	11/09/2023	11/09/2023		11/09/2023	
Date analysed	-			[NT]	19	11/09/2023	11/09/2023		11/09/2023	
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	μS/cm	1	Inorg-002	[NT]	19	250	280	11	103	
Texture Value	-		INORG-123	[NT]	19	9.0	9.0	0	[NT]	

QUALITY C	ONTROL: T	exture an	d Salinity*			Du	plicate		Spike Re	covery %
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	29	11/09/2023	11/09/2023			[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	29	11/09/2023	11/09/2023			[NT]
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	1	Inorg-002	[NT]	29	440	500	13		[NT]
Texture Value	-		INORG-123	[NT]	29	9.0	[NT]			[NT]

QUALITY C	ONTROL: T	exture an	d Salinity*			Du	Spike Recovery %			
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	40	11/09/2023	11/09/2023			[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	40	11/09/2023	11/09/2023			[NT]
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	μS/cm	1	Inorg-002	[NT]	40	210	190	10		[NT]
Texture Value	-		INORG-123	[NT]	40	7.0	[NT]			[NT]

QUALITY C	ONTROL: T	exture an	d Salinity*			Du	Spike Recovery %			
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	46	11/09/2023	11/09/2023			[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	46	11/09/2023	11/09/2023			[NT]
Electrical Conductivity 1:5 soil:water	µS/cm	1	Inorg-002	[NT]	46	340	340	0		[NT]
Texture Value	-		INORG-123	[NT]	46	7.0	[NT]			[NT]

QUALITY	CONTROL	Misc Ino	rg - Soil		Duplicate				Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-1	332592-6
Date prepared	-			11/09/2023	1	11/09/2023	11/09/2023		11/09/2023	11/09/2023
Date analysed	-			11/09/2023	1	11/09/2023	11/09/2023		11/09/2023	11/09/2023
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units		Inorg-001	[NT]	1	5.2	5.1	2	101	[NT]
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	10	Inorg-081	<10	1	190	200	5	108	96
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	10	Inorg-081	<10	1	91	98	7	105	88

QUALITY	QUALITY CONTROL: Misc Inorg - Soil						Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-2	[NT]	
Date prepared	-			[NT]	10	11/09/2023	11/09/2023		11/09/2023	[NT]	
Date analysed	-			[NT]	10	11/09/2023	11/09/2023		11/09/2023	[NT]	
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units		Inorg-001	[NT]	10	7.5	7.6	1	99	[NT]	
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	10	Inorg-081	[NT]	10	850	[NT]		96	[NT]	
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	10	Inorg-081	[NT]	10	160	[NT]		88	[NT]	

QUALITY	CONTROL	Misc Ino	rg - Soil		Duplicate Spike Rec					covery %
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-3	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	19	11/09/2023	11/09/2023		11/09/2023	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	19	11/09/2023	11/09/2023		11/09/2023	[NT]
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units		Inorg-001	[NT]	19	4.9	4.8	2	100	[NT]
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	10	Inorg-081	[NT]	19	150	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	10	Inorg-081	[NT]	19	180	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]

QUALI	Y CONTROL	: Misc Inc	rg - Soil		Duplicate				Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	29	11/09/2023	11/09/2023			
Date analysed	-			[NT]	29	11/09/2023	11/09/2023			
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units		Inorg-001	[NT]	29	7.6	7.6	0		
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	10	Inorg-081	[NT]	29	210	260	21		
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	10	Inorg-081	[NT]	29	50	57	13		

QUALITY	CONTROL	Misc Ino	rg - Soil		Duplicate				Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	40	11/09/2023	11/09/2023			[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	40	11/09/2023	11/09/2023			[NT]
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units		Inorg-001	[NT]	40	5.7	5.7	0		[NT]
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	10	Inorg-081	[NT]	40	120	[NT]			[NT]
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	10	Inorg-081	[NT]	40	20	[NT]			[NT]

QUALITY	QUALITY CONTROL: Misc Inorg - Soil						Duplicate			
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	[NT]	[NT]
Date prepared	-			[NT]	46	11/09/2023	11/09/2023		[NT]	[NT]
Date analysed	-			[NT]	46	11/09/2023	11/09/2023		[NT]	[NT]
pH 1:5 soil:water	pH Units		Inorg-001	[NT]	46	5.1	5.1	0	[NT]	[NT]
Chloride, Cl 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	10	Inorg-081	[NT]	46	170	170	0	[NT]	[NT]
Sulphate, SO4 1:5 soil:water	mg/kg	10	Inorg-081	[NT]	46	150	150	0	[NT]	[NT]

QUAL	ITY CONTR	OL: ESP/	CEC			Duplicate			Spike Recovery %	
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W1	[NT]
Date prepared	-			13/09/2023	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	13/09/2023	
Date analysed	-			13/09/2023	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	13/09/2023	
Exchangeable Ca	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-020	<0.1	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	112	
Exchangeable K	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-020	<0.1	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	104	
Exchangeable Mg	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-020	<0.1	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	99	
Exchangeable Na	meq/100g	0.1	Metals-020	<0.1	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	101	

Result Definiti	ons
NT	Not tested
NA	Test not required
INS	Insufficient sample for this test
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
<	Less than
>	Greater than
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
NS	Not specified
NEPM	National Environmental Protection Measure
NR	Not Reported

Quality Contro	ol Definitions
Blank	This is the component of the analytical signal which is not derived from the sample but from reagents, glassware etc, can be determined by processing solvents and reagents in exactly the same manner as for samples.
Duplicate	This is the complete duplicate analysis of a sample from the process batch. If possible, the sample selected should be one where the analyte concentration is easily measurable.
Matrix Spike	A portion of the sample is spiked with a known concentration of target analyte. The purpose of the matrix spike is to monitor the performance of the analytical method used and to determine whether matrix interferences exist.
LCS (Laboratory Control Sample)	This comprises either a standard reference material or a control matrix (such as a blank sand or water) fortified with analytes representative of the analyte class. It is simply a check sample.
Surrogate Spike	Surrogates are known additions to each sample, blank, matrix spike and LCS in a batch, of compounds which are similar to the analyte of interest, however are not expected to be found in real samples.

Australian Drinking Water Guidelines recommend that Thermotolerant Coliform, Faecal Enterococci, & E.Coli levels are less than 1cfu/100mL. The recommended maximums are taken from "Australian Drinking Water Guidelines", published by NHMRC & ARMC 2011.

The recommended maximums for analytes in urine are taken from "2018 TLVs and BEIs", as published by ACGIH (where available). Limit provided for Nickel is a precautionary guideline as per Position Paper prepared by AIOH Exposure Standards Committee, 2016.

Guideline limits for Rinse Water Quality reported as per analytical requirements and specifications of AS 4187, Amdt 2 2019, Table 7.2

Laboratory Acceptance Criteria

Duplicate sample and matrix spike recoveries may not be reported on smaller jobs, however, were analysed at a frequency to meet or exceed NEPM requirements. All samples are tested in batches of 20. The duplicate sample RPD and matrix spike recoveries for the batch were within the laboratory acceptance criteria.

Filters, swabs, wipes, tubes and badges will not have duplicate data as the whole sample is generally extracted during sample extraction.

Spikes for Physical and Aggregate Tests are not applicable.

For VOCs in water samples, three vials are required for duplicate or spike analysis.

Duplicates: >10xPQL - RPD acceptance criteria will vary depending on the analytes and the analytical techniques but is typically in the range 20%-50% – see ELN-P05 QA/QC tables for details; <10xPQL - RPD are higher as the results approach PQL and the estimated measurement uncertainty will statistically increase.

Matrix Spikes, LCS and Surrogate recoveries: Generally 70-130% for inorganics/metals (not SPOCAS); 60-140% for organics/SPOCAS (+/-50% surrogates) and 10-140% for labile SVOCs (including labile surrogates), ultra trace organics and speciated phenols is acceptable.

In circumstances where no duplicate and/or sample spike has been reported at 1 in 10 and/or 1 in 20 samples respectively, the sample volume submitted was insufficient in order to satisfy laboratory QA/QC protocols.

When samples are received where certain analytes are outside of recommended technical holding times (THTs), the analysis has proceeded. Where analytes are on the verge of breaching THTs, every effort will be made to analyse within the THT or as soon as practicable.

Where sampling dates are not provided, Envirolab are not in a position to comment on the validity of the analysis where recommended technical holding times may have been breached.

Where matrix spike recoveries fall below the lower limit of the acceptance criteria (e.g. for non-labile or standard Organics <60%), positive result(s) in the parent sample will subsequently have a higher than typical estimated uncertainty (MU estimates supplied on request) and in these circumstances the sample result is likely biased significantly low.

Measurement Uncertainty estimates are available for most tests upon request.

Analysis of aqueous samples typically involves the extraction/digestion and/or analysis of the liquid phase only (i.e. NOT any settled sediment phase but inclusive of suspended particles if present), unless stipulated on the Envirolab COC and/or by correspondence. Notable exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, total recoverable metals and PFAS where solids are included by default.

Samples for Microbiological analysis (not Amoeba forms) received outside of the 2-8°C temperature range do not meet the ideal cooling conditions as stated in AS2031-2012.

Report Comments

Tests/Analytes PH and EC for #1-45 have exceeded the recommended technical holding times, Envirolab Group form 347 "Recommended Preservation and Holding Times" can be provided on request (available on the Envirolab website)

ESP: Where the exchangeable Sodium is less than the PQL and CEC is less than 10meq/100g, the ESP cannot be calculated.



Envirolab Services Pty Ltd ABN 37 112 535 645 12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067 ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201 customerservice@envirolab.com.au www.envirolab.com.au

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 335091

Client Details	
Client	Douglas Partners Pty Ltd
Attention	Eric Riggle
Address	96 Hermitage Rd, West Ryde, NSW, 2114

Sample Details	
Your Reference	222630.00 - Bringelly, Bradfield City Centre
Number of Samples	4 Water
Date samples received	11/10/2023
Date completed instructions received	11/10/2023

Analysis Details

Please refer to the following pages for results, methodology summary and quality control data.

Samples were analysed as received from the client. Results relate specifically to the samples as received.

Results are reported on a dry weight basis for solids and on an as received basis for other matrices.

Please refer to the last page of this report for any comments relating to the results.

Report Details							
Date results requested by	12/10/2023						
Date of Issue	12/10/2023						
NATA Accreditation Number 29	NATA Accreditation Number 2901. This document shall not be reproduced except in full.						
Accredited for compliance with	Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. Tests not covered by NATA are denoted with *						

<u>Results Approved By</u> Priya Samarawickrama, Senior Chemist <u>Authorised By</u> Nancy Zhang, Laboratory Manager



Miscellaneous Inorganics					
Our Reference		335091-1	335091-2	335091-3	335091-4
Your Reference	UNITS	201	203	206	207
Date Sampled		26/09/2023	26/09/2023	26/09/2023	26/09/2023
Type of sample		Water	Water	Water	Water
Date prepared	-	11/10/2023	11/10/2023	11/10/2023	11/10/2023
Date analysed	-	11/10/2023	11/10/2023	11/10/2023	11/10/2023
рН	pH Units	7.2	7.2	7.1	7.3
Electrical Conductivity	µS/cm	19,000	19,000	19,000	16,000

Method ID	Methodology Summary
Inorg-001	pH - Measured using pH meter and electrode in accordance with APHA latest edition, 4500-H+. Please note that the results for water analyses are indicative only, as analysis outside of the APHA storage times.
Inorg-002	Conductivity and Salinity - measured using a conductivity cell at 25°C in accordance with APHA latest edition 2510 and Rayment & Lyons.

QUALITY COI	NTROL: Mis	cellaneou	s Inorganics			Du	plicate		Spike Re	covery %
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W1	[NT]
Date prepared	-			11/10/2023	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	11/10/2023	
Date analysed	-			11/10/2023	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	11/10/2023	
рН	pH Units		Inorg-001	[NT]	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	102	
Electrical Conductivity	μS/cm	1	Inorg-002	<1	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	105	[NT]

Result Definiti	ons
NT	Not tested
NA	Test not required
INS	Insufficient sample for this test
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
<	Less than
>	Greater than
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
NS	Not specified
NEPM	National Environmental Protection Measure
NR	Not Reported

Quality Contro	ol Definitions
Blank	This is the component of the analytical signal which is not derived from the sample but from reagents, glassware etc, can be determined by processing solvents and reagents in exactly the same manner as for samples.
Duplicate	This is the complete duplicate analysis of a sample from the process batch. If possible, the sample selected should be one where the analyte concentration is easily measurable.
Matrix Spike	A portion of the sample is spiked with a known concentration of target analyte. The purpose of the matrix spike is to monitor the performance of the analytical method used and to determine whether matrix interferences exist.
LCS (Laboratory Control Sample)	This comprises either a standard reference material or a control matrix (such as a blank sand or water) fortified with analytes representative of the analyte class. It is simply a check sample.
Surrogate Spike	Surrogates are known additions to each sample, blank, matrix spike and LCS in a batch, of compounds which are similar to the analyte of interest, however are not expected to be found in real samples.

Australian Drinking Water Guidelines recommend that Thermotolerant Coliform, Faecal Enterococci, & E.Coli levels are less than 1cfu/100mL. The recommended maximums are taken from "Australian Drinking Water Guidelines", published by NHMRC & ARMC 2011.

The recommended maximums for analytes in urine are taken from "2018 TLVs and BEIs", as published by ACGIH (where available). Limit provided for Nickel is a precautionary guideline as per Position Paper prepared by AIOH Exposure Standards Committee, 2016.

Guideline limits for Rinse Water Quality reported as per analytical requirements and specifications of AS 4187, Amdt 2 2019, Table 7.2

Laboratory Acceptance Criteria

Duplicate sample and matrix spike recoveries may not be reported on smaller jobs, however, were analysed at a frequency to meet or exceed NEPM requirements. All samples are tested in batches of 20. The duplicate sample RPD and matrix spike recoveries for the batch were within the laboratory acceptance criteria.

Filters, swabs, wipes, tubes and badges will not have duplicate data as the whole sample is generally extracted during sample extraction.

Spikes for Physical and Aggregate Tests are not applicable.

For VOCs in water samples, three vials are required for duplicate or spike analysis.

Duplicates: >10xPQL - RPD acceptance criteria will vary depending on the analytes and the analytical techniques but is typically in the range 20%-50% – see ELN-P05 QA/QC tables for details; <10xPQL - RPD are higher as the results approach PQL and the estimated measurement uncertainty will statistically increase.

Matrix Spikes, LCS and Surrogate recoveries: Generally 70-130% for inorganics/metals (not SPOCAS); 60-140% for organics/SPOCAS (+/-50% surrogates) and 10-140% for labile SVOCs (including labile surrogates), ultra trace organics and speciated phenols is acceptable.

In circumstances where no duplicate and/or sample spike has been reported at 1 in 10 and/or 1 in 20 samples respectively, the sample volume submitted was insufficient in order to satisfy laboratory QA/QC protocols.

When samples are received where certain analytes are outside of recommended technical holding times (THTs), the analysis has proceeded. Where analytes are on the verge of breaching THTs, every effort will be made to analyse within the THT or as soon as practicable.

Where sampling dates are not provided, Envirolab are not in a position to comment on the validity of the analysis where recommended technical holding times may have been breached.

Where matrix spike recoveries fall below the lower limit of the acceptance criteria (e.g. for non-labile or standard Organics <60%), positive result(s) in the parent sample will subsequently have a higher than typical estimated uncertainty (MU estimates supplied on request) and in these circumstances the sample result is likely biased significantly low.

Measurement Uncertainty estimates are available for most tests upon request.

Analysis of aqueous samples typically involves the extraction/digestion and/or analysis of the liquid phase only (i.e. NOT any settled sediment phase but inclusive of suspended particles if present), unless stipulated on the Envirolab COC and/or by correspondence. Notable exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, total recoverable metals and PFAS where solids are included by default.

Samples for Microbiological analysis (not Amoeba forms) received outside of the 2-8°C temperature range do not meet the ideal cooling conditions as stated in AS2031-2012.

Report Comments

Samples were out of the recommended holding time for this analysis.

Report Number:	222630.00-4
•	
Issue Number:	1
Date Issued:	21/09/2023
Client:	Western Parkland City Authority
	Level 2,10 Valentine Avenue, Parramatta NSW 2150
Contact:	Ambreen Imitaz
Project Number:	222630.00
Project Name:	Proposed Urban Development
Project Location:	Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly NSW
Work Request:	11003
Sample Number:	MA-11003K
Date Sampled:	10/08/2023
Dates Tested:	24/08/2023 - 20/09/2023
Sampling Method:	Sampled by Engineering Department
	The results apply to the sample as received
Preparation Method:	AS 1289.1.1 - Sampling and preparation of soils
Sample Location:	106 (0.5 m)
Material:	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, pale grey, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl, residual<="" stiff,="" th="" very=""></pl,>

Douglas Partners Geotechnics | Environment | Groundwater

Geotechnics I Environment I Groundwater Douglas Partners Pty Ltd Macarthur Laboratory 18 Waler Crescent Smeaton Grange NSW 2567 Phone: (02) 4647 0075 Email: meregal.henakaa@douglaspartners.com.au



Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

Alenabaul

Emerson Class Number of a Soil (AS 1289 3.8.1)			Max
Emerson Class	2		
Soil Description	As above		
Nature of Water	Distilled water		
Temperature of Water (°C)	25		

Report Number:	222630.00-4
Issue Number:	1
	•
Date Issued:	21/09/2023
Client:	Western Parkland City Authority
	Level 2,10 Valentine Avenue, Parramatta NSW 2150
Contact:	Ambreen Imitaz
Project Number:	222630.00
Project Name:	Proposed Urban Development
Project Location:	Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly NSW
Work Request:	11003
Sample Number:	MA-11003L
Date Sampled:	10/08/2023
Dates Tested:	24/08/2023 - 20/09/2023
Sampling Method:	Sampled by Engineering Department
	The results apply to the sample as received
Preparation Method:	AS 1289.1.1 - Sampling and preparation of soils
Sample Location:	127 , Depth: 0.5 m
Material:	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, red-brown mottled pale grey, trace rootlets, w <pl, residual<="" stiff,="" th=""></pl,>

Emerson Class Number of a Soil (AS 1289 3.8.1)			Max
Emerson Class	2		
Soil Description	As above		
Nature of Water	Distilled water		
Temperature of Water (°C)	25		

Douglas Partners Geotechnics | Environment | Groundwater

Geotechnics | Environment | Groundwater Douglas Partners Pty Ltd Macarthur Laboratory 18 Waler Crescent Smeaton Grange NSW 2567 Phone: (02) 4647 0075 Email: meregal.henakaa@douglaspartners.com.au



Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

Atenabaul

Report Number:	222630.00-4
Issue Number:	1
Date Issued:	21/09/2023
Client:	Western Parkland City Authority
	Level 2,10 Valentine Avenue, Parramatta NSW 2150
Contact:	Ambreen Imitaz
Project Number:	222630.00
Project Name:	Proposed Urban Development
Project Location:	Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly NSW
Work Request:	11003
Sample Number:	MA-11003M
Date Sampled:	10/08/2023
Dates Tested:	24/08/2023 - 20/09/2023
Sampling Method:	Sampled by Engineering Department
	The results apply to the sample as received
Preparation Method:	AS 1289.1.1 - Sampling and preparation of soils
Sample Location:	128 , Depth: 0.5 m
Material:	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, pale brown, trace ironstone gravel and rootlets, w <pl, residual<="" stiff,="" th=""></pl,>

Douglas Partners Geotechnics | Environment | Groundwater

Geotechnics I Environment I Groundwater Douglas Partners Pty Ltd Macarthur Laboratory 18 Waler Crescent Smeaton Grange NSW 2567 Phone: (02) 4647 0075 Email: meregal.henakaa@douglaspartners.com.au



Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

Alenabaul

Emerson Class Number of a Soil (AS 1289 3.8.1)			Max
Emerson Class	2		
Soil Description	As above		
Nature of Water	Distilled water		
Temperature of Water (^o C)	25		

Report Number:	222630.00-4
Issue Number:	1
Date Issued:	21/09/2023
Client:	Western Parkland City Authority
	Level 2,10 Valentine Avenue, Parramatta NSW 2150
Contact:	Ambreen Imitaz
Project Number:	222630.00
Project Name:	Proposed Urban Development
Project Location:	Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly NSW
Work Request:	11003
Sample Number:	MA-11003N
Date Sampled:	10/08/2023
Dates Tested:	24/08/2023 - 20/09/2023
Sampling Method:	Sampled by Engineering Department
	The results apply to the sample as received
Preparation Method:	AS 1289.1.1 - Sampling and preparation of soils
Sample Location:	202 , Depth: 1.0 m
Material:	Silty CLAY CI-CH: medium to high plasticity, pale brown and red-brown, trace fine to coarse gravel and rootlets, w <pl, residual<="" stiff,="" th=""></pl,>



Douglas Partners Pty Ltd Macarthur Laboratory 18 Waler Crescent Smeaton Grange NSW 2567 Phone: (02) 4647 0075 Email: meregal.henakaa@douglaspartners.com.au



Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

Atenabaul

Emerson Class Number of a Soil (AS 1289 3.8	Min	Max	
Emerson Class	2		
Soil Description	As above		
Nature of Water	Distilled water]	
Temperature of Water (°C)	25		

Report Number:	222630.00-4
Issue Number:	1
Date Issued:	21/09/2023
Client:	Western Parkland City Authority
	Level 2,10 Valentine Avenue, Parramatta NSW 2150
Contact:	Ambreen Imitaz
Project Number:	222630.00
Project Name:	Proposed Urban Development
Project Location:	Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly NSW
Work Request:	11003
Sample Number:	MA-11003O
Date Sampled:	10/08/2023
Dates Tested:	24/08/2023 - 20/09/2023
Sampling Method:	Sampled by Engineering Department
	The results apply to the sample as received
Preparation Method:	AS 1289.1.1 - Sampling and preparation of soils
Sample Location:	204 , Depth: 1.5 m
Material:	Silty CLAY CL-CI: low to medium plasticity, brown, trace gravel, w <pl <math="" display="inline">% \left({{\rm Silt}_{\rm A}} \right)</pl>

Douglas Partners Geotechnics | Environment | Groundwater

Geotechnics I Environment I Groundwater Douglas Partners Pty Ltd Macarthur Laboratory 18 Waler Crescent Smeaton Grange NSW 2567 Phone: (02) 4647 0075 Email: meregal.henakaa@douglaspartners.com.au



Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

Atenabaul

Emerson Class Number of a Soil (AS 1289 3.8	Min	Max	
Emerson Class	2		
Soil Description	As above		
Nature of Water	Distilled water		
Temperature of Water (°C)	25		

Report Number:	222630.00-4
Issue Number:	1
Date Issued:	21/09/2023
Client:	Western Parkland City Authority
	Level 2,10 Valentine Avenue, Parramatta NSW 2150
Contact:	Ambreen Imitaz
Project Number:	222630.00
Project Name:	Proposed Urban Development
Project Location:	Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly NSW
Work Request:	11003
Dates Tested:	24/08/2023 - 20/09/2023
Location:	Badgerys Creek Road, Bringerlly

Douglas Partners Geotechnics | Environment | Groundwater

Geotechnics I Environment I Groundwater Douglas Partners Pty Ltd Macarthur Laboratory 18 Waler Crescent Smeaton Grange NSW 2567 Phone: (02) 4647 0075 Email: meregal.henakaa@douglaspartners.com.au



Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

Alenabauch

	oil (In-House) DP MAC1			
Sample Number	Location	Depth (m)	Material	pH Value
MA-11003P	101	0.5	Soil	5.1
MA-11003Q	103	0.5	Soil	5.0
MA-11003R	104	0.5	Soil	5.8
MA-11003S	105	0.5	Soil	5.5
MA-11003T	106	0.5	Soil	4.9
MA-11003U	106	1.0	Soil	4.8
MA-11003V	106	1.5	Soil	4.9
MA-11003W	107	0.6	Soil	7.1
MA-11003X	108	0.5	Soil	5.3
MA-11003Y	109	0.5	Soil	5.8
MA-11003Z	110	0.5	Soil	5.4
MA-11003AA	110	1.5	Soil	5.0
MA-11003AB	113	0.5	Soil	4.6
MA-11003AC	116	0.5	Soil	6.2
MA-11003AD	117	0.5	Soil	5.8
MA-11003AE	118	0.5	Soil	5.0
MA-11003AF	118	1.0	Soil	4.8
MA-11003AG	118	1.5	Soil	5.1
MA-11003AH	121	0.5	Soil	5.0
MA-11003AI	122	0.5	Soil	5.2
MA-11003AJ	122	1.0	Soil	5.2
MA-11003AK	122	1.5	Soil	5.4
MA-11003AL	123	0.5	Soil	6.0
MA-11003AM	124	0.5	Soil	4.4
MA-11003AN	125	0.5	Soil	5.0
MA-11003AO	126	0.5	Soil	5.0
MA-11003AP	127	0.5	Soil	4.6
MA-11003AQ	127	1.0	Soil	4.8
MA-11003AR	127	1.5	Soil	5.0
MA-11003AS	128	0.5	Soil	6.1
MA-11003AT	201	0.5	Soil	6.1
MA-11003AU	202	1.0	Soil	6.6

Sample Number	Location	Depth (m)	Material	pH Value
MA-11003AV	203	1.0	Soil	6.3
MA-11003AW	204	1.5	Soil	7.5
MA-11003AX	205	1.0	Soil	7.2

Report Number:	222630.00-4
Issue Number:	1
Date Issued:	21/09/2023
Client:	Western Parkland City Authority
	Level 2,10 Valentine Avenue, Parramatta NSW 2150
Contact:	Ambreen Imitaz
Project Number:	222630.00
Project Name:	Proposed Urban Development
Project Location:	Badgerys Creek Road, Bringelly NSW
Work Request:	11003
Dates Tested:	24/08/2023 - 20/09/2023
Location:	Badgerys Creek Road, Bringerlly

Douglas Partners Geotechnics | Environment | Groundwater

Geotechnics I Environment I Groundwater Douglas Partners Pty Ltd Macarthur Laboratory 18 Waler Crescent Smeaton Grange NSW 2567 Phone: (02) 4647 0075 Email: meregal.henakaa@douglaspartners.com.au



Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

Hendrawel F

Determination of EC of So	il (In-House) DP MAC2			
Sample Number	Location	Depth (m)	Material	EC Value (µS/cm)
MA-11003P	101	0.5	Soil	578.30
MA-11003Q	103	0.5	Soil	634.80
MA-11003R	104	0.5	Soil	99.10
MA-11003S	105	0.5	Soil	202.90
MA-11003T	106	0.5	Soil	514.10
MA-11003U	106	1.0	Soil	752.80
MA-11003V	106	1.5	Soil	724.30
MA-11003W	107	0.6	Soil	193.90
MA-11003X	108	0.5	Soil	526.80
MA-11003Y	109	0.5	Soil	386.80
MA-11003Z	110	0.5	Soil	201.90
MA-11003AA	110	1.5	Soil	580.20
MA-11003AB	113	0.5	Soil	557.80
MA-11003AC	116	0.5	Soil	39.10
MA-11003AD	117	0.5	Soil	140.20
MA-11003AE	118	0.5	Soil	330.70
MA-11003AF	118	1.0	Soil	642.80
MA-11003AG	118	1.5	Soil	500.40
MA-11003AH	121	0.5	Soil	416.60
MA-11003AI	122	0.5	Soil	579.00
MA-11003AJ	122	1.0	Soil	735.80
MA-11003AK	122	1.5	Soil	790.60
MA-11003AL	123	0.5	Soil	201.20
MA-11003AM	124	0.5	Soil	373.20
MA-11003AN	125	0.5	Soil	857.40
MA-11003AO	126	0.5	Soil	459.90
MA-11003AP	127	0.5	Soil	669.80
MA-11003AQ	127	1.0	Soil	690.50
MA-11003AR	127	1.5	Soil	520.80
MA-11003AS	128	0.5	Soil	147.20
MA-11003AT	201	0.5	Soil	136.80
MA-11003AU	202	1.0	Soil	821.70

Sample Number	Location	Depth (m)	Material	EC Value (µS/cm)
MA-11003AV	203	1.0	Soil	201.90
MA-11003AW	204	1.5	Soil	781.10
MA-11003AX	205	1.0	Soil	795.40